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## SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The Highway Legislation Review Committee (HLRC) was created in 1953 (section 43-2-101, et seq., C.R.S. (L. 53, p. 512)). This part of state law reorganized the state highway system and its relationships to county and city road systems. The charge to the committee was to review the implementation and impact of these new relationships. Committee members were appointed by the Governor every five years and included eight members of the General Assembly and seven non-legislative members "from such Highway Advisory groups as the Governor shall select" (section 43-2-145, C.R.S.).

The HLRC was reconstituted in 1986 "to give guidance and direction to the state department of highways in the development of the state system of highways and to provide legislative overview of and input into such development." This mandate included consultation with experts in highway construction and planning, review of the highway department's operations and projects, review of department performance audits, and recommendations concerning the financing of roads and mass transit in the state. The committee's purview also included the activities of public highway authorities (section 43-4-501, et seq., C.R.S.).

The committee is now comprised of eleven members -- five citizens appointed by the Governor, three representatives appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and three senators appointed by the President of the Senate. All members serve two-year terms. The HLRC began its activities during the 1987 legislative session and has continued to meet regularly since then.

The HLRC met monthly during the 1988 session and considered a wide range of issues including the results of performance audits of the highway department; cost allocation studies related to road use; mass transit and related governance issues; "regional mobility" legislation (House Bill 1345); and highway safety. The committee's activities continued with six meetings held during the 1988 interim and concerned in-depth study of state and local transportation needs and possible sources of funding, coordinating the programs of various transportation agencies and levels of government, and consideration of the role of mass transit in the Denver metropolitan area.

The committee coordinated its activities with those of the Colorado Transportation Roundtable, a 60 -member group of elected officials and private individuals, including all members of the HLRC. Appointed by the Governor, the Roundtable served to form a broad consensus concerning statewide and metro Denver transportation issues. A more detailed review of the committee's discussions can be found in the background section of this report.

The Highway Legislation Review Committee recommends 16 bills for consideration during the 1989 legislative session. Bills 1 and 2 are summarized below. The content of Bills 3 through 16 is synopsized in the section on "Other Legislative Recommendations."

## Concerning Surface Transportation in Colorado -- Bill 1

Bill 1 addresses a broad range of statewide and local highway and mass transit issues and represents an omnibus approach to pressing surface transportation problems, both statewide and in the Denver metropolitan area. These include:

Revenue Sources - increases gasoline taxes, reduces diesel fuel taxes, increases sales and income taxes, and eliminates "off-thetop" deductions from the state Highway Users Tax Fund (HUTF) to provide new revenues for state and local highway efforts. The bill also increases vehicle registration fees to support debt financing of certain highway projects;

Revenue Allocation -modifies the county distribution formula for state HUTF moneys, authorizes debt financing for Front Range capacity projects, and allows state and local cost-sharing for highway projects;

## Metropolitan Transportation Finance Authority (MTFA)

(a) creates the MTFA with broad responsibilities for absorbing many of the transportation planning and development functions of the Regional Transportation District (RTD), the Transit Construction Authority (TCA), E-470, and W-470;
(b) authorizes MTFA to raise revenue from a variety of sources and to coordinate state, regional, and local transportation agencies and projects;
(c) transfers RTD's 0.6 percent sales tax revenues to MTFA;
(d) transfers to MTFA the new Highway Users Tax Fund revenues currently allocated to the six counties within the proposed MTFA; and
(e) provides MTFA with a nine-member board appointed by the Governor and confirmed by the Senate.

Other - addresses efficiency and accountability aspects of state and local government highway departments, limits the duties of RTD to operating the regional bus system, changes the RTD board from elected to appointed membership and reduces its size, and requires RTD to achieve a specified farebox recovery percentage.

## Concerning Registration of Motor Vehicles -- Bill 2

Bill 2 replaces the current gross ton-mile (GTM) tax (and several miscellaneous fees) with a restructured truck registration fee schedule. The schedule is based on registration of the truck at its heaviest expected load and by the maximum distance it is expected to travel in a year. The new registration schedule applies to all vehicles over 10,000 pounds. The system is intended to raise the amount of revenue foregone with the repeal of the GTM tax and the other fees.

In theory, the GTM method equitably apportions the amount of road use and road deterioration among heavy vehicles (weight times distance). However, from a practical standpoint, reporting requirements are complex and onerous for the operator administering and collecting the tax, is expensive for the state, and GTM is subject to evasion. Registration fees are designed to simplify compliance and collection while also decreasing enforcement costs to the state.

## Other Legislative Recommendations -- Bills 3-16

As part of its oversight duties, the committee received legislative recommendations from the Colorado Department of Highways (CDOH), the Department of Revenue, the Colorado State Patrol, and RTD. Since these measures concern largely technical corrections to existing programs, they are reviewed later in this report as Bills 3-16. The list of recommendations is listed below.

## Colorado Department of Highways

- consolidates CDOH's relocation authority with that of the Department of Local Affairs concerning people displaced by federally-aided highway projects;
- grants the department the authority to receive federal Urban Mass Transportation Administration funds;
- repeals requirements that regulations concerning oversize and overweight loads be approved by the General Assembly's transportation committees prior to adoption by the state highway commission; and
- allows local school boards to make exceptions to state law that currently mandates that school bus passengers be discharged so that they will not have to cross busy streets.


## Department of Revenue

- allows the written part of the driver's license examination process to be conducted by third parties, conforms the age at which instructional permits can be issued, and allows the department to issue a driver's license and a picture identification to the same person at the same time;
- conforms Colorado statutes to changes in federal law which require states to issue commercial drivers' licenses;
- streamlines the process by which car dealers receive vehicle titles by providing same-day title service for an additional $\$ 25$;
- authorizes the use of a seventh digit for license plates; allows partial-year registration of Class $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and F motor vehicles; eliminates the requirement that notaries public certify vehicle titles; and establishes procedures for the department to collect fees for Colorado's Automobile Inspection and Readjustment (AIR) program from vehicles not registered in Colorado but which operate within the AIR program area;
- allows selected closure of ports of entry during times designated by the Executive Director of the Department of Revenue (e.g., Christmas);
- conforms the due dates for various tax returns to those specified under the International Fuel Tax Agreement; and
- (a) allows motor vehicle administrative hearings to be elective; (b) removes language that implies that a notification of revocation of a license cannot be enforced if the notification is returned to postal authorities unclaimed; (c) and allows the separate actions for a traffic offense committed in conjunction with alcohol use to run concurrently whether the driver is convicted of driving under the influence of alcohol (DUI) or driving while ability impaired by alcohol (DWAI).


## Colorado State Patrol

- authorizes state-operated snowplows to use blue flashing lights and limits the use of green flashing lights to stationary command posts established at emergency sites.


## Regional Transportation District

- allows RTD to hold an election concerning increasing its sales tax level without first conducting public hearings on corridor assessments.
- requires that RTD recover 20 percent of its operating costs from farebox revenues in fiscal year 1989-90, 25 percent in FY 1990-91, and 30 percent in FY 1991-92. Savings from this provision are to be used for RTD capital improvements. RTD currently recovers just over 15 percent of its operating expenses from farebox revenues. These same provisions have also been included in Bill 1.


## ACTIVITIES OF THE COMMITTEE

The Highway Legislation Review Committee held 22 meetings from July 1987 to December 1988. The committee heard testimony and reports from various groups and considered a wide range of transportation-related topics during that time. Major topics discussed are listed below.

Transportation needs, funding and organization. Extensive consideration was given to the magnitude of state and local surface transportation needs and cost estimates, various revenue sources available to finance construction and maintenance activities, and governance structures that could improve the coordination of transportation projects in the Denver metropolitan area.

Highway needs were discussed in terms of high, medium, and low funding scenarios in such areas as additional lane construction, road design and safety improvements, and maintenance expenses. The committee also reviewed several different funding packages for the medium level of needs. The growing number of governments and separate agencies in the metro area with highway and mass transit construction and financing authority was also discussed. Committee members expressed concern about: 1) the lack of coordination between what were seen as interrelated segments of the metro-wide transportation system; and 2) conflicts over the limited revenue sources available for such projects.

The Department of Highways presented overall state system needs in its 2001 Plan. The Denver Regional Council of Governments also presented a report of its transportation finance task force in September 1988. In addition, various business-related groups appeared before the committee to present their perspectives on the state's transportation problems. These groups include the Greater Denver Chamber of Commerce, the Centennial Chamber of Commerce, the Colorado Association of Commerce and Industry, Colorado Contractors Association, and Colorado Concern.

Mass transit. The committee heard testimony about proposals to construct a mass transit system for Denver. RTD and TCA representatives frequently reported on the status of their projects. Representatives of the federal Urban Mass Transportation Administration, the San Diego Metropolitan Transit Development Board, and committee members Dr. Tom Stone and Representative Bob Bowen presented a broad range of mass transit issues. These included: disparities between ridership and system cost estimates and actual figures; the use of private companies to serve different transit needs; the advantages and disadvantages of various mass transit technologies; the effects of system design and technology choices on construction and future operating costs; the effect on ridership of low population densities, corridor selection, and existing costs such as parking rates.

Efficiency at the Department of Highways. The committee was directed to have a Management and Efficiency Study conducted of the Colorado Department of Highways. Arthur Andersen \& Company completed the study and reported to the Highway Legislation Review Committee on its findings. In addition, Arthur Andersen gave periodic updates on its efficiency study and the progress of the Highway Department's implementation of the recommendations.

As part of Governor Romer's efficiency in state government program, Governor Romer and Dr. Ray Chamberlain, Executive Director of the Department of Highways, outlined efficiency measures for the Department of Highways. These measures included savings of $\$ 5.4$ million dollars.

Countyallocation formula. The Committee was involved with an effort to devise a new Highway Users Tax Fund allocation formula. A compromise measure was presented to the legislature in the 1988 session (Senate Bill 161), but the measure was defeated. A modified proposal will be presented to the legislature for the 1989 session.

Trucking industry. The HLRC examined current problems experienced by Colorado's trucking industry. In the legislation which reconstituted the HLRC, the committee was directed to authorize a study to determine the amount of damage done to state roads by heavy vehicles. The Wilbur Smith Company conducted a cost allocation study and presented its findings to the committee. In its presentation to the committee, a representative of the Wilbur Smith Company summarized the study by stating that cars and light trucks are overpaying transportation-related taxes by approximately 20 percent, and heavy vehicles were underpaying by about 40 percent.

The committee also received progress reports from a task force organized by the Department of Revenue to find an alternative to the gross-ton-mile tax. Another issue examined by the committee was the rate of Colorado's diesel tax and its impact on the trucking and truck-stop industry. The committee heard testimony on this issue from the Colorado Truck Stop Association and the Colorado Motor Carriers Association. The Truck Stop Association testified that Colorado's diesel tax rate was forcing truckers and truck companies to leave the state.

Bonding. In an effort to examine all possible transportation financing solutions, a panel concerning bonding for transportation purposes met with the committee. The panel included representatives from Hanifen Imhoff, Boettcher and Company, George K. Baum and Company, and Smith, Barney, Inc. The panel discussed the advantages and disadvantages of bonding, bonding sources used by other states, and the possible impact that a state bonding program might have on bonding by local governments.

Joint efforts with the Colorado Transportation Roundtable. The HLRC received monthly updates from the Colorado Transportation Roundtable. All HLRC committee members were members of the roundtable, either on the statewide or metro subgroup. Governor Romer presented the Roundtable's final recommendations to the committee in November 1988. The Roundtable's final recommendations are included in the appendix of this report, along with the Roundtable committee membership.

Update hearings. The committee acted as an oversight body and heard status report updates on various projects. Representatives from E-470, W-470, and the new Denver airport all testified on the status of their projects. The committee also allowed opponents of such projects to make presentations. In addition, representatives from the City and County of Denver spoke about the status of Denver's viaducts.

Citizen and industry testimony. The Highway Legislation Review Committee also allowed interested citizens and industry groups to present testimony on transportation-related topics. Examples of such groups include the Committee for a Better Airport, Montrose Highway 50 Company, representatives from Ponderosa High School, Highway 285 Task Force, and the Colorado Concrete Paving Association. (See Appendix A for a complete listing of all groups providing testimony.)

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The Highway Legislation Review Committee recommends 16 bills to address a variety of transportation-related issues. The bills are summarized below and are reprinted in their entirety in the final section of this report.

## Concerning Surface Transportation in Colorado -- Bill 1

At its final meeting for 1988 , the HLRC adopted a series of bills which were combined into the omnibus "Concerning Surface Transportation in Colorado." The committee recommends this bill as a set of solutions for meeting Colorado's surface transportation needs into the next century. The bill attempts to create major improvements in the current system of financing and managing state highways, local streets and roads, and mass transit. The HLRC considered these improvements a unified package because of the established need for a coordinated, balanced approach to the pressing needs of Colorado's transportation systems. The committee expressed hope that such a unified approach will benefit the entire state by reinforcing the vital link between transportation and the economic well-being of its citizens.

## Major Provisions

The bill contains five principal components:

- Extension of the Senate Bill 36 increases in the gasoline tax and an extension of the special fuels tax at a reduced rate.
- A package of revenue raising measures to provide approximately $\$ 167$ million in new revenues for highway improvements across the state, including state highways, county roads, and city streets.
- Creation of a new Metropolitan Transportation Financing Authority (MTFA) with broad responsibilities for planning, setting priorities, constructing and financing transportation projects in the six-county metropolitan area. The new authority absorbs many of the transportation planning and development functions of existing agencies such as RTD, TCA, E-470, and W-470. In addition, Bill 1 proposes a number of conforming amendments to current law regarding the powers and duties of existing transportation agencies.
- Cooperative arrangements to permit the state highway department to participate in local road projects, and conversely, to allow local participation in state road projects which impact their local jurisdictions. In addition, local accountability and maintenance of effort considerations are included in the bill.
- A new formula for allocating monies from the Highway Users Tax Fund (HUTF) to counties which will result in increased distributions to counties with greater lane-mile and traffic count needs.

Senate Bill 36 extension. In 1986, the General Assembly acted to increase the tax rates on motor fuel to 18 cents per gallon on gasoline (from 12 cents) and to 20.5 cents per gallon on special fuels (from 13 cents). These tax increases are scheduled to expire on July 1, 1989, at which time gasoline would return to the 12 cent level and diesel fuel to the 13 cent level unless the General Assembly acts otherwise. The committee has determined that the revenues generated by the motor fuels taxes are crucial to meeting the goal of maintaining the state's roadways in a serviceable condition. Nonetheless, in recognition of changing economic conditions, the the following modifications are recommended in the structure of motor fuels tax rates.

- The gasoline tax is extended, effective July 1, 1989, at the rate of 18 cents per gallon. (Note, however, that other provisions of Bill 1 raise the effective rate on gasoline to 20 cents per gallon.) The sunset provision of Senate Bill 36 is repealed, extending the tax indefinitely, reflecting the committee's intent to provide ongoing support for highway construction and maintenance.
- The special fuels (diesel) tax is also extended indefinitely, but at the lower rate of 16 cents per gallon. The reduction of the tax rate by 4.5 cents is intended to make Colorado's diesel tax rate more competitive with neighboring states: the regional average for diesel tax is approximately 15 cents per gallon. The committee believes that increased consumption and related sales tax collections will offset some of the revenue losses resulting from the tax rate reduction.
- The minimum gross ton-mile tax created by Senate Bill 36 is not extended in Bill 1. This tax is allowed to expire in anticipation of the replacement of the gross ton-mile tax by a restructured heavy vehicle registration fee schedule set in Bill 2.

Bill 1 also eliminates the current minimum requirements on tax-exempt purchases of gasoline by governmental entities and the minimum requirements for tax-exempt purchases of special fuels by distributors.

Statewide financing measures. Bill 1 authorizes a number of new financing measures to supplement the HUTF in order to improve highway conditions on a statewide basis. The following revenue measures, expected to total approximately $\$ 167$ million, are included in the bill.

- The motor fuels tax on gasoline is increased from 18 to 20 cents per gallon. The tax on diesel fuel is decreased 4.5 cents. For fiscal year 1989-90, collections are expected to be $\$ 30$ million (minus $\$ 8$ million in reduced diesel collections) or a net gain of $\$ 22$ million.
- Driver's license fees are increased to produce approximately $\$ 5$ million to the HUTF. The current rate of $\$ 6.50$ for a driver's license is raised to $\$ 13$.
- The state sales and use tax is increased by .25 cents and the additional revenue generated by this increase is transferred to the HUTF. The statutory limitation on maximum state and local sales tax rates is raised to accommodate the increase. Anticipated revenues for FY 1989-90 are $\$ 63$ million.
- The state individual and corporate income tax rates are increased by .25 percent to provide replacement revenue to the general fund for purposes of eliminating "off-the-top" expenditures. These statutorily authorized transfers are used to finance highway related functions in other state agencies as well as specific repair and safety programs. The bill eliminates the HUTF transfer to each of these programs, with the exception of the Highway Crossing Protection Fund, but provides replacement funding in the form of new general fund revenue. Off-the-top expenditures and their replacement are expected to cost $\$ 67$ million in fiscal year 1989-90.
- The bill seeks extra cost efficiencies from the Colorado Department of Highways (CDOH). While cost savings of $\$ 5.4$ are currently projected for FY 1989-90, the bill urges the department to realize additional annualized savings through increased efficiency and cost containment measures. The bill also seeks to create additional efficiencies at the local level by requiring a study of cost effectiveness in highway administration, maintenance, and construction. The study is to be conducted by the CDOH , the Colorado Municipal League, and Colorado Counties, Inc., who shall report their findings and recommendations to the Governor and the General Assembly by July 1, 1990.

Debt financing. The final financing provision incorporated into the bill is a plan to provide the Colorado Highway Commission the authority to issue long-term revenue bonds for a Front Range Capacity Improvements Program. The funding mechanism anticipated is an average $\$ 10$ statewide increase in vehicle registration fees. Bonds would be sold in order to accelerate critical capacity projects on the Front Range.

New HUTF revenues. The new revenues generated by the finance package are to be distributed among the state highway system and local governments as follows:

- 60 percent (or roughly $\$ 100$ million) of new revenues is designated for improvements on the state highway system as prioritized by the state highway commission in its 2001 Plan;
- 22 percent ( $\$ 37$ million) of new revenues is to be distributed to counties as per the new allocation formula explained later in this report (However, distributions which would have been allocated to the six counties in the Denver metropolitan region (Denver, Boulder, Adams, Arapahoe, Douglas and Jefferson) are instead pooled for distribution by the new Metropolitan Transportation Finance Authority); and
- 18 percent ( $\$ 29$ million) is to be distributed to municipalities, with the exception of those cities in the six-county region which surrender their share of new monies to the MTFA.

Metropolitan Transportation Financing Authority. A third critical component of the proposed bill is the creation of a new Metropolitan Transportation Finance Authority (MTFA) for purposes of planning, setting priorities, and constructing transportation improvements in the six-county metropolitan region. Throughout its deliberations, the Highway Legislation Review Committee acknowledged the specialized transportation needs of the Denver metropolitan area as a unique set of circumstances demanding extraordinary solutions. To this end, the committee recommends a sweeping revision of current governance structures for both mass transit and regional roadways in the six-county region. The intent of this provision is to create a single entity authorized to oversee system-wide improvements throughout the metro area that will improve mobility, increase safety on the system, and continue to improve regional air quality. In addition, the MTFA is entrusted to consider the total needs of both vehicular traffic and mass transit in identifying corridor improvements. The authority is specifically directed to consider the life-cycle costs of such improvements on a corridor-by-corridor basis to guarantee the cost effectiveness of its strategies.

The bill states that the general priorities of the new authority are to be:

1) Traffic systems management and the coordination of traffic planning with local jurisdictions;
2) Improvements to the existing regional transportation system, especially in the areas of capacity improvements and new construction; and
3) The integration of mass transportation improvements such as high occupancy vehicle lanes, to coincide with other surface transportation improvements such as construction of beltways.

The authority is expected to rely on use of intergovernmental agreements to facilitate projects which are interjurisdictional in nature. The bill emphasizes that the authority is not to be an operating entity in any sense, but is to concentrate its efforts on the planning and the financing of transportation facilities and improvements.

The MTFA governing body is a nine-member board of directors appointed by the Governor with the consent of the Senate. The membership is comprised of six elected officials from a local general purpose government, one from each county, and three at-large members with demonstrated expertise in the areas of transportation planning, finance, construction, or air quality. The elected officials are nominated by the Board of County Commissioners, which selects three members for the Governor's consideration. Members may be removed for cause by the Governor. Vacancies may be filled by repeating the same nomination and selection process.

The MTFA has the following duties and functions.

- assume all mass transit planning and construction functions of the RTD; the RTD would become a bus-operating company only, for purposes of the bill, unless specifically contracted with to perform other functions. The currently elected RTD Board of Directors would be abolished and replaced by a Governor-appointed seven-member board.
- assume all duties and responsibilities of the Transit Construction Authority (TCA), which was created in 1987 to construct a mass transit line from downtown Denver to northern Douglas county;
- assume the duties of the E-470 and W-470 public highway authorities in construction of urban beltways when it is organizationally capable of doing so. E-470 and W-470 would be absorbed into the MTFA for purposes of prioritizing and constructing beltway links;
- coordinate planning and development between local governments, the State Highway Department, and the Denver Regional Council of Governments (DRCOG) with staff assistance from DRCOG or other agencies. Such planning may include integration of air quality considerations; and
- create a priority list of highway and mass transit projects in conjunction with the agencies named above.

The MTFA is authorized to utilize the following revenue sources:

- The sales tax levy of . 6 cents, presently collected for the RTD. MTFA would appropriate a set amount of revenue to RTD for bus-operating purposes, provided RTD meets specified farebox recovery ratios. RTD would continue to maintain operating reserves and contingency funds.
- Local share of new HUTF revenues (see discussion of Senate Bill 36 extension above);
- Federal grants-in-aid and other federal funds.
- Other funding mechanisms such as tolls or bonding provisions, which are currently utilized by existing agencies which are folded into MTFA. The MTFA would also assume the corridor financing mechanisms currently allowed for RTD and TCA transit construction purposes.

In addition, the MTFA is authorized to raise additional funds through a vote of the electorate for additional sales taxes (RTD's existing 1 cent levy for transit). Other financing powers not subject to voter approval include motor vehicle registration fees and sales taxes on gasoline within the authority area.

State and local cost sharing. The bill allows the Colorado Department of Highways to contribute funds to non-state system road projects and also permits local governments to contribute to state system road projects. Previous wording in statute prevented the use of state HUTF funds on local projects and vice versa. Members of the Colorado Highway Commission testified that certain projects existed in which such increased flexibility in funding would provide benefits to all parties. The bill also contains provisions to ensure increased local accountability and continued maintenance of effort at the local level. Specifically, the bill calls for cities and counties to match new revenues and not to offset existing appropriations.

New county allocation formula. Current statute allocates state road funds to counties as follows: 20 percent based on vehicle registrations and 80 percent based on county centerline-miles. The centerline-mile count is further adjusted by the difficulty of terrain.

The new formula is as follows: 30 percent based on vehicle registrations ( 15 percent "rural" and 15 percent "countywide"); 10 percent based on bridges (square footage); and 60 percent based on lane miles. The formula retains the terrain factor and also adjusts for maintenance differences between paved and unpaved roads (a ratio of 1.5 to 1 ). The bill also contains "hold harmless" provisions to modify the impact of funding reductions on counties that would realize a loss of HUTF funds under the new formula. These changes are intended to more accurately reflect those factors that influence road construction and maintenance costs. It effectively reallocates funds to those counties experiencing rapid growth, or with high concentrations of highways in their jurisdictions.

Bill 1 anticipates that the necessary funding to create the "hold harmless" portion of this provision will be derived from new county HUTF revenues before any distribution to the MTFA could be realized.

## Concerning the Repeal of the Gross Ton-Mile Tax and Heavy Vehicle Registration Fees -- Bill 2

Colorado is one of eleven states that has a three-tier truck tax system composed of fuel taxes, registration fees, and gross ton-mile taxes. The majority of states have a two-tier system composed of two of the three elements. Bill 2 replaces the gross ton-mile (GTM) tax with a restructured truck registration fee schedule that applies to all vehicles over 10,000 pounds. The schedule is intended to raise the same amount of revenue lost with the repeal of GTM and several miscellaneous truck fees.

Payment of tax liability under GTM is based on the number of miles a vehicle travels with a given weight of cargo. In theory this system allows the state to equitably apportion the amount of road use and road deterioration among heavy vehicles. However, from a practical standpoint, it can be difficult for the carrier to accurately report (or for the state to accurately monitor) vehicle weight along different segments of road as cargo is loaded and unloaded.

Concerns associated with GTM include: reporting requirements which can be complex and onerous for the operator; the expense involved for the state to administer and collect the tax (estimated at $8-10$ percent of collections versus 0.25 percent for income tax); and the system's almost exclusive reliance on the operator for accurate reporting of tax liability (which makes it subject to evasion). Replacement of GTM by the registration fee schedule is intended to simplify compliance and administration while also decreasing the costs associated with reporting and collection for both operators and the state.

Under Bill 2, the operators of trucks weighing over 10,000 pounds are required to register their vehicles:

- based on its weight under its heaviest expected load (13 categories); and
- based on the amount of miles the vehicle is expected to travel in the year for which the registration is valid ( 3 categories -- less than 10,000 miles; 10,000 to 30,000 miles; and over 30,000 miles).

The second factor above mandates that operators keep accurate yearly records of the number of miles a vehicle travels. Interstate vehicles making a one-time trip across the state may elect to use separate 72 -hour permit schedule with reduced fees.

In addition to replacing the state's GTM provisions, Bill 2 repeals a series of small fees, trip permit fees, and some existing registration fees. Current revenues from GTM and the various fees are expected to be matched by the new registration schedule. Because of changes to the registration fee schedule, the category of "metro truck" in current statute is also removed. The bill contains certain exceptions to the new schedule, such as farm trucks, recreational vehicles, and wreckers and repair vehicles.

Concerning the Consolidation of the Relocation Authority of the State Department of Highways with the State Department of Local Affairs Relating to Federally Assisted Programs and Projects and State Highway Programs and Projects -- Bill 3

The bill changes Colorado law as it pertains to payment for relocation expenses when a person's property is acquired for a federally-aided project. Bill 3 also consolidates the current statutes in Titles 24 and 43 that pertain to relocation, placing all relocation statutes in Title 24 to assure uniform application of federal and state policy. The bill specifically states that "The Department of Local Affairs shall coordinate and implement the uniform policy for all relocation assistance for federally assisted programs and projects, except as provided in this section."

The bill expands the definition of "displaced person" to include any individual who has been displaced as a result of rehabilitation or demolition. The Department of Local Affairs may establish other eligibility criteria. Exempted from consideration as displaced persons are individuals who move into property after it has been acquired.

Payments for replacement housing and moving expenses are increased. Finally, Bill 3 expands the rule-making authority of the Department of Local Affairs to allow it to make changes in response to any future federal law changes.

This bill responds to federally mandated changes included in the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987.

Concerning the Authority of the State Department of Highways to Administer Funds Made Available Under the Federal Urban Mass Transit Act of 1964, As Amended -- Bill 4

Bill 4 allows the Colorado Department of Highways (CDOH) to receive certain funds from the Urban Mass Transit Administration, but with a specific provision that CDOH can only receive funds if there were no designated private recipients in Colorado. CDOH is authorized to "take all steps and adopt all procedures necessary to make and enter into such contracts and agreements as are necessary for the state application and administration of any funds made available under the federal 'Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964.'"

Concerning the Repeal of the Requirement that Rules Pertaining to Special Permits for the Movement of Oversize Loads Be Reviewed by the Appropriate Standing Committee of the General Assembly Prior to Adoption by the State Highway Commission -- Bill 5

Bill 5 repeals the statutory provision requiring that rules and regulations concerning overweight and oversize loads be reviewed by the appropriate standing committees of the General Assembly by April 1, 1984. The current law, enacted in 1983, mandates that all rules and regulations pertaining to oversize and overweight vehicles must be submitted to the appropriate committees in the General Assembly prior to adoption by the Colorado Highway Commission.

If this bill is enacted, the commission would continue to retain the power to set rules and regulations for the administration and enforcement of oversize and overweight vehicles.

## Concerning the Discharge of Passengers from School Buses -- Bill 6

Present law stipulates that school buses may not discharge passengers so they have to immediately cross highways or major thoroughfares. Bill 6 allows local school boards to determine that crossings can be made safely in certain locations. Local school boards are to work in conjunction with the "local traffic regulatory authority" in making such determinations. The bill continues the requirement that if adjacent access roads to a passenger's destination are available, school buses shall be prohibited from discharging that passenger onto the side of a thoroughfare.

The committee anticipates that this bill will alleviate the problem of school buses having to travel extra miles because they cannot drop passengers off at certain points.

## Concerning the Use of Colored Lights by Official Vehicles -- Bill 7

The purposes of this bill are twofold: to designate blue as the color for flashing lights on state-operated snowplows and to designate green as the flashing light color for certain state and local emergency vehicles.

Flashing lights are operated as warning devices. All state service vehicles are presently required to have yellow flashing lights. Prior to 1978, state-operated snowplows had blue flashing lights. Emergency vehicles currently do not have official state designation. This bill stipulates that these vehicles would be officially designated as emergency vehicles in accordance with state or local government emergency plans. Green flashing lights could then only be used on emergency vehicles at designated stationary command posts at emergency locations.

Bill 7 is expected to alleviate the problem of drivers not being able to see snowplows during blizzard conditions.

## Concerning the Farebox Recovery Ratio of the Regional Transportation District -- Bill 8

This bill would require that the RTD achieve a specified percentage of operating costs through farebox revenues. For Fiscal Year 1989-90, RTD would be required to achieve a 20 percent recovery rate; for FY 1990-91, RTD would have to achieve a 25 percent recovery rate; and for each year thereafter achieve a 30 percent recovery rate. Currently, RTD has a farebox recovery ratio of approximately 15 percent. Bill 8 gives a specific definition of farebox recovery as "the percentage of operating costs which are recovered by farebox revenues." The bill mandates that RTD prepare its budget based on the allowable farebox recovery rate for that year.

Bill 8 is also included as part of the "Colorado Surface Transportation Act of 1989" (Bill 1). If Bill 1 is enacted, RTD would obtain its operating revenues from the Metropolitan Transportation Finance Authority (MTFA). The MTFA could monitor RTD's farebox recovery rate and withhold funds if RTD did not meet the necessary ratio. If Bill 1 does not pass and Bill 8 is enacted, then RTD would be limited as to what it could raise through sales taxes.

## Concerning Elections Regarding the Authority of the Regional Transportation District to Levy Additional Sales Taxes -- Bill 9

Bill 9 allows the district to hold an election on increasing its sales tax level without first conducting public hearings.

The RTD . 6 percent sales tax may be increased by one percent if approved in an election. RTD also has the authority to levy commercial property assessments within each mass transit corridor and establish tax increment areas within each corridor. Present law stipulates that hearings concerning the commercial property assessments must be held prior to the sales tax election. Bill 9 removes the requirement that hearings be held prior to a sales tax election.

Current law also requires that in order to implement the sales tax, RTD must hold an election between 1988 and 1991, and must hold the election in February, May, October, or December. The bill removes the election deadline, and allows for such elections to be held in November in addition to the other months.

## Additional Bills

The Colorado Department of Revenue requested a series of bills dealing with technical corrections to various motor vehicle penalties and procedures.

## Concerning Colorado Drivers' Licenses -- Bill 10

Bill 10 allows the written part of the drivers' license examination process to be conducted by third parties. Current statute only allows third parties to give driving tests. This provision applies mostly to trucking companies.

The bill also conforms the age at which instructional permits can be issued (15.5 years). Current law contains two different ages at which individuals can apply for instructional permits, depending on whether or not the individual is enrolled in an approved driver education program. Last, the bill allows the department to issue a driver's license and a picture ID to the same person at the same time. Current statute states that either one or the other can be issued, but not both at the same time.

## Concerning Motor Vehicle Drivers' Licenses -- Bill 11

Bill 11 conforms Colorado statutes to changes in federal law which require states to issue commercial drivers' licenses. The federal mandate is intended to lead to a universal truck driving license in which one license would be valid in all states. This program should eliminate the need for drivers to carry separate license plates for each state in which they operate.

## Concerning the Provision of Certificates of Title for Motor Vehicle Dealers on the Same day of Application -- Bill 12

Bill 12 streamlines the process by which car dealers receive vehicle titles by providing same-day title service for an additional $\$ 25$.

Concerning Changes in Administrative Practices Relating to Vehicles Subject to State Regulation, and in Connection Therewith Increasing the Positions on Personalized License Plates, Changing the Manner of Calculating Specific Ownership Tax on Mobile Machinery and Construction Equipment, Placing Purjury Penalties on Certain Title Documents, and Providing for Collection of Emissions Program Fees From Nonresident Vehicle Owners -- Bill 13

Bill 13 authorizes the use of a seventh digit for license plates; allows partial-year registration of Class $A, B$, and $F$ motor vehicles (some of which are used seasonally); eliminates the requirement that notaries public certify vehicle titles; and establishes procedures for the department to collect fees for Colorado's Automobile Inspection and Readjustment (AIR) program from vehicles not registered in Colorado but operating within the state's AIR program area.

## Concerning Port of Entry Weigh Stations -- Bill 14

Bill 14 allows selected closure of ports of entry during times designated by the Executive Director of the Department of Revenue (e.g., Christmas day).

## Concerning the Change of Dates by Which Certain Tax Returns Relating to Motor Vehicles Must be Filed from a Monthly Basis to a Quarterly Basis -Bill 15

Bill 15 conforms the due dates for various tax returns to those specified under the International Fuel Tax Agreement.

## Concerning Procedures for Revocation and Suspension of Drivers' Licenses -- Bill 16

Bill 16 modifies the requirement that an administrative hearing be held regarding driving violations involving points. Current statute requires a hearing in all instances but, under Bill 16, the hearing becomes elective.

Currently, penalties for driving with a license under revocation (for DUI) can be avoided if the mailed revocation notification is returned to postal authorities (i.e., if the person does not pick up his or her mail). Bill 16 would delete this returned-mail provision.

For traffic offenses committed in conjunction with alcohol use, current statute allows the actions related to the traffic offense and the DUI charge to run concurrently. However, those in a similar situation but convicted of DWAI (a lesser offense) cannot have their penalties run concurrently, resulting in a more severe penalty for a lesser offense. Bill 16 eliminates this disparity.

## BACKGROUND REPORT

In order to provide a context for the deliberations and recommendations of the Highway Legislation Review Committee, the following materials have been provided:

PART I -- current methods used to finance state and local transportation systems.

Section 1 explains the operation of Colorado's Highway Users Tax Fund.
Section 2 explores transportation finance methods used in other states.
Section 3 examines public policy issues related to various transportation financing methods.

PART II -- Colorado's state and local road and mass transit needs and projected costs to the year 2001.

PART III -- history of mass transit in the Denver metropolitan area from the late 1800s to the present.

PART IV -- management and efficiency studies of the operations of the Colorado Department of Highways and proposed cost savings measures.

PART V -- relationships between taxation of trucking and highway finance in Colorado and neighboring states.

Section 1 attempts to determine the impact of recent diesel fuel tax increases on consumption and related economic activity.

Section 2 explores the relationship between highway finance in Colorado and its neighboring states and how the differences influence Colorado's dependence on truck-related taxes and fees.

Section 3 discusses the result of a recent cost allocation study of highway use in Colorado.

PART VI -- role of debt financing for transportation projects, related public policy concerns, and a discussion of recent debt financing initiatives in Arizona.

## PART I

## Transportation Finance

## Section 1: Colorado's Highway Users Tax Fund

Introduction. In general, Colorado highway finance is based on the concept of user fees. Revenues are collected at three levels -- state-collected, locally-shared taxes from the state's Highway Users Tax Fund (HUTF); property and sales taxes by local governments; and a variety of taxes, assessments, fees, and tolls by various independent agencies.

State constitutional provisions. Colorado adopted Section 18 of Article X to the Colorado Constitution in 1934. The amendment provides that all revenues from motor vehicle licenses, registration fees, or other charges related to motor vehicle operations, and the excise tax on gasoline or other liquid motor fuels be used exclusively for state public highways.

The Colorado Constitution allows the state to levy up to four mills on each dollar of property valuation for "state purposes" (Article X, Section 11). The Colorado Supreme Court has ruled that "Any legitimate expenditure of the state necessary to be provided for by a state tax is a state purpose, and the tax to be provided is a tax for state purposes" (People ex rel. Thomas v. Scott, 9 Colo. 430, 12 P. 608, 1986). A state-level property tax was last levied in 1964. Based on 1985 levels of assessed valuation, a four mill levy would raise about $\$ 132$ million.

Colorado state government is prohibited by the state constitution from incurring general obligation (GO) debt except for "casual deficiencies of revenue," erecting public buildings, and in times of insurrection and war (Article XI, Section 3). The Colorado Supreme Court has ruled that the intent of this section is to prevent the pledging of state general purpose revenues in future years thereby obligating and constraining succeeding legislatures. However, there are instances where the state can use debt that does not violate the constitution. (Note: Please be aware that the cases and conclusions presented below do not represent legal opinions.)

In 1934, the state constitution was amended to dedicate all revenues from motor vehicle licenses and fees and motor fuel taxes exclusively for the construction and maintenance of the state's highways (Article X, Section 18; L. 35, Ch. 93). After this change, the General Assembly adopted legislation authorizing $\$ 25$ million in revenue anticipation warrants to be repaid exclusively from two newly-created state highway funds (L. 35, Ch. 124 and 181). The warrants were challenged but the Colorado Supreme Court ruled that, because the highway fund revenues were not available for any other general purposes, the warrants would not place any burden on the state's general revenues. Therefore, their use for retiring warrants was constitutional (Johnson v. McDonald, 97 Colo. 324, 49 P.2d 1017, 1935).

Over a series of cases, the court has developed a "special fund" doctrine which holds that "a statute which at the same time it creates a debt, creates the fund to pay (the debt), and which fund would not be otherwise available for general purposes, is clearly outside the constitutional (debt) prohibition" (In re Senate Resolution No. 2, 94 Colo. 101, 31 P.2d 325, 1933). Based on the evidence from several cases in this area, commingling of dedicated and general purpose revenues to retire debt does not appear to be constitutional. Also, how the debt obligation and its repayment are structured appear to bear on the debt's constitutionality.

Based on these court cases, Colorado appears to be able to finance transportation projects with debt through:

- dedication of Section 18 user fees to a special fund created solely for the retirment of the debt; and
- creation of a new revenue source dedicated to a special fund created solely for the retirement of the debt.

In either case, it appears that the current structure of the HUTF would not accommodate the court's requirements for permissible debt financing. Two considerations remain:

* what impact the technical differences between the anticipation warrants issued in 1935 and other forms of debt currently available would have on the court's view of the constitutionality of these other forms; and
* the current use of HUTF funds to support other state agencies may bring the revenues under the "general purpose" issues noted above.

Creation of the HUTF. The Highway Users Tax Fund (HUTF) was created in 1953 (Senate Bill 178) and is administered by the Colorado Department of Highways $(\mathrm{CDOH})$. The fund serves as the collection and distribution point for revenues used to:

- construct, operate, and maintain the state highway system;
- provide financial assistance for county and municipal roads;
- fund the operations of CDOH ; and
- finance highway-related programs in other state agencies and to support tax collections by local governments and the Department of Revenue (commonly referred to as "off-the-top" deductions).

HUTF revenue sources. The fund was originally the repository of those revenues squrces listed in the state constitution. However, the major sources of revenue contributing to the fund at this time are excise taxes on motor fuels. Other revenue
sources include driver's licenses fees, annual registration fees on motor vehicles, trailers, and semi-trailers, penalty assessments, and the ton-mile and passenger-mile taxes. Portions of sales taxes attributable to the sale of motor vehicles and related items -- referred to as "Noble" moneys -- have also been transferred to the fund in the last ten years.

HUTF revenues for FY $1987-88$ were estimated to be approximately $\$ 402.7$ million. Motor fuel taxes accounted for 74.4 percent of the fund ( $\$ 299.6$ million). Other revenue sources to the HUTF included:

- $\$ 32.9$ million in gross ton-mile taxes ( 7.7 percent);
- $\$ 31.4$ million in motor vehicle registration fees ( 7.4 percent);
- $\$ 23.1$ million in driver license fees and other miscellaneous sources (5.4 percent); and
- $\$ 15.7$ million in General Fund transfers ( 9.4 percent) which represent a portion of the revenues gained from federal income tax reform.

The State Highway Fund, after off-the-top deductions and distribution to cities and counties, was expected to receive about $\$ 201.5$ million ( 50 percent).

Motor fuel excise taxes. The motor fuel tax applies to all gasoline, gasohol, and special fuels including diesel, kerosene, liquified petroleum gases, and natural gas. Certain exemptions from the tax apply.

In 1986, the General Assembly raised the fuel tax to 18 cents per gallon for gasoline and gasohol and 20.5 cents for special (diesel) fuels (Senate Bill 36). The increase is set to expire July 1, 1989, at which time the General Assembly intends to modify or extend the tax increase after reviewing the results of the cost allocation study of highway use.

Sales and use tax transfers. The diversion of sales and use taxes attributable to the sale of motor vehicles and related items -- the Noble money -- was authorized by Senate Bill 536 (1979). The bill allowed the transfer of moneys from the 15 percent of sales and use taxes that were not designated to fund the Old Age Pension Fund (Art. XXIV, Sect. 2, Colo. Const.) though the amount was not to exceed actual collections.

House Bill 1350 (1987) abolished the Noble transfers and in its place allocated state income tax revenues generated by federal tax reform to the HUTF for four years. The FY 1987-88 transfer is limited to the amount of funds remaining after payment of General Fund appropriations, the reserve requirement, and fund paybacks (up to $\$ 40$ million). The most recent estimate is $\$ 15.7$ million. For FY 1989, the General Fund transfer is limited to $\$ 30$ million and, for FYs 1990 and 1991, the limit is $\$ 10$ million. The transfers are to be repealed on July 1, 1991. The transfers are to be distributed as follows:

## FY 1988 ( $\$ 15.7$ million)

- 75 percent to the state highway fund ( $\$ 11.78$ million)
- 15 percent to counties ( $\$ 2.35$ million)
- 10 percent to municipalities ( $\$ 1.57$ million);


## FY 1989 ( $\$ 30$ million)

- $\$ 20$ million to the state highway fund
- $\$ 6$ million to the counties
- $\$ 4$ million to the municipalities; and


## FY 1990 and FY 1991 ( $\$ 10$ million)

- $\$ 6$ million to the counties
- $\$ 4$ million to the municipalities.

Gross ton-mile tax. Colorado's gross ton-mile tax was enacted in 1927 (section 42-3-123 to 42-3-127, C.R.S.). The GTM tax is imposed on owners and operators of trucks registered as metro vehicles when operating beyond their permitted radius and to all other trucks, truck trailers, trailers, and semi-trailers having an empty weight exceeding 10,000 pounds. The amount of the tax is based on the number of miles the vehicle travels on public roads in Colorado and the empty weight and cargo weight of the truck in tons. The GTM is paid in lieu of higher vehicle registration fees.

The present rate of taxation listed below was adopted in 1955. Certain exemptions are specified in the law.

1) 0.8 mills ( 0.08 of 1 cent) upon each gross ton-mile of empty vehicle weight; and
2) 2.0 mills ( 0.2 of 1 cent) upon each gross ton-mile of cargo weight.

Passenger-mile tax. The tax rate of one mill per passenger-mile was first imposed in 1927 and has remained unchanged since that date (sections 42-3-123 to 42-3-127, C.R.S.). Passenger miles are determined by multiplying the actual number of revenue passengers carried by each motor vehicle by the number of miles carried. In lieu of paying the passenger-mile tax, passenger buses may obtain a temporary certificate of public convenience and necessity for a fee of $\$ 10$. Passenger buses registered in another state making occasional trips to Colorado may obtain a trip permit for a $\$ 25$ fee (Senate Bill 71, 1988) or pay the amount of the passenger-mile tax due, whichever is greater. The tax does not apply to mass transit vehicles or taxicabs, hotel buses, sightseeing buses, or limousines operated within city limits.

Motor vehicle registration fees. Registration fees have been imposed since 1913 and currently apply to all motor vehicles (section 42-3-101, et seq., C.R.S.). Fees for passenger cars are based on the weight while buses pay the fee based on seating capacity. Additional fees are also collected for local governments and vehicle emission programs. Certain exemptions are specified in law.

Driver's licenses. Any person who is at least 16 years of age and drives a motor vehicle upon a highway in the state is required to obtain a driver's license (section 42-2-101, C.R.S.; certain exemptions apply). The current fee is $\$ 6.50$. Revenues are transferred to the HUTF after diversions for local government administrative expenses. Driver's license fees were first required in 1913.

## HUTF Revenue Collections

A ten-year history of HUTF revenue collections from each source can be found in Table I on the next page.

As the table on the following page demonstrates, individual categories within the HUTF display a wide variance in terms of growth. As a means of comparison, between 1979 and 1988, total HUTF revenues increased 192.8 percent while the inflation rate was 58.3 percent (Denver CPI-U, U.S. Department of Labor) and the Federal Highway Administration's Highway Maintenance and Operation Cost Trend Index increased 71.4 percent.

## Distribution of HUTF Revenues

Revenues from the HUTF are apportioned to entities and programs on a monthly basis. Two formulas are used to distribute motor fuel taxes to the state, cities, and counties:
(1) Revenues raised by the first seven cents of the fuel tax comprise the state's "Basic Fund." They are first used to finance highway-related programs in various state departments -- off-the-top deductions, and the remainder are distributed to state and local government highway funds. Off-the-top deductions are limited to an annual growth factor of seven percent.
(2) Revenues raised by the 1981, 1983, and 1986 fuel tax increases are first used to fund bridge repair and are then distributed to state and local governments. These formulas are presented in greater detail below.

## Motor Fuel Tax Distribution

Basic Fund - First 7 Cents (1969 law)

A Off-the-top deductions
B. Remaining funds
$65 \%$ to state highway fund
$26 \%$ to counties
$9 \%$ to cities

## Additional Revenues

(amendments in 1981, 1983, 1986)
A. Bridge repair ( $16 \%$ )
B. Remaining funds ( $84 \%$ )
$60 \%$ to state highway fun
$22 \%$ to counties
$18 \%$ to cities

## Table I

## Ten-Year History of HUTF Revenue Collections 1/

(in millions)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1978-79 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1979-80 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1980-81 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1981-82 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1982-83 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1983-84 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1984-85 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1985-86 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1986-87 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1987-88 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { Inc/(Dec) } \\ \text { FY } \\ 1979-88 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fuel Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st 7 Cents | \$114.8 | \$113.5 | \$108.3 | \$109.7 | \$110.4 | \$115.0 | \$112.7 | \$116.2 | \$113.6 | \$113.6 | (0.8)\% |
| 1st Add. 2/ | -- | .. | -- | 29.2 | 31.5 | 73.4 | 73.8 | 78.1 | 85.2 | 85.2 | 191.8 |
| 2nd Add. 3/ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 92.3 | 100.7 | 9.1 |
| Sales/Use | -- | 30.0 | 33.0 | 36.0 | 47.0 | 51.7 | 51.6 | 51.4 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 71.3 |
| GTM | 21.8 | 23.0 | 24.9 | 24.0 | 23.0 | 28.6 | 30.3 | 30.1 | 31.8 | 32.9 | 50.9 |
| Registration | 22.5 | 24.3 | 25.5 | 25.9 | 28.1 | 28.6 | 29.1 | 29.5 | 30.7 | 31.4 | 39.6 |
| Penalty | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 26.3 |
| Other 4/ | 8.0 | 10.9 | 12.3 | 13.0 | 9.8 | 12.0 | 13.6 | 15.7 | 14.0 | 17.3 | 116.3 |
| Interest | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 |
| General |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund 5/ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -. | -- | -- | -- | 15.7 | -- |
| TOTAL | \$172.0 | \$206.6 | \$208.4 | \$242.5 | \$253.7 | \$313.3 | \$314.5 | \$324.4 | \$413.2 | \$402.7 | 192.8\% |

1/ Colorado Department of Highways, (CDOH), Budget Reports for FYs 1982-83, 1983-84, and 1988-89.
2/ Includes a 2-cent increase for gasoline and diesel fuel in FY 1981-82, a 3-cent increase on gasoline and a 4-cent increase on diesel fuel in FY 1983-84.

3/ Includes a 6-cent increase for gasoline and a 7.5-cent increase for diesel fuel in FY 1986-87.
4/ Includes drivers' license fees.
5/ Moneys generated by federal income tax reform.

## Basic Fund Distribution

Off-the-top deductions. The off-the-top deductions are used to finance highway-related functions in other state agencies as well as specific repair and safety programs. First, allocations from the first seven cents of the motor fuel tax are made to the Highway Crossing Protection Fund (section 43-4-201 (2), C.R.S.). Other programs receiving HUTF moneys include the Colorado State Patrol, revenue collections by the Department of Revenue, and the AIR program.

Highway crossing protection fund. Created in 1965, this fund is used to install, construct, and improve automatic and other safety signals at street/railroad crossings that are approved or ordered by the Public Utilities Commission (section 40-4-106 (2)(a), C.R.S.). Twenty thousand dollars a month is deposited into the fund until the fund exceeds $\$ 240,000$. Moneys from the fund are used when projects are not approved to receive federal funds, nor may the funds be used to match federal funds for a project. The PUC also allocates the costs between railroad companies, local governments, and the state. The PUC is required to apportion no less than 20 percent of project costs to railroad companies. Projects are funded on a first come, first served basis.

History of off-the-top funding. Table II contains a ten-year history of department off-the-top receipts (totals may not add due to rounding). 1/ It should be noted that the Colorado State Patrol was transferred from the Department of Highways to the newly created Department of Public Safety on July 1, 1984.

Table II
Highway Users Tax Fund "Off-the-Top" Deductions (in millions)

|  | $\begin{gathered} F Y \\ 1978-79 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F Y \\ 1979-80 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1980-81 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F Y \\ \hline 1981-82 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ \hline 1982-83 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F Y \\ 1983-84 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F Y \\ 1984-85 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F Y \\ \hline 1985-86 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ \underline{1986-87} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1987-88 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { Inc./(Dec.) } \\ \text { FY } \\ 1979-88 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Admin. | \$1.1 | \$2.0 | \$2.1 | \$1.7 | \$1.6 | \$3.2 | \$3.0 | \$3.6 | \$3.2 | \$2.8 |  |
| Correct. | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.2 |  |
| Governor | -- | .- | .- | -- | .- | -- | .. | -- | -- | 0.2 |  |
| Health | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |  |
| Highways | 18.0 | 19.2 | 21.3 | 21.4 | 22.7 | 22.9 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |  |
| Labor | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |
| Local Affrs. | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |
| Pub. Safety | -- | .- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 25.7 | 27.7 | 30.9 | 30.9 |  |
| Reg. Agen. | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.9 |  | 1.6 | $1 . .6$ |  |
| Revenue | 15.7 | 16.4 | 14.5 | 19.8 | 18.9 | 19.6 | 21.5 | 22.6 | 23.9 | 22.8 |  |
| Treasury | -- | .. | -. | -- | -- | 0.2 | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |
| Cap. Const. | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 4.0 |  |
| Subtotal | \$37.9 | \$41.4 | \$41.8 | \$46.9 | \$47.9 | \$51.7 | \$55.8 | \$58.0 | \$65.6 | \$66.7 |  |
| Highway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crossing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fund |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | \$38.1 | \$41.6 | \$42.0 | \$47.2 | \$48.0 | \$51.9 | \$56.0 | \$58.2 | \$65.7 | \$66.9 | 75.6\% |

The following table details the growth in the off-the-top transfers as a percentage of the total basic fund. The deductions in FY 1987-88 consumed 11.1 percent more of the basic fund receipts than in FY 1978-79 (totals may not add due to rounding). 1/

## Percentage of Basic Fund Gross Receipts (in millions)

| Fiscal <br> Year | Basic Fund <br> Gross Receipts | Total <br> Otf-the- <br> Iop | Percentage of <br> Basic Fund <br> GrossReceipts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1978-79$ | $\$ 172.0$ | $\$ 38.1$ | $22.2 \%$ |
| $1979-80$ | 176.6 | 41.6 | 23.6 |
| $1980-81$ | 175.4 | 42.0 | 23.9 |
| $1981-82$ | 177.3 | 47.2 | 26.6 |
| $1982-83$ | 175.5 | 48.0 | 27.4 |
| $1983-84$ | 188.2 | 51.9 | 27.6 |
| $1984-85$ | 189.1 | 56.0 | 29.6 |
| $1985-86$ | 194.9 | 58.2 | 29.9 |
| $1986-87$ | 193.7 | 65.7 | 33.9 |
| $1987-88$ | 200.9 | 67.0 | 33.3 |

Basic fund distributions after off-the-top. Net revenues remaining after the off-the-top deductions are distributed to the state ( 65 percent), counties ( 26 percent), and cities ( 9 percent). The amounts that have been distributed to those entities since FY 1978-79 are provided below (totals may not add due to rounding). 1/

Basic Fund Distributions After Off-the-Top Deduction (in millions)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ \text { 1978-79 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ \hline 1979-80 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F Y \\ 1980-81 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ \hline 1981-82 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1982-83 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | \$87.0 | \$87.7 | \$86.7 | \$84.6 | \$82.9 |
| County | 34.8 | 35.1 | 34.7 | 33.8 | 33.1 |
| Cities | 12.0 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 11.7 | 11.5 |
| TOTAL | \$133.9 | \$135.0 | \$133.4 | \$130.2 | \$127.5 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1983-84 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ \text { 1984-85 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1985-86 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1986-87 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1987-88 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| State | \$88.6 | \$86.5 | \$88.8 | \$83.2 | \$87.1 |
| County | 35.5 | 35.6 | 35.5 | 33.3 | 34.8 |
| Cities | 12.3 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 11.5 | 12.1 |
| TOTAL | \$136.4 | \$134.1 | \$136.7 | \$128.0 | \$134.0 |

Additional fuel tax revenues are the result of the increases in motor fuel taxes that are listed below. The first 16 percent of the revenues generated by additional fuel taxes are allocated to the bridge repair fund.

## Additional Motor Fuel

Tax Increases

| Year |  | Gasoline |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Special Fuel |  |
| 1981 |  | 2 cents |  |
| 1983 |  | 2 cents |  |
| 1986 |  | 6 cents |  |
|  | 4 cents |  | 7.5 cents |

Bridge repair fund. The bridge repair fund was created in 1981 for bridge repair, replacement, and posting (section 43-4-205 (7), C.R.S.). Bridge fund moneys are first distributed to the state's special bridge account and, thereafter, to the counties and cities based on total cost needs under criteria of the most current federal bridge inventory. The statute also specifies that county and city bridge needs are to be determined by a "special highway committee" composed of local government members. Local governments must also provide 20 percent matching funds. Prior to June 30, 1992, the General Assembly is to reexamine the need for the fund. Distributions of bridge fund revenues from FY 1983-84 to FY 1987-88 are presented below (totals may not add due to rounding). 1/

Distribution of Bridge Repair Funds
(in millions)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1983-84 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1984-85 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1985-86 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1986-87 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1987-88 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | \$2.7 | \$3.0 | \$2.8 | \$6.9 | \$8.9 |
| County | 5.6 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 14.3 | 13.8 |
| Cities | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 7.3 | 7.0 |
| TOTAL | \$11.8 | \$11.8 | \$12.5 | \$28.5 | \$29.7 |

Fuel tax distributions after bridge fund deductions. The remaining 84 percent of the additional motor fuel taxes are distributed to the state ( 60 percent), counties ( 22 percent), and cities ( 18 percent). Dollar amounts under this formula are provided below (figures may not add due to rounding). 1/

|  | Distribution of Additional Motor Fuel Taxes (in millions) |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1982-83 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1978-79 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1978-80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1980-81 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1981-82 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| State |  |  |  | \$16.0 | \$17.7 |
| County |  |  |  | 7.3 | 8.3 |
| Cities |  |  |  | 5.9 | 6.4 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | \$29.2 | \$32.4 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ \hline 1983-84 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ \text { 1984-85 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ \hline 1985-86 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1986-87 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1987-88 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| State | \$39.7 | \$37.2 | \$39.3 | \$89.5 | \$93.8 |
| County | 19.2 | 13.6 | 14.4 | 32.9 | 34.4 |
| Cities | 14.5 | 11.2 | 11.8 | 26.8 | 28.1 |
| TOTAL | \$61.7 | \$62.0 | \$65.6 | \$149.2 | \$156.2 |

## Distribution of General Fund Transfers

General Fund transfers to the HUTF since 1979 have included revenues from sales and use taxes on specific purchases and revenues attributable to revision of the federal income tax laws.

Noble funds. Senate Bill 536 (1979) diverted a portion of sales and use taxes attributable to motor vehicles and related items to the HUTF. The money was distributed in the same ratio ( $60 / 22 / 18$ ) as additional motor fuel taxes. Total distributions between FY 1979-80 and 1987-88 are presented below (figures may not add due to rounding). 1/

|  | Distribution of Noble Funds (in millions) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ \underline{1978-79} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1978-80 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F Y \\ 1980-81 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1981-82 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1982-83 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| State |  | \$18.0 | \$19.8 | \$21.6 | \$17.4 |
| County |  | 6.6 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 6.4 |
| Cities |  | 5.4 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 5.2 |
| TOTAL |  | \$30.0 | \$33.0 | \$36.0 | \$29.0 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1983-84 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ \underline{1984-85 ~} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ \hline 1985-86 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1986-87 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1987-88 \end{gathered}$ |
| State | \$31.0 | \$31.0 | \$30.9 | \$24.0 | \$11.8 |
| County | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 8.8 | 2.4 |
| Cities | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 7.2 | 1.5 |
| TOTAL | \$51.7 | \$51.6 | \$51.4 | \$40.0 | \$15.7 |

Phasing out the Noble diversion. The Noble distribution formula remained unchanged until it was phased out during in the 1987 session (House Bill 1350). The funds were replaced in part by increased state income tax revenues attributable to the federal Tax Reform Act of 1986. The actual transfer for FY 1987-88 is the amount of increased income tax revenue remaining after meeting General Fund appropriations, the six percent reserve requirement, and restoration of moneys borrowed from the Water Conservation Board Construction and the Severance Tax Trust Funds. The most recent estimate for FY 1987-88 and transfers for fiscal years 1989 through 1991 are listed below.

## General Fund Transfers Per House Bill 1350

FY 1988 (\$15.7 million)

- 75 percent ( $\$ 11.78$ million) to the state highway fund
- 15 percent ( $\$ 2.35$ million) to counties
- 10 percent ( $\$ 1.57$ million) to municipalities;

FY 1989 (\$30 million)

- $\$ 20$ million to the state highway fund
- $\$ 6$ million to the counties
- $\$ 4$ million to the municipalities; and

FY 1990 and FY 1991 (\$10 million)

- $\$ 6$ million to the counties
- \$ 4 million to the municipalities.


## State Allocations of Funds

After the distribution of motor fuel taxes to the Highway Crossing Protection Fund, General Fund transfers to cities and counties, bridge fund, and other off-the-top expenditures, 65 percent of the first seven cents and 60 percent of the additional fuel taxes and General Fund appropriations are placed in the State Highway Fund. This fund is used to pay for CDOH operations and for financing state highway projects.

The following tables provide a history of the funds budgeted by CDOH for construction, maintenance, and operation of state highways and the amount of federal, state, and local government contributions.

Table III lists the amounts dispersed for construction, maintenance, operation, and administrative expenses of the department. Federal funds are not available for maintenance activities on the state system (totals may not add due to rounding). 1/

Table IV lists the amounts and sources of funds for construction and maintenance (totals may not add due to rounding). $1 /$

## Table III

## Cost of Highway Construction and Maintenance

 (in millions)|  | $\begin{gathered} F Y \\ 1978-89 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1979-80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F Y \\ 1980-81 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F Y \\ 1981-82 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1982-83 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1983-84 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F Y \\ 1984-85 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F Y \\ 1985-86 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1986-87 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1987-88 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal | \$9.7 | \$15.6 | \$18.8 | \$54.5 | \$109.8 | \$153.1 | \$145.7 | \$127.6 | \$148.6 | \$164.7 |
| 100\% State | 1.8 | 12.7 | 33.0 | 9.1 | 7.9 | 1.3 | 18.5 | 9.5 | 61.3 | 62.4 |
| Other | 167.5 | 142.1 | 144.9 | 109.9 | 189.9 | 120.7 | 187.7 | 157.8 | 128.7 | 98.2 |
| Subtotal | \$179.0 | \$170.4 | \$196.8 | \$173.5 | \$307.6 | \$275.1 | \$351.9 | \$294.9 | \$338.5 | \$325.2 |
| Maintenance | 59.7 | 68.6 | 82.7 | 82.5 | 95.5 | 98.8 | 104.5 | 109.6 | 112.4 | 114.6 |
| Operations | 6.7 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.5 |
| Administration | 5.9 | 6.2 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 8.3 | 9.7 | 10.6 | 11.8 | 11.2 |
| Subtotal | \$72.3 | \$81.6 | \$96.5 | \$96.7 | \$107.8 | \$111.9 | \$118.9 | \$125.5 | \$129.6 | \$131.3 |

TOTAL $\xlongequal{\$ 251.3} \xlongequal{\$ 252.0} \xlongequal{\$ 293.3} \xlongequal{\$ 270.2} \xlongequal{\$ 415.4} \xlongequal{\$ 387.0} \xlongequal{\$ 470.7} \xlongequal{\$ 420.4} \xlongequal{\$ 468.1} \underline{\$ 456.5}$

## Table IV

## Sources of State Highway Fund

 Construction and Maintenance Revenues (in millions)|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1978-79 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1979-80 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1980-81 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1981-82 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F Y \\ 1982-83 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1983-84 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1984-85 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1985-86 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1986-87 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1987-88 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal | \$147.9 | \$133.3 | \$134.9 | \$131.7 | \$265.6 | \$210.2 | \$268.8 | \$229.3 | \$226.1 | \$220.7 |
| State | 21.5 | 28.5 | 56.8 | 26.1 | 31.7 | 46.5 | 58.6 | 47.7 | 97.4 | 92.7 |
| Local * | 9.6 | 8.6 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 8.0 | 3.5 | 7.4 | 9.5 |
| Reimburse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10.0 | 4.1 | 13.4 | 16.4 | 14.4 | 7.7 | 2.4 |
| Subtotal | \$179.0 | \$170.4 | \$196.8 | \$173.5 | \$307.6 | \$275.1 | \$351.9 | \$294.9 | \$338.5 | \$325.2 |
| Maintenance | 72.3 | 81.6 | 96.5 | 96.7 | 107.8 | 111.9 | 118.9 | 125.5 | 129.6 | 131.3 |
| TOTAL | \$251.3 | \$252.0 | \$293.3 | \$270.2 | \$415.4 | \$387.0 | \$470.7 | \$420.4 | \$468.1 | \$456.5 |

* These are costs reimbursed by private enterprise, public utilities, and local and state government agencies.

Table V summarizes the amount each level of government has contributed to the total state highway construction and maintenance budget (totals may not add due to rounding). $1 /$

## Table V

Total Sources of State Highway Fund Revenue (in millions)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1978-79 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1979-80 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1980-81 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ \text { 1981-82 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1982-83 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal | \$147.9 | \$133.3 | \$134.9 | \$131.7 | \$265.6 |
| State | 93.8 | 110.2 | 153.3 | 122.8 | 139.5 |
| Local | 9.6 | 8.6 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 6.2 |
| Reimburse | -- | -- | -- | 10.1 | 4.1 |
| TOTAL | \$251.3 | \$252.0 | \$293.3 | \$270.2 | \$415.4 |


|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1983-84 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1984-85 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1985-86 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1986-87 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 1987-88 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Federal | \$210.2 | \$268.8 | \$229.3 | \$226.1 | \$220.7 |
| State | 158.4 | 177.5 | 173.2 | 227.0 | 224.0 |
| Local | 5.1 | 8.0 | 3.5 | 7.4 | 9.4 |
| Reimburse | 13.4 | 16.4 | 14.4 | 7.7 | 2.4 |
| TOTAL | \$387.1 | \$470.7 | \$420.4 | \$468.1 | \$456.5 |

## County HUTF Allocation

After initial HUTF deductions, counties are allotted 26 percent of the first 7 cents of motor fuel taxes and 22 percent of additional fuel taxes (section 43-4-207, C.R.S.). The moneys may be used for construction and maintenance on each county's highway system, including purchase of rights-of-way. However, administrative costs are limited to 5 percent of the funds that each county receives. (The City and County of Denver is considered a city when receiving HUTF funds.) Counties also receive special ownership taxes from class A vehicles (based on road-miles) and revenues generated by vehicle classes B, C, D, and F.
(Note: Whereas Colorado statutes provide for distribution of both the 9 and 18 percent formulas for cities (below), no mention is made of criteria to be used to distribute the 22-percent share of additional motor fuel taxes mentioned above.)

Allocation among the countios. Eighty percent of the funds are allocated in proportion to the mileage of public highways in each county (exclusive of the state system). These miles are adjusted by applying terrain and construction difficulty factors determined by the state highway department.

| Class | Eactor |
| :--- | :---: |
| - Plain | 1.00 |
| - Plains and rolling irrigated | 1.75 |
| - Mountainous | 3.00 |

The remaining 20 percent is allocated in proportion to the rural motor vehicle registrations in each county.

## Municipal HUTF Allocation

After initial HUTF deductions, cities receive 9 percent of the revenues from the first 7 cents of motor fuel taxes and 18 percent of additional motor fuel taxes (section 43-4-208, C.R.S.). The moneys may be used for construction and maintenance on the city highway system, including purchase of rights-of-way. However, administrative costs are limited to 5 percent of the funds that each city receives. Cities also receive special ownership taxes from class A vehicles (based on road mileage) and revenues generated by class B, C, D, and F vehicles.

Allocation among cities. Colorado statute provides two different allocation formulas for motor fuel taxes designated for cities:

Nine percent formula. Eighty percent of revenues received from the first 7 cents of motor fuel taxes is distributed based on adjusted urban motor vehicle registrations. Adjusted registrations are calculated by multiplying the actual registrations by a factor that reflects the costs of construction resulting from high concentrations of vehicles in urban areas.

The remaining 20 percent is allocated in proportion to the city's centerline-miles (section 43-4-208 (2), C.R.S.).

Eighteen percent formula. Eighty percent of the additional revenues from motor fuel taxes imposed since 1979 are distributed based on adjusted urban motor vehicle registrations. The calculation is the same as that mentioned in the nine percent formula but uses different adjusting factors (section 43-4-208 (6), C.R.S.). The remaining 20 percent is allocated in proportion to the city's centerline-miles (section 43-4-205 (6)(b)(III), C.R.S.).

## Local Government Transportation Finance

In addition to state HUTF receipts, local governments in Colorado may employ debt financing and various types of benefit assessment districts to fund transportation improvements. The state has also created independent authorities to build and operate highways and mass transit projects on a regional basis.

Local government debt financing. Procedures for the issuance of local government general obligation (GO) debt are specified in Section 6 of Article XI of the state constitution. Except for provisions in the charters of home rule cities and counties, GO debt must be adopted by "legislative measure" and approved by voters. In general, these restrictions do not apply to revenue bonds and similar debt redeemed from dedicated revenue sources (see "special fund" doctrine, p. 25).

Municipalities may incur debt to buy, build, or improve public streets. Total debt is limited to no more than three percent of the actual value of taxable property within the city. The length of debt is limited to 30 years (section 31-15-301, et seq., C.R.S.).

Home rule cities may issue all forms of municipal debt (Art. XX, Sect. 6, Colo. Const.). If there are no restrictions on debt in their adopted charters, the limitations in either Article XI or XX of the state constitution do not apply (Davis v. City of Pueblo, 158 Colo. 319, 406 P.2d 671, 1965).

Counties. Upon voter approval, counties may issue debt to build or repair public roads and mass transit systems (section 30-26-301, et seq., C.R.S.). The length of debt is limited to 20 years. Each county is also authorized to issue debt for their capital improvement trust fund projects (section 30-26-501, et seq., C.R.S.). Some local governments have issued bonds based on their HUTF allocations.

Local government leasing options. Municipalities are authorized to enter into long-term rental and leasing arrangements for land, buildings (except residential), equipment, and other property. Such agreements are not considered indebtedness as that term is used in the state constitution (section 31-15-801 to 31-15-803, C.R.S.). Counties have similar powers (section 30-11-104.1 and 30-11-104.2, C.R.S.).

Benefit assessment districts. Local benefit assessment districts are found in Colorado statute in Article 25 of Title 31 (cities) and Article 20 of Title 30 (counties). Municipal forms are known as general and special improvement districts; county forms are known as public and local improvement districts. Within a broad range of public improvements, these districts may improve and extend, in whole or in part, any street within their area. The districts differ in terms of their revenue source (taxes or assessments), the limits placed on the level of debt, and their degree of independence from the government jurisdictions in which they operate.

## Independent Authorities

Colorado has created several quasi-governmental authorities to plan, construct, operate, and maintain regional road and mass transit systems.

Public highway authoritios. Combinations of cities, counties, and the state may form public highway authorities to plan, build, operate, and maintain highways (section 43-4-501, et seq., C.R.S.). This is the statute under which W-470 and E-470 are organized. Boards of directors of such authorities are composed of elected officials from the member governments. Financing may be achieved through:

- highway expansion fees on building permits;
- annual motor vehicle registration fees up to $\$ 10$;
- sales tax levies up to four-tenths of one percent;
- employment privilege ("head") taxes up to $\$ 2$;
- business privilege taxes up to $\$ 2$ per employee;
- federal funds under certain conditions;
- the pledging of local governments' share of HUTF moneys;
- establishing local improvement districts, subject to petitions signed by the majority of land owners and electors within the district; and
- value capture areas for property and sales taxes within the authority's boundaries.

Public highway authorities also have broad powers to enter agreements with other public agencies and private interests for joint financing and operation, donations, and other contributions. Any new tax or tax increase is subject to voter approval. Authority bonds may be issued based on any of the above revenue sources.

Mass transit -- RTD, TCA. The Regional Transportation District (RTD) was created in 1969 to develop and operate a mass transportation system within the Denver metropolitan area (section 32-9-101, et sef., C.R.S.). It is governed by an elected board. RTD is authorized to:

- establish fares;
- issue various short- and long-term debt instruments;
- levy property taxes, subject to limitations;
- levy a sales tax of 0.6 percent, subject to voter approval; and
- accept federal, state, and local grants and loans.

The district also has broad powers to contract with various agencies and persons for services and private financing, and to receive gifts, donations, and other contributions.

RTD was recently mandated to construct seven mass transit corridors in the Denver metropolitan area. Revenue sources for these projects include:

- an additional sales tax of up to one percent in the metro area, subject to voter approval;
- an employment privilege tax of up to \$2;
- a business privilege tax of up to $\$ 2$;
- a "mass transit fee" on commercial property; and
- tax increment financing, subject to the approval of affected local governments.

Senate Bill 164 (1988) directed RTD to contract out at least twenty percent of its bus service to private contractors. Provisions in the law also direct the district to study the feasibility of private contracts for its management services.

The Transit Construction Authority (TCA) was created in 1987 to build a light rail transit system from northern Douglas County to Denver's central business district (section 32-9.5-101, et seq., C.R.S.). TCA is governed by a seven-member board appointed by the Governor and confirmed by the Senate. Within a corridor one-half mile on either side of the transit line, TCA is authorized to:

- collect employment privilege taxes (up to \$2);
- levy commercial property taxes;
- issue equipment trust certificates and revenue bonds;
- upon agreement, share up to fifty percent of increases in sales or property taxes collected over the prior year by other taxing jurisdictions within the corridor;
- receive gifts, donations, and other contributions; and
- lease authority properties and development rights.


## Section 2: Transportation Finance in Other States

This section discusses various transportation financing mechanisms used in other states. Emphasis has been placed on techniques not normally found in Colorado.

User fees. The economics of toll roads has limited their use in recent years. However, they may provide a measure of support for operation and maintenance expenses and may be self-sustaining under certain conditions.

- Pennsylvania is continuing with a statewide plan for $\$ 3.7$ billion in subsidized toll roads. The plan includes the sale of revenue bonds and the conversion of two segments of the interstate system to toll roads. The extent of subsidies will depend on toll rates, changes in policies regarding federal support for toll road construction, and federal repayment requirements for tolled sections of the interstate. Based on the deterioration of those sections, full payment may not be required. The program is overseen by a five-member Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission appointed by the Governor and confirmed by the Senate. The Secretary of Transportation serves ex officio.
- A 13-mile toll road was recently completed connecting Dulles Airport with the Washington, D.C., beltway. Even though the road was constructed parallel to an existing free access road to the airport, the road has been self-sustaining with revenues 20 percent above projections. Several factors made the Dulles Toll Road feasible:
* it is in a high volume traffic corridor;
* its tolls are relatively high (six cents per mile);
* it was constructed on previously acquired land; and
* the road is maintained by the Virginia Department of Transportation out of annual appropriations. 6/
Though many states have increased motor fuel taxes in recent years, in many instances the revenues have not kept pace with the cost of highway construction and have been undermined by lower fuel consumption. Between 1973 and 1983, the Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) Highway Maintenance and Operation Cost Trend Index increased 119 percent while the purchasing power of motor fuel collections decreased 42 percent. $7 /$

[^0]To address this situation, Ohio created a variable rate motor fuel tax formula incorporating the FHWA index (1981). Michigan (1982) and Wisconsin (1985) have enacted similar systems. Under Ohio's variable rate system, fuel taxes are annually adjusted in proportion to changes in the index and inversely to changes in fuel consumption. A tax rate ceiling was included in Ohio's statute and has since been reached; Michigan's system provided for adjustments in 1983 and 1984 only; and Wisconsin's formula does not contain a ceiling. The presence of rate ceilings is noted as one of the flaws in current variable rate formulas.

Assessing benefited property. Benefit assessment practices recognize that specific advantages are often realized because of transportation improvements, e.g., increased customer traffic, land values and development potential, and decreased travel costs. Benefit assessment attempts to identify these advantages as well as their beneficiaries, capture all or a portion of the value of those benefits, and direct the resulting revenues into project support.

The benefit assessment techniques discussed below are similar in many ways to aspects of public-private joint ventures discussed in the next section. Appendix D offers examples of how these techniques have been used in combination to assign costs among beneficiaries in order to support various projects. Though the examples provided are for mass transit, the techniques have also been used to finance highway projects.

Tax increment financing (TIF) is a technique used to capture increases in value that are a consequence of new development. For example, the construction of a new highway interchange would be expected to stimulate development in the surrounding area and increase the value of existing property or the level of economic activity. When a TIF district is established, the level of property or sales taxes paid to existing jurisdictions is capped at pre-construction levels. Future tax revenues above this cap -- the "increment" -- are used to finance the project directly, or indirectly through the issuance of debt securities.

In general, TIF has not been used extensively for transportation projects. The technique has partially financed construction of the Embarcadero Station of the Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) system in San Francisco and bus stations and pedestrian concourses for a downtown bus loop in Toledo (Ohio). The technique has also been proposed for highway improvements in Prince Georges County (Maryland) near a terminal of the Washington, D.C. rapid transit system.

Benefit assessment districts are created to spread the cost of improvements over the benefited properties within a specific area. Assessment formulas generally attempt to calculate charges based on the amount of benefits received and apportion them based on linear or square footage or the distance from the improvement.

For example, Virginia has created multi-county transportation improvement districts (section 15.1-1372.1, et seq., C.V.A.). The governing board of the district is composed of members from each participating county's board of supervisors. In addition to local government contributions, districts may levy "special improvements
taxes" of not more than twenty cents per $\$ 100$ of assessed fair market value of commercial and industrial property in the district. Revenues may be used to fund highway project bonds issued by the state's highway commission (Commonwealth Transportation Board).

Connector fees are charged to owners or developers of facilities that are or wish to be directly connected to transportation facilities, e.g., a mass transit station. They may be in the form of:

* lump sum payments for special access or the construction of special access to the system;
* annual contributions toward construction, operation, and maintenance of the system; and
* 'in lieu' dedication of property for station areas or easements.

Connector fees have been used extensively in the Miami (Florida) Metromover, Los Angeles' Metro Rail, and the Washington, D.C. regional transit system. 8/

Development impact fees may be levied in order to mitigate the effects of increased development on new or expanded transportation systems, such as additional capital and operating expenses. These fees may be a levy on square footage, sponsorship of ridesharing programs, or specific project conditions such as design elements and density limitations imposed through local planning agencies. Systems development charges are a variation of impact fees which allow new development to contribute to existing facilities in anticipation of the impact such development will have on the need for future expansion. They are normally collected at the time a building permit is granted and may be a flat or percentage fee and may range from several hundred to several thousand dollars.

Public-private joint ventures. Public-private joint ventures are defined as "any mutually beneficial activity undertaken by government and business to solve community problems that yields benefits to both the private interest and the community at large." 9/

[^1]9/ Building Prosperity: Financing Public Infrastructure for Economic Development, Government Finance Research Center of the Municipal Finance Officers Association, October 1983, pp. 46-47.

Public contributions to such relationships include: grants, road or water system improvements, financial arrangements such as tax increment financing or tax abatements, the use of eminent domain condemnation, expediting permitting processes, and approving zoning changes for higher density developments.

Private contributions to such relationships include: formation of special business partnerships and advisory committees, expertise to perform detailed analyses and feasibility studies, executive loan programs, assembling private finance packages, and consenting to special assessment arrangements. Private business interests may also bring longer-term perspectives to projects.

Leasing may be useful in situations where an asset will become obsolete before the end of its useful life (e.g., computer equipment) or where the cost of the asset or how long it will be used does not justify the expense of issuing bonds. Leasing agreements can also be very flexible. The concept has been expanded to include its use in large capital projects. Different forms of leasing are discussed below.

Under lease-purchase agreements, governments contract with private investors or other public agencies to build or purchase an asset such as a building. The contract specifies the number and amount of payments to be made to the owner of the building. These payments include construction costs plus debt interest being carried by a third party. Sale-leaseback is a variation of lease-purchase in which a public agency builds or acquires an asset with its own funds and then sells that asset to private investors. The investors then lease the asset back to the state while retaining its income tax benefits (e.g., depreciation, tax-exempt interest).

Sale-leaseback is often used in renovation projects. The Oakland (California) city auditorium was constructed using sale-leaseback. The Suffolk County (New York) sewer system was refinanced using similar techniques. 10/ In most circumstances, ownership of the asset reverts to the government at the end of the leasing period. This technique has also been used for the purchase of subway cars and buses.

Leasing public land adjacent to or air rights above transportation systems, especially mass transit stations, has provided additional revenues for operating or construction expenses for several transit projects around the country. A 1985 internal audit of RTD's air rights lease above the Civic Center Station terminal on the 16th Street Mall estimates average annual income between $\$ 300,000$ and $\$ 700,000$ from 1985 to 1990. An additional $\$ 350,000$ is gained annually from underground parking at the site. Any improvements in the downtown real estate market are expected to increase income from the lease.

[^2]Donations, especially of land or money, may be accepted, normally in exchange for tax benefits or increased access to a project. The Texas Transportation Corporation has received over $\$ 600$ million in private donations in 18 months. 11/ Private contributions helped finance the renovation of San Francisco's cable car system.

Cost or benefit sharing allows developers to contribute to transportation projects that will be integrated with their own developments. For example, several public agencies in New York City developed a series of mandatory requirements in terms of design, density, and use. Based on these conditions, developers contributed $\$ 39$ million for renovation of subway connections in exchange for an additional 2 million square feet of density. With such techniques, it is important that private cost sharers be included in the early design stages of the actual facilities. Benefit sharing may also take the form of developer financing for all or a portion of a project. Private pension funds also represent large pools of investment capital.

Cost sharing may also take the form of negotiated investments. These are similar to impact fees but differ in that the "fees" are negotiated between developers and the public agency involved. They may be in the form of capital improvements such as expanding a highway interchange, in-kind contributions, or lump sum payments. They are usually negotiated in exchange for other development concessions such as zoning changes or expedited permitting procedures. Local planning agencies are often involved.

In addition to private toll roads, transportation corporations are authorized in some states as an adjunct to public financing of roads. In Texas, such non-profit corporations apply to the state's highway commission for certification (section 15281, T.C.S.). The commission also assigns the corporation's board of directors and its area of operation. They are granted all powers necessary to work directly with landowners and government agencies and may borrow funds and receive donations and contributions. They contract with the commission and public agencies to construct roads on the state and interstate system only.

Because of the large number of people served by buses and transit stations, mass transit systems offer opportunities to raise additional revenues through advertising, marketing, and merchandising. Space in high traffic areas may be leased or rented for displays or kiosks and retail concessions constructed (whether manned or for vending machines). Payments may be based on revenue percentages or annual fees. Though concessions may increase security and maintenance expenses, such increases may be included in the payments.

[^3]Contracted services have also been used in several areas (e.g., subscription commuter services and weekend taxi service to areas served by buses during the week). Privatization -- the operation or sale of a portion or all of, for example, a public bus system -- has also increased in popularity. These efforts are often intended to increase competition in local transit markets in order to better control or reduce prices and increase service. They can also relieve governments of heavy capital (equipment) burdens.

Land banking is the process whereby land is purchased in anticipation of future needs. If land can be purchased before major development occurs, large cost savings may be realized or revenues increased through leasing for development purposes. It may be useful in transit corridors or for future mass transit stations. Though not strictly land banking, the Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority has pursued an aggressive program to lease and develop its land holdings.

Additional taxes. Real estate transfer taxes are levied when property is sold. They are normally based on a percentage of sales price. Construction taxes are based on property improvements and calculated as a percentage of the value of the improvements. Both taxes are often used in areas experiencing rapid growth. Other transportation revenue sources include severance taxes in Wyoming and New Mexico and so-called gross receipts taxes in New Mexico and Arizona (transaction privilege tax).

Several states also have local option taxes dedicated for local transportation projects -- Arizona (sales tax), Florida (motor fuels tax), and Iowa (property tax).

State assistance for local governments. Local projects may not be large enough to make issuing debt feasible because of the fees and interest rates involved with small debt issues (under $\$ 25$ million). Similarly, local governments may not have the bond ratings necessary to secure private financing. Several state programs to address these local needs are discussed below. Though some of the examples are for water and sewer projects, the principles involved can be applied to transportation finance.

According to the National Conference of State Legislatures (NCSL), nine states and Puerto Rico have established bond banks that pool several small local debt issues into a single large offering. 12/ In that way economies of scale in administering, underwriting, and marketing the bonds may be achieved (e.g., Vermont's Municipal Bond Bank; section 24-451, V.S.A.) States may also back such issues with their own credit, allowing additional interest rate savings. Assessing local governments for the cost of such programs creates debt financing savings at no cost to the state.

12/ Capital Budgeting and Finance -- The Legislative Role, National Conference of State Legislatures, November 1987, pp. 101-102.

State debt advisory commissions assist local governments with structuring, packaging, and marketing bond issues. Rhode Island's Public Financial Management Board was created to advise and assist state and local government agencies with planning and issuing activities related to revenue and GO bonds. It is also charged with studying methods to reduce the costs and improve the ratings of such bonds and to conduct a comprehensive review of capital financing practices of state and local agencies. It reports to the legislature annually (section 42-10.1-1, et seq., G.L.R.I.). Most debt financing issued by state and local governments in North Carolina, including lease financing, must have the approval of that state's Local Government Commission. Both Rhode Island and North Carolina charge the state and local agencies for these services.

Revolving loan funds represent a set amount of money that is loaned out and continually recycled by principal and interest payments made by local governments. Such funds may be capitalized by bonds, dedicated revenues, or direct appropriations. Local matching funds, whether public or private, may be required. This increases the leverage of state funds and provides a measure of local responsibility. Program examples can be found in Indiana and Pennsylvania. Georgia has recently created a development bank to assist unrated or non-ratable communities with water and sewer bond issues.

Other forms of state assistance include interest buydowns, loan guarantees, and bond insurance. With interest buydowns, the state funds the difference between existing market rates for local government borrowing and what each of those governments can afford (e.g., Utah). Low- or no-interest loans can also be provided to fund the difference. Again, state funds are leveraged while supporting projects that may not otherwise be financially feasible.

Loan guarantees are state assurances that bond payments will be made should the issuer default. This is done by the state pledging its credit or establishing a reserve or revolving fund from direct appropriations or proceeds from state bond sales (e.g., Oklahoma and New Hampshire). This is a way to leverage state funds, though there is some risk involved. New Jersey's Wastewater Treatment Trust provides low interest loans and loan guarantees to local governments for wastewater treatment facilities. The $\$ 1.7$ billion trust is capitalized by GO bonds, state appropriations, and other revenues. In case of default, state aid may be sequestered to make payments (section 58:11B-1, et seq., N.J.S.A.). Other states allow local governments to earmark state aid if payments cannot be made. State bond insurance is similar to loan guarantees.

Arizona deposits a portion of the state's lottery revenues in the local transportation assistance fund (up to $\$ 23$ million per year). Cities apply to the director of the state department of transportation and moneys are distributed based on population (section 28-2601 to 28-2603, A.R.S.).

Independent authorities. Many states have created single-purpose agencies to analyze and finance state capital construction projects. These independent authorities are often structured so that their activities are legally distant from and therefore not
considered a part of state or local government. This is especially true where there are prohibitions or limitations on the state's ability to issue debt or to enter into joint ventures with the private sector. Such authorities can be structured to have any of a number of roles -- from pass-through project and funding approval to statewide planning, financing, and construction -- and may use any or all of the techniques described in the preceding pages. In this way they increase the options available to the public sector.

Sources of revenue for independent authorities may include:

- yearly legislative appropriations;
- access to a revenue stream, such as a portion of the sales tax, that is dedicated exclusively to the authority; and
- in many cases, the issuance of taxable or tax-exempt securities. Such securities are often backed by revenues, such as tolls, from the project being developed.


## Section 3: Public Policy Issues in Transportation Finance

This section provides added background information and explores some of the issues and public policy concerns associated with the various transportation financing methods discussed above.

Introduction. In recent years, many states have begun to examine how they finance their surface transportation systems. Much of the impetus behind these efforts is from:

- the inability of existing revenue sources -- notably motor fuel and other vehicle-related fees -- to keep pace with inflation and the cost of construction, repair, and maintenance of neglected transportation systems;
- declining federal aid or funding that does not meet local needs due to use restrictions; and
- existing local funding restrictions and the passage of several state and local tax and expenditure limitation initiatives, especially those related to debt financing.

User fees. As available public funds for transportation diminish, user fees are becoming an increasingly common method to finance and maintain public facilities and services. User fees are gaining popularity for several reasons.

User fees are thought to impose a greater degree of fiscal discipline on governments and consumers by providing direct links between the cost of various facilities and services and what the users are willing to pay. Such price signals promote more efficient use of existing resources by indicating the types of services and facilities desired and such characteristics as the hours of operation, and the level of maintenance. For example, poorly maintained facilities may not attract the number of users or level of fees necessary to sustain them. Conversely, high demand for a facility may warrant expanded hours of operation. Different forms of users fees are discussed below.

In general, tolls are charged for access to highways, bridges, and tunnels, providing a price mechanism to allocate road costs among road users or to relieve traffic congestion through peak load pricing. Tolls are also a source of continuing revenues for operation and maintenance and to retire construction debt.

Though once a common financing mechanism, several factors account for the fall in popularity of toll roads after the 1950s. Since its completion, federal government approval has been required for tolling most segments of the interstate highway system. There are also stipulations in federal law that require states to reimbuse the federal government for funds used to construct an existing segment proposed for tolls. However, the changing economics of toll roads in the intervening years may have had a larger role in their declining use.

The cost of building, financing, and maintaining roads has increased dramatically since the 1950s. This requires tolls considerably higher than in the past in order to keep pace with inflation. Also, a public accustomed to "free" travel may not readily accept the toll road concept nor the fees required to maintain the roads. Combining these factors, it is estimated that traffic levels six to eight times those needed in 1950 would be required to sustain present day toll roads.

Nonetheless, toll roads may prove self-sustaining under certain conditions and in many instances can at least provide a measure of financial support when coupled with other revenues such as fuel taxes. A survey of users of a toll road recently constructed to the Dulles International Airport revealed that over seventy-five percent use it because it saves them time. Contrary to the perceived negative public view of toll roads -- a perception currently shared by the federal government, according to one author -- "people are willing to pay when the benefits are obvious to them." 13/

Besides providing a consistent source of revenue, increased parking fees may alter travel habits and increase the use of mass transit. However, because downtown areas face competition from suburban shopping malls and office parks that normally have free parking, increased parking charges may be counterproductive and discourage downtown travel.

Increases in vehicle registration fees have also not kept pace with construction costs or increasing demands placed on transportation systems. However, raising such fees may be difficult because they are highly visible and paid as a lump sum. An alternate system of fees based on vehicle weight offers connections between weight and road damage, but such fees are not related to the extent to which the vehicle is using the roads, as are fuel taxes. Weight-distance taxes, such as Colorado's gross ton-mile tax, attempt to combine and relate both elements to highway deterioration. However, from a practical standpoint, it is difficult to monitor vehicle weight along different roads as cargoes are loaded and unloaded. Differences in weight-distance formulas between neighboring states may lead to tax competition, though conflicts may be minimized by regional or interstate agreements.

Summary. Central to the success of user fees are:

- the ease of identifying the users of a given facility or service;
- the ease of calculating the cost of that usage;
- the ease of administering and collecting user fee revenues; and
- whether user fees can provide up-front revenues or support debt service in addition to operation and maintenance costs.

13/ Greenbaum, Innovative Financing, p. 145.

An additional concern involves equity issues -- the ability of various income groups to afford the user fees necessary to finance and maintain a public facility or service. The use of criteria to determine a user's ability to pay ("means testing") and adjusting fees accordingly is an alternative.

Lease-purchase and sale-leaseback arrangements are attractive to investors because interest payments are tax-exempt under current federal income tax rules. Other features that governments are not able to take advantage of, such as depreciation, may also be retained prior to turning the asset over to the government. For governments, such agreements do not normally count toward debt limits and they spread the cost of the asset over its useful life. Governments may also rely on the private sector for quick construction and cost controls.

Yet, depending on market conditions and the bond rating of the agency involved, leasing can be less expensive than revenue bonds and less onerous than pay-as-you-go techniques. Certain costs associated with bonds -- underwriting, elections, and legal fees -- can be avoided with leasing, although it is generally considered the most expensive way to finance capital needs. It may also be viewed as a way to circumvent debt prohibitions.

When leasing public land adjacent to or air rights above transportation systems, community groups have challenged the equity of the terms of such agreements, an issue that applies as well to negotiated investments and cost sharing agreements. Donations may be difficult to integrate into existing agency procedures or comprehensive planning efforts. They may also be dependent on local economic and real estate market conditions.

All parties to cost sharing should be included in the earliest design stages of the actual facilities. Private developer financing can bring considerable professional expertise to public projects, possibly reducing public expenditures. However, the availability of private financing may skew policy decisions toward such financing and away from more needs-based project priorities. It may also entail the loss of control over certain aspects of the project.

Land banking may require technical skills not currently found in government in the areas of selling, leasing, and marketing real estate. It may also place government in competition with private sector interests and activities, although private parties could secure the land and make donations of rights-of-way or other development tracts. Legal issues may also arise with the use of eminent domain proceedings to assemble property rights in excess of those immediately needed for the project.

Assessing benefited property. As stated previously, benefit assessment practices recognize that certain advantages may result from transportation improvements. A variety of techniques and related issues are discussed below.

Development impact fees may be seen as unnecessary impediments to growth or overly intrusive. Negotiated investments may involve many agencies at different levels of government, particularly local planning agencies, and take several years to
finalize. Such delays may be unacceptable to developers or conflict with the urgency of the project. A level of communication and coordination that does not currently exist may be necessary. The necessary skills for achieving equitable agreements may also be lacking, such as: negotiating with large, experienced developers; creating formulas for the equitable allocation of the costs of transportation improvements; and experience in cost-based fee setting and cost accounting techniques.

One consequence of tax increment financing is that it limits the amount of taxes available to existing governments within the district at a time when the development may be increasing the demand for services from those jurisdictions. Such a situation may require increased levels of cooperation between various local agencies.

Issues that arise with assessing benefited property include:

- whether consideration should also be given to the potential use of the property rather than its current use;
- whether actual benefits should be monitored periodically and assessments recalculated to accommodate increased development;
- striking a balance between equity among different land uses and assessment formulas that are understandable and easy to administer; and
- striking a balance between review and protest procedures and the degree to which such procedures may delay projects.

According to materials presented at a recent U.S. Department of Transportation conference, the most important factors in bringing about satisfactory benefit-sharing arrangements are:

- the inclusion of a benefit-sharing philosophy into ongoing planning and implementation process; and
- the willingness to cooperate among local planning and development agencies, elected officials, and private interests.

Public agencies should also realize that:

- cost-sharing cannot replace traditional funding sources or declining federal funds;
- contributions are less likely at the initial stages of a project (before indications of its possible value); and
- developers are generally more motivated by direct benefits that contribute to their projects rather than to completion of the project and its public - benefits.

Other important elements in establishing successful joint ventures include:

- systematic review within transportation agencies of possible cost-sharing opportunities;
- approaching the private sector in a business-like manner;
- careful attention to market analysis, design details, project phasing, and coordination during construction; 14/
- recognition of mutual interests;
- clear definition of the roles and responsibilities of each party;
- development of feasible objectives; and
- strong local leadership from the public or private sector. 15/

Other issues include the extent to which the state should aid private developers and the federal government's view of such contributions and how they will affect the availability of federal funds. According to the Rice Center, benefit assessment fees can provide no more than ten percent of highway improvements in a given locality and, overall, no more than four to five percent of total national highway program needs. 16/

Regarding state assistance to local governments for project finance, NCSL notes that "states are not necessarily in any better position to help finance capital projects than are local governments, and that in no case should local projects be supported by the state unless there is a clear state interest.... States that want to and have the capacity to provide localities with increased assistance in meeting local capital needs also may want to consider increasing state aid, loosening state restrictions on local expenditures and indebtedness, and providing supervision and technical assistance." $17 /$

[^4]Depending on a number of factors, the cost savings achieved by state bond banks may be only marginally better than that possible through a debt advisory commission. Bond bank activities may also place the state's bond rating at risk because assistance is often to those areas most likely to default. The withdrawal of state aid may also cause financial problems for local governments dependent on such aid. However, local government assistance can provide a means to encourage greater local responsibility in the area of maintenance and capital asset planning and can target assistance to specific areas or for particular projects. State loan guarantees and insurance may create savings by decreasing bond interest rates, though comparisons are needed between the cost of such programs and resulting interest rate savings. For poorly rated or unrated communities, insurance may cost more than the cumulative value of the interest reduction. Also, bonds that are not marketable without insurance will generally not qualify for insurance.

Independent authorities. Independent authorities may be more efficient in developing and completing projects because they are:

- able to develop the financial and technical expertise needed in an area like capital construction;
- able to apply more private sector business and management practices in their operations;
- often exempt from prevailing wage, procurement, competitive bidding, and affirmative action requirements;
- able to avoid the uncertainties of year-to-year legislative appropriations, often due to exclusive access to a dedicated revenue stream; and
- insulated from political pressure on capital budgets, whether to build questionable projects or to defer maintenance budgets in favor of operating budgets or unrelated programs.

Contrary arguments include:

- single purpose agencies may be less accountable to the public. To a degree this may surrender certain public policy decisions to non-elected officials, whether within the authority itself or in the financial markets. This may be particularly important for large, controversial projects or in instances where public priorities may change;
- a mixed record among the states concerning the ability of independent authorities to remain self-supporting from their own activities;
- state and local governments -- not the authority -- will feel the effects of default or other financial problems;
- the need for continued public oversight. Because of their ongoing access to dedicated revenue streams, they may have reduced incentives for efficiency; and
- competition with private sector construction activities, depending on an authority's ability to enter into joint ventures with private parties.

Some of these concerns can be handled by:

- including authority activities in state capital development planning and budgeting processes;
- designing links between the authority and various state agencies with similar duties such as through appointments to the authority's governing board;
- establishing various controls over those portions of the authority's budget that exceed operating and debt reserve requirements;
- requiring legislative approval of various financing methods; and
- mandating independent audits. 18/


## Summary of Public Policy Issues

Several factors should be taken into account when considering various financing methods:

- size of the project;
- identifiable beneficiaries, if possible;
- total costs associated with each method;
- current fiscal condition and future obligations of the state -- projects should not be looked at in isolation from other obligations and controls should be in place to guard against overextending credit;
- revenue and demographic trends;
- current and future market conditions; and
- legal and political constraints. 19/

18/ Walsh A., "Public Authorities and State Decision-Making," Stretching Dollars, pp. 43-44.
$19 /$ NCSL, Capital Budgeting and Finance, p. 73.

In terms of the type of financing chosen, considerations include whether the project can or will be self-supporting, the extent of existing cash reserves to fund initial phase(s) of or the entire project, the extent of existing debt load and the current costs of borrowing, whether an election would be necessary, and the expected life of the investment. 20/

As noted earlier, some of the innovative techniques discussed above may put private sector interests in de facto control of various aspects of public projects. There may be expenses involved with monitoring those interests, including the need for greater expertise in project finance and real estate. Some of the methods may also skew project selection away from analyses based on needs toward projects for which financing is available, especially with intergovernmental grants.

Intergovernmental relations. As discussed above, many innovative financing techniques require more complex relationships between different levels of government and between the public and private sectors. Any changes in the roles or the current structure of responsibilities for transportation finance and project construction may have larger, more varied, and longer-lasting impacts than the techniques themselves. Reallocating available funds or responsibilities or creating new levels of oversight or control may prove more difficult to implement than finding new sources of revenue.

At present, Colorado has several statutory provisions that allow local jurisdictions to issue debt or to establish benefit assessment and tax increment districts for transportation. Additionally, several regional authorities have been created in the Denver area to complete highway projects and various mass transit corridors. Public policy issues may involve: coordinating the activities of these agencies; the capacity of the area economy to support several large projects at one time; competition between projects to establish funding from limited sources; and determining whether the projects that receive funding are those most important to the state's long-term growth.

[^5]
## PART II

## State and Local-Transportation Needs

Introduction. Perhaps the most dramatic aspect of the Highway Legislation Review Committee's deliberations was the magnitude of the state's needs in the area of transportation. The expense of preventing the further deterioration of state and local road systems to the year 2001 and beyond is expected to far outstrip revenues generated by current legislation. Further, such maintenance would not begin to address the need for added lanes, safety improvements, and surface upgrades that will be demanded by increasing vehicle-miles traveled over the same period.

Failure to address these maintenance and capacity needs is expected to severely impact rush hour traffic along the Front Range, access to recreational areas in the mountains, and worsen air pollution in several areas. Such developments may adversely effect the state's economic climate. Finally, it should also be noted that the cost estimates associated with highway construction do not include the multi-billion dollar costs of mass transit in the metro area. All of these programs will be competing with other needs such as primary and secondary education and social services during a time when Colorado's economy may not be able to support the added tax burdens.

Various scenarios presented below are based on high, medium, and low levels of service. The following tables detail:

* the cost of state and local highway construction and maintenance needs to the year 2001;
* the cost of metropolitan Denver mass transit needs; and
* revenue shortfalls from current sources in meeting those service levels (figures may not add due to rounding).

Criteria used to establish the high, medium, and low needs scenarios are presented first. 21/

21/ Presentation to HLRC, Colorado's Surface Transportation Needs to 2001/2010, Colorado Department of Highways, June 30, 1988 (Revised October 20, 1988) .

## Criteria for Alternative Levels of Needs

| Category | High | Medium | Low |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surface Condition |  |  |  |
| Good | $60 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Fair | $35 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Poor | $5 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Maintenance | inflation | inflation | current levels <br> \& Operations <br> and VMT |
| Capacity | $80 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Geometrics | $80 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| Bridges | $100 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Noise Walls | $2 / y r$. | $1 / \mathrm{yr}$. | $1 / \mathrm{yr}$. |
| Interchanges | $100 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Railroad | $100 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Separations | 18 | $1 / \mathrm{yr}$. | $1 / 2 \mathrm{yrs}$. |

Table VI

## State and Local Transportation Cost Estimates <br> (in billions)

| Category | High | Medium | Low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State system |  |  |  |
| Surface Condition | \$2.30 | \$1.20 | \$1.00 |
| Capacity | 2.00 | 1.50 | 1.00 |
| Geo./Safety | 0.90 | 0.70 | 0.40 |
| M \& O | 1.34 | 1.27 | 0.91 |
| Bridges | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.18 |
| Interstate | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| Other | 0.57 | $\underline{0.36}$ | 0.26 |
| Subtotal | \$7.86 | \$5.69 | \$4.24 |
| Local roads |  |  |  |
| Surface Condition | \$6.50 | \$5.50 | \$2.93 |
| M \& O | 4.72 | 3.66 | 2.49 |
| Bridge | 1.22 | 1.19 | 0.97 |
| Subtotal | \$12.44 | \$10.35 | \$6.39 |
| Mass transit |  |  |  |
| RTD | \$3.62 | \$2.84 | \$2.31 |
| TCA | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| Other urban | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| Rural | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| Elderly | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| Subtotal | \$4.45 | \$3.67 | \$3.14 |
| Special District/ Authority * |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| E-470 | \$0.95 | \$0.95 | \$0.95 |
| W-470 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 |
| Berthoud Tunnel | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| Interchanges | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| Subtotal | 1.78 | 1.78 | 1.78 |
| TOTAL | \$26.53 | \$21.49 | \$15.55 |

* Special districts and highway authorities are assumed to be self-supporting. Interchanges are privately funded.

The table below compares estimated revenues from existing legislation with the needs scenarios presented above.

Total Transportation Revenue Shortfall Through 2001
(in billions)

| Category | High |  | Medium |  | Low |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Annual | Total | Annual | Total | Annual |
| State | \$4.59 | \$0.35 | \$2.42 | \$0.19 | \$0.97 | \$0.07 |
| Local | 7.26 | 0.56 | 5.17 | 0.40 | 1.21 | 0.09 |
| Special District/ Authority * | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Transit | 1.55 | 0.12 | 0.77 | 0.06 | 0.24 | 0.02 |
| TOTAL | \$13.40 | \$1.03 | \$8.36 | \$0.65 | \$2.42 | \$0.18 |

* Special districts and highway authorities are assumed to be self-supporting. Revenue estimates are from existing legislation and are expressed in 1987 dollars discounted at 3 percent. Annualized numbers are for 13 years.

The table below details the needs estimates for the Denver metro area under the medium scenario and the projected revenue shortfalls. The figures do not include mass transit needs.

\[

\]

## PART III

## History of Mass Transportation in Denver

Though mass transit in Colorado dates back to the Civil War, the roots of the current metro area mass transit system lie in the Denver Tramway Company. Formed in 1914 with the merger of the Denver City Tramway Company, the Denver and Northwestern Railway, and the Denver and Intermountain Railway, ridership peaked for the Tramway Company during World War II. The metro area had a wartime population of less than 450,000 but the tramway served 225,000 daily riders with trolleys and gas powered buses.

After the World War II, the Tramway Company, like many other mass transit systems around the country, faced the problems of declining ridership, increasing costs, and increasing competition from cars and the expanding interstate system. A study published in October 1979 by transportation consultants W.C. Gilman and Co., Inc., revealed that ridership decreased by 53.5 percent from 1960 to 1969 while per mile operating costs rose 37 percent mostly due to rising payroll costs ( 53.7 percent increase).

The Gilman study offered various strategies to assist the ailing tramway company -- tax exemptions, federal government capital assistance, operating subsidies, and public ownership with private or public operation. Public ownership was an option that had been undertaken in many cities around the country. Gilman noted that Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Kansas City, and Rochester all turned to publicly owned transit systems in the 1960s.

Growing concern by Denver citizens and business groups led to a reassessment of the region's transportation system in the late 1960s. The Denver Chamber of Commerce led the effort to create a regional approach to public transportation by offering two separate proposals to voters in September and December 1970. Voters approved the city's takeover with a $\$ 4$ million bond issue in December. Even with these efforts the system continued to lose money.

## Regional Transportation District

In 1969, the General Assembly responded to the metro area's problems by authorizing the creation of the Regional Transportation District to "develop, maintain, and operate a mass transportation system for the benefit of the inhabitants of the district" (Senate Bill 309). RTD's 21 member governing board was appointed by county commissioners and the Mayor of Denver (later changed to an elected body in 1983.) RTD joined with the Colorado Department of Highways and the Denver Regional Council of Governments (DRCOG) in 1971 to form a Joint Regional Planning Program to improve area-wide planning.

RTD was required to seek voter approval of the source of district funds for construction, equipment, debt financing, and operating expenses. This source was originally a two-mill property tax but was changed to a district-wide sales tax (House Bill 1300, 1973). The one-half percent sales tax was approved by 57.2 percent of the vote and authorized RTD to issue up to $\$ 425$ million in revenue bonds.

Planning studies conducted by RTD estimated a 165 percent increase in daily automobile trips between 1971 and 2000 in the Denver metro area. To meet this expected increase in demand, the district selected a 100 -mile, fixed guideway personal rapid transit (PRT) system integrated with increased bus service. The system would have six major routes, with a central circulator.

The consultants recommended PRT over conventional technology because of its higher level of service, lower overall costs (because it is driverless), and greater flexibility. The cost of the PRT system was $\$ 1.059$ billion, with an estimated completion date of 1983. Although PRT had never been implemented anywhere as a transportation system, the report was optimistic that it could work in Denver. Federal funding for PRT was rejected by the Urban Mass Transportation Administration (UMTA) because: 1) an improved bus system would serve the same number of riders as a PRT/bus system and cost substantially less; and 2) the proposal did not compare favorably with proposals from other cities. Thereafter, RTD concentrated on improving and expanding its bus system. (UMTA has granted over $\$ 330$ million dollars to the Denver metro area for various transportation projects since 1974.)

Worsening air quality in the metro area and the gasoline shortages of the 1970s prompted renewed interest in mass transit. A new transportation proposal was defeated by the voters 54 to 46 percent in November 1980. The plan proposed 0.75 percent increase in the sales tax to finance the construction of a 73-mile, at-grade light rail system (Senate Bill 75). A fifteen-mile segment was to be completed during the first two years and the program examined by the General Assembly to approve further expansion. (Light rail continued to be offered by RTD because, though it was more expensive initially, it was considered the most effective long-term solution for Denver's transportation problems.) RTD estimated that light rail would save $\$ 19$ million in annual operating costs over a comparably sized bus system. 22/ Since the 1980 defeat, RTD has not sought voter approval for a mass transit system.

[^6]The General Assembly created the Transit Construction Authority (TCA) in 1987 to construct a rapid transit line from the Denver Tech Center to downtown Denver (House Bill 1249). TCA was essentially a proposal by private business leaders with the majority of funding from private and federal funds. The legislation, however, granted TCA the power to levy a business assessment tax (per square foot) on each business in the corridor and a "head tax" on each employee.

Taxes were imposed beginning in April 1988. In response to business opposition within the corridor, the General Assembly rescinded TCA's authority to levy a business assessment tax and also set a one year time limit on the imposition of the head tax (Senate Bill 197, 1988). The corridor's transportation plan has been completed. 23/

House Bill 1249 also designated seven metro area mass transit corridors (including completion deadlines) to be developed by RTD by January 1988. The district was granted the power to levy various taxes on businesses and employees within each corridor, in addition to tax increment financing. RTD's recommendations were presented in December 1987. 24/ Findings in the report included: the mass transit system should be built with a construction sequencing plan based on RTD's ability to pay; an additional sales tax of up to $\$ .004$ would be necessary to build, operate, and maintain a fixed guideway rapid transit system; private sector participation should account for 15 percent of the financing; and there is significant resistance to the use of tax increment financing. RTD also anticipated that $\$ 400$ million may be available from UMTA for various parts of the system.

Privatization and RTD. In 1988, the General Assembly required that RTD privatize at least twenty percent of its bus service (Senate Bill 164). RTD was to retain its oversight of the system and decide which routes to contract out. Proponents argued that private companies could operate the district's bus service cheaper, saving perhaps as much as $\$ 40$ million per year.

[^7]24/ Fastrack: House Bill 1249 Implementation Program Summary Report, Regional Transportation District, December 1987.

## PART IV

## Highway Department Efficiency Measures

Senate Bill 36 (1986) directed the Colorado Legislative Council staff to contract for a management and efficiency study of the Colorado Department of Highways (section 43-1-112, C.R.S.). The study was conducted between December 1986 and June 1987. 25/

The report noted the variety of CDOH responsibilities, including administrative duties (e.g., planning, engineering, construction management, and monitoring local road systems) and production activities (e.g., road construction and maintenance). Also cited were a variety of department activities beyond the department's control, such as Federal Highway Administration design and construction requirements, personnel department regulations that limit management options, Department of Administration procurement restrictions, and funding uncertainties, especially at the federal level. The report also noted a series of prior internal and external audits that had improved department efficiencies.

Nevertheless, the report produced 80 recommendations in the following areas:

- planning and budgeting (6);
- preconstruction engineering (10);
- construction (9);
- roadway maintenance (6); and
- support services:
-- administration and accounting (12),
-- procurement and inventory management (10),
-- human resource management (15),
-- information services (5), and
-- facilities and equipment management (7).
Recurring savings from the implementation of these recommendations were estimated at $\$ 5.5$ million by CDOH and $\$ 9.3$ million by Arthur Andersen $\&$ Co. Costs related to implementing the recommendations were estimated to be $\$ 7.0$ million. Netting out one-time savings of $\$ 445,000(\mathrm{CDOH})$ produces an implementation cost of $\$ 6.5$ million.

Committee testimony found the department in agreement with the majority of the recommendations, though conflicts were cited concerning the statutory authority of the department (e.g., developing a statewide transportation plan), the cost of some

25/ Colorado Department of Highways Management and Efficiency Study, Arthur Andersen \& Company, July 1987.
of the recommendations, the uncertainty of department funding. 26/ The committee continued to receive department updates concerning the implementation of the recommendations, the latest noting that 33 of the 80 recommendations had been implemented.

Subsequent cost savings estimates. Further study of department operations produced cost estimates related to 13 of the study recommendations. 27/ The table below summarizes these estimates.

|  | Current <br> CDOH | Previous <br> CDOH | Arthur <br> Andersen |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| One-Time Savings | $\$ 385,000$ | $\$ 244,800$ |  | No. est. |
| Annual Savings | $5,051,000$ | $2,060,000$ | $\$ 3,323,000$ |  |
| Cost to Implement | No. est. | $1,095,000$ | No. est. |  |

The reliability of the implementation cost is unclear.
Previous HLRC testimony indicated CDOH efficiency savings of $\$ 10$ million. According to information provided by CDOH , the origin of the $\$ 10$ million figure and its relationships to the above estimate is as follows (\$ millions):

## Old Estimate (4/88)

## New Estimate (9/88)

Salary Survey
Initial Efficiency Savings
Added Efficiency Savings TOTAL
$\$ 4.0$
3.5
2.5
\$10.0
$\$ 4.0$
3.5
5.0
\$12.5

Salary survey. The $\$ 4.0$ million figure results from not implementing the salary survey changes for CDOH personnel in FY 88-89. The "savings" were transferred to the department's maintenance and operation activities. Because the moneys were transferred within the department's budget, the $\$ 4.0$ million does not represent a budget reduction. These moneys also represent a one-time event and probably should not be included in savings estimates beyond FY 88-89.

26/ Staff Summary of Meeting, Highway Legislation Review Committee, Colorado Legislative Council Staff, Colorado General Assembly, Denver, July 27, 1987.

27/ Staff Summary of Meeting, Highway Legislation Review Committee, Colorado Legislative Council Staff, Colorado General Assembly, September 13, 1988.

Initial efficiency savings. According to information supplied by Rollie Walker, CDOH , the $\$ 3.5$ million figure is composed of the following:

- $\$ 1.9$ million in personal services (mostly overtime) and $\$ 0.6$ million in operations among the department's nine maintenance sections. These amounts represent "permanent reductions from the [department's] FY 87-88 budget levels"; and
- $\$ 1.0$ million from "administrative support for operations", reducing the "preliminary ' 89 budget to the ' 88 base".

These savings are not related to recommendations contained in the Andersen study and, therefore, represent savings in addition to those represented by the $\$ 5.0$ million figure in the second column above.

Added efficiency savings. The $\$ 2.5$ million figure for "Added Efficiency Savings" are estimates for which no detailed list or figures were prepared.

## PART V

## Trucking and Truck-Related Industries

## Section 1: Diesel Tax and Consumption Patterns

The Highway Legislation Review Committee received testimony from several individuals involved with or representing the state's trucking industry. Concern was expressed about the disparity between Colorado's tax on diesel fuel and the level of taxation in neighboring states (see below).

| State | Tax Rate (per gallon) |
| :--- | :---: |
| COLORADO | $\mathbf{2 0 . 5}$ cents |
| Utah | 19.0 cents |
| Nebraska | 18.7 cents |
| Arizona | 17.0 cents |
| New Mexico | 16.0 cents |
| Kansas | 13.0 cemts |
| Oklahoma | 13.0 cents |
| Wyoming | 8.0 cents |

Source: Highway Users Federation. Please note that some of these states are considering adjustments in their motor fuels tax rates.

Testimony claimed that this large differential is impacting Colorado by: 1) compelling trucks to bypass the state all together; 2) compelling them to purchase their fuel prior to entering the state; 3 ) sending a negative signal to the trucking industry about the state's business climate; and 4) compelling trucking firms to leave the state.

The consumption of special fuels in Colorado over the last 14 years is detailed on the next page.

Gross Special Fuel Gallonage

| Fiscal Year | Rate <br> (cents) | Gross <br> Gallonage <br> (millions) | \% Change <br> From Previous <br> Year | (percent) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Gross <br> Collections |  |  |  |  |
| (millions) |  |  |  |  |

Since enactment of Senate Bill 36, gross tax collections from special fuels sales have increased $\$ 10.3$ million (FY 1986) and $\$ 12.2$ million (FY 1987). However, total consumption of special fuels has declined by 17.3 million gallons and 13.8 million gallons, respectively (Source: Department of Revenue Annual Reports). While a correlation between tax increases and consumption trends is difficult to document, evidence indicates that Colorado's high diesel tax rate may be causing some truckers to fill their tanks outside the state while others may be avoiding travel through the state altogether.

The table on the following page details special fuel consumption in Colorado and in neighboring states over the past three years. Please note that these figures are reported on a calendar year basis and as such are not directly comparable to the total listed in the previous table.

## Special Fuels -- Gallonage Taxes Calendar Years 1985, 1986, 1987

| State | Rate |  | 1985 <br> Gallons Taxed | Percent Change Prior Year | Rate | 1986 <br> Gallons Taxed | Percent Change Prior Year | Rate | 1987 <br> Gallons <br> Taxed | Percent Change Prior Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (cents) |  | (thousands) |  | (cents) | (thousands) |  | (cents) | (thousands) |  |  |
| Arizona | 13 |  | 273,013 | 16.0\% | 16 | 285,884 | 4.7\% | 16 | 272,404 | -4.7\% |  |
| COLORADO | 13 |  | 203,234 | -2.2 | 20.5 | 190,732 | 6.2 | 20.5 | 186,538 | -2.2 |  |
| Kansas | 13 |  | 267,807 | -3.6 | 13 | 279,749 | 4.5 | 13 | 396,488 a/ | 41.7 | a/ |
| Nebraska | 17.4 |  | 206,645 | 1.9 | 17.1 | 207,842 | 0.6 | 17.9 | 205,236 | -1.2 |  |
| New Mexico | 11 |  | 181,356 | 5.0 | 11 | 187,719 | 3.5 | 16 | 189,734 | 1.1 |  |
| Oklahoma | 10 |  | 380,199 | -3.0 | 10 | 346,681 | -8.8 | 13 | 372,361 | 7.4 |  |
| Utah | 14 |  | 135,095 | 0.6 | 14 | 142,313 | 5.3 | 19 | 142,664 | 0.2 |  |
| Wyoming | 0 | b/ | 117,932 | 2.2 | 8 | 133,943 | 13.6 | 8 | 184,259 | 37.6 |  |

a/ FHWA officials indicate that a reporting error may have caused the 1987 Kansas totals to be significantly overstated. Revisions of these figures are currently underway.
b/ Prior to 1986, Wyoming levied a ton-mile tax in lieu of a gallonage tax on diesel fuel.
source: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)

Information gathered from the Federal Highway Administration concerning diesel fuel consumption patterns in Colorado and its neighboring states depicts an uneven pattern of consumption which may or may not be related to tax rates. Mitigating factors such as levels of business activity in a state, geographic proximity to major routes, and even highway surface conditions and weather may influence consumption trends within a state. However, it may be significant to observe the consumption rates in two "low tax" border states, Kansas and Wyoming, which demonstrate healthy growth in sharp contrast to Colorado's declining share of special fuel sales in the region.

A second indicator pointing toward a decline in trucking activity in Colorado relates to truckstop sales tax receipts. The table below represents a sample of sales receipts from 15 Colorado truck stops geographically dispersed across the state. "Gross sales" represents all truck stop sales while "total deductions" represents the sale of items such as diesel fuel which are not subject to sales tax.

## Truck Stop Sales

 FY 1985 to FY 1987| Fiscal Year | Gross Sales | Percent Change Over Base | Total Deductions | Percent Change Over Base | Taxable Sales | Percent Change Over Base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1985-86 | \$65,159,448 | -- | \$50,740,459 | -- | \$14,418,989 | -- |
| (base yr.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986-87 | 57,181,721 | -12.2\% | 43,538,470 | -14.2\% | 13,643,251 | -5.4\% |
| 1987-88 | 60,747,371 | -6.8\% | 47,429,856 | -6.5\% | 13,175,515 | -8.6\% |

Source: Colorado Department of Revenue

The table demonstrates that since the increase in the special fuels tax, truck stop sales have declined both in terms of taxable sales and non-taxable sales such as motor fuels. This would seem to parallel to some extent the decline in gross gallonage exhibited in the previous table.

Members of the Truck Stop Operators Association provided figures that showed that, though overall diesel fuel tax collections had increased since the most recent tax increase, consumption had decreased substantially. Representatives also noted that such figures do not account for lost revenues related to: 1) decreased sales taxes such as overnight accommodations; and 2) decreased income tax revenues and increased costs due to declining employment in trucking and related industries.

Two related topics are important to a discussion of diesel taxes in Colorado: 1) how states that border Colorado finance their road systems; and 2) the relationship between the amount of damage heavy vehicles cause to Colorado's roads and the amount of money those vehicles contribute from fuel taxes, registration fees, and other charges. The former is presented first and the results of a cost allocation study commissioned by the HLRC are discussed thereafter.

## Section 2: Highway Finance in Neighboring States

According to materials prepared by the Colorado Public Expenditure Council (CPEC), Colorado ranks 18 th -- at $\$ 246.06$ per person -- among the fifty states in terms of per capita state and local government general highway expenditures for fiscal year 1985-86. Complete information is provided below. The number in parentheses represents the 50 -state ranking.

State and Local
Per Capita Highway Expenditures Colorado and Neighboring States

| State | Total <br> State and Local | State | Local |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COLORADO | \$246.06 (18) | \$129.17 (34) | \$116.89 (11) |
| Wyoming | 701.67 ( 2) | 544.79 ( 2) | 156.88 ( 3) |
| Utah | 248.66 (17) | 175.91 (14) | 72.75 (30) |
| Arizona | 275.07 (13) | 141.12 (29) | 133.95 ( 9) |
| New Mexico | 289.73 (11) | 213.75 ( 6) | 75.98 (27) |
| Kansas | 314.72 ( 7) | 177.34 (13) | 137.38 ( 7) |
| Nebraska | 304.01 (9) | 167.79 (18) | 136.22 ( 8) |

When highway expenditures are compared per $\$ 1,000$ of personal income, similar results occur. The relative contributions by state and local governments in FY 1985-86 -- percent of total expenditures, 50-state ranking, and the dollar amount (in millions) -- are provided below. 28/

28/ How Colorado Compares State and Local Highway Finance, Colorado Public Expenditure Council, 1988, pp. 3-5 and 9-11.

State and Local Government Contributions to Highway Finance -- Colorado and Neighboring States

| State | State |  |  | Local |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent | Rank | Amount | Percent | Rank | Amount |  |
| COLORADO | 52.5\% | 44 | \$422.0 | 47.5\% | 7 | \$381.9 | \$803.9 |
| Wyoming | 77.6 | 7 | 276.2 | 22.4 | 44 | 79.5 | 355.7 |
| Utah | 70.7 | 18 | 292.9 | 29.3 | 33 | 121.1 | 414.0 |
| Arizona | 51.3 | 45 | 468.1 | 48.7 | 6 | 444.3 | 912.4 |
| New Mexico | 73.8 | 13 | 316.1 | 26.2 | 38 | 112.4 | 428.5 |
| Kansas | 56.4 | 40 | 436.4 | 43.7 | 11 | 338.1 | 774.5 |
| Nebraska | 55.2 | 41 | 268.1 | 44.8 | 10 | 217.7 | 485.8 |

## Sources of Revenues

The table below details the relative contributions of various revenue sources for Colorado and its neighboring states (percentages). 29/

Percent of State Highway Revenues from Various Sources -- Colorado and Neighboring States

| State | Federal Aid | Gas <br> Tax | Vehicle Regist. | License Fees | General Funds | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COLORADO | 47.9\% | 35.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.6\% | -- | 13.4\% |
| Wyoming | 37.4 | 10.9 | 4.1 | -- | 0.5\% | 47.1 |
| Utah | 50.0 | 38.0 | 7.0 | 2.0 | -- | 3.0 |
| Arizona | 42.8 | 26.0 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 26.0 |
| New Mexico | 40.6 | 32.6 | 3.4 | 0.9 | -- | 22.5 |
| Kansas | 32.0 | 29.0 | 21.0 | -- | -- | 18.0 |
| Nebraska | 49.5 | 32.7 | 7.0 | -- | -- | 10.8 |

29/ 1987 State Highway Funding Methods: An Analysis and Update, The Road Information Program (TRIP), Washington, D.C., 1987, p. 16. Information is for 1986.

An examination of the composition of the "Other" category helps clarify some of the factors that influence what Colorado can do in terms of financing its transportation systems and, especially, how much the state can rely on truck taxes.

Wyoming receives almost half ( $\$ 130$ million in FY 85-86) of its highway revenues from the "Other" category, with almost 85 percent from federal mineral royalties ( 20 percent of total state highway funds) and state severance taxes ( 19.9 percent). This reliance on mineral royalties and severance taxes allows the state to "export" almost half the cost of its highway program. This is at least a contributing factor to the state's 8 cent diesel gas tax.

Arizona's "Other" category comprises 26 percent of total state highway revenues. The majority of funds in that category are from the local option one-half cent "transportation excise" (sales) tax which has at present been adopted by two counties. These funds, as well as other revenues from user fees, are used to retire bonds issued to finance transportation projects.

Kansas' "Other" category comprises 18 percent of that state's total highway funds. The majority of revenues in that category represent sales tax transfers from the state's General Fund.

Comparison of state highway systems. A comparison of state highway systems is also instructive. 30/

| State | Total Lane-Mile | Centerline Miles | No. of Bridges | Amt. Spent Per Lane-Mile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COLORADO | 129,400 | 86,400 | 7,300 | \$3,262 |
| Wyoming | 68,600 | 38,900 | 2,800 | 4,026 |
| Utah | 59,300 | 49,900 | 2,500 | 4,936 |
| Arizona | 76,600 | 77,300 | 5,200 | 6,109 |
| New Mexico | 62,800 | 53,600 | 3,400 | 5,034 |
| Kansas | 198,000 | 132,600 | 25,700 | 2,200 |
| Nebraska | 136,300 | 92,200 | 16,000 | 1,967 |

30/ Source of information for the following two tables is as follows: lane-miles centerline-miles, number of bridges, vehicle-miles, interstate as a percentage of total lane-miles, and bridge construction costs per square foot -- FHWA; snow removal figures were gathered from each state's highway department (FY 1987-88; Wyoming figures are budgeted); and dollars per lane-mile in each state's system are a combination of CPEC and FHWA figures.

The figures used above give an indication of the differences between state road systems. Such figures may not, however, accurately convey features that make each state's system unique and which may also increase or decrease the amount of money needed to build and maintain them. Examples are provided below.

| State | Vehicle- <br> Miles <br> (in billions) | Snow <br> Removal <br> (in millions) |  | Interstate as <br> Pct. of Total <br> Lane-Miles | Bridge <br> Construction <br> Cost Per <br> Sq. Ft. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| COLORADO | $\mathbf{2 6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 2 . 1}$ | $31 /$ | $\mathbf{3 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 7}$ |
| Wyoming | 5.4 | 9.5 | 5.3 | 36 |  |
| Utah | 12.1 | 8.0 | 6.3 | 49 |  |
| Arizona | 22.7 | 3.6 | 6.2 | 43 |  |
| New Mexico | 13.2 | 5.1 | 6.4 | 57 |  |
| Kansas | 19.8 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 40 |  |
| Nebraska | 12.6 | 5.8 | 1.4 | 38 |  |

[^8]
## Section 3: Highway Cost Allocation Study

One provision of Senate Bill 36 (1986) was a directive to conduct a highway cost allocation study. The study was intended to show what various types of vehicles pay in terms of fuel taxes, vehicle registration fees, and related expenses and how much damage those vehicles do to state and local roads. 32/

Results of the study show that basic vehicles -- cars, motorcycles, and lightweight pick-up trucks and vans -- comprise 84.5 percent of total miles traveled on the state's roads, account for 65 percent of the overall wear and tear of those roads, but contribute 79 percent of total funds. On the other hand, heavy vehicles comprise 15.5 percent of total miles traveled on the state system, account for 35 percent of the overall wear and tear, but contribute 21 percent of total funds. As stated in the report, "tax payments (by heavy vehicles) fail to meet cost responsibilities by 40 percent." 33/

## Summary

The Wilbur Smith study provided evidence that, even with increased diesel taxes in 1986, heavy vehicles are responsible for more damage to the state's road system than they pay in fuel taxes, registration fees and the like. However, by examining how other states fund their road systems, particularly Wyoming and Kansas, Colorado is limited in the degree to which it can apportion costs to the trucking industry before such firms will find it more economical to bypass the state altogether or to move their operations out of Colorado. These factors may compel Colorado to lower its fuel taxes and alter the types of fees it levies on truck traffic in the state. (Note: Legislative research staff in Wyoming and Kansas noted that future consideration of additional fuel taxes is expected.)

## 32/ Colorado Highway Cost Allocation and Tax Alternative Study, Wilbur Smith Associates, February 1988.

33/ Ibid, Executive Summary, p. ii. Please see Appendix E.

## PART VI

## Debt Financing for Transportation

The committee received background information from Hanifen Imhoff, Smith Barney, George K. Baum, and Boettcher and Company concerning the use of debt financing for transportation projects. 34/ The speakers discussed the use of general obligation and revenue bonds by other states, revenue sources, how debt issues are structured, rated, and marketed, and debt financing cost estimates.

Background. Government debt comes in two general forms -- general obligation (GO) bonds and revenue bonds. GO bonds are also known as "full faith and credit" bonds. They represent the issuer's unconditional promise to repay and are based on the general ability to raise revenue through taxation. They are considered one of the safest forms of securities. Utah and Massachusetts are examples of states that use GO bonds to finance highway construction.

Ravenue bonds differ from GO bonds in that a specific revenue source, such as sales taxes or user fees, is dedicated to retire the bonds. Because the source of revenue is narrower, revenue bonds are not considered as safe an investment as GO bonds. Consequently they usually offer higher interest rates to investors. Examples of sources used to finance transportation revenue bonds include motor fuel taxes (e.g., Oregon, Maryland, and Florida), sales taxes (e.g., Arizona), severance taxes (e.g., New Mexico), registration fees (e.g., Wisconsin), tolls (e.g., New Jersey), and benefit assessments (e.g., Virginia).

Public policy issues. In some instances, the cost of capital projects is high enough that pay-as-you-go financing can overburden local revenue resources. Debt financing will usually decrease yearly payments by spreading the costs of a project over a longer period, normally the project's "useful life." This also allows many years of project users to fund the project. On the other hand, though the use of debt may make payments more manageable, the additional expense of interest payments on the debt can substantially increase the cost of the project.

Two developments mark a change in government debt financing practices -- the increasing use of revenue bonds in place of general obligation (GO) bonds and the inclusion of various features designed to improve the market acceptance of non-GO securities.

[^9]- Revenue bonds have two advantages over GO bonds: they normally do not need voter approval and are usually exempt from restrictions on the amount of allowable debt and tax limitation initiatives.
- Features used to improve market acceptance are generally intended to: shift more of the investment risk from lenders (investors) to borrowers (governments); diversify the kinds of returns available beyond semi-annual tax-exempt interest payments; and increase the flexibility of government financing options. Examples include: variable interest rates, early redemption options, various short-term securities, and credit enhancements like bond insurance, and letters and lines of credit.

While enhancing an issue's acceptance and possibly making debt financing feasible, in most instances these recent developments will still cost more to use than GO debt and increase the risks and costs to government in the areas of administration and refinancing. Additional issues involved with innovative financing methods include:

- their political acceptability;
- the ease with which they can be explained to voters;
- the extent of expertise available in government to make effective use of various methods (increased overhead);
- overreliance on short-term debt, increasing the need and expense of refinancing;
- impairing fiscal flexibility via short-term debt or dedicated revenues -- when such flexibility may have been one of the original goals;
- involving governments in complex (and possibly competing) relationships with many outside parties for long periods; and
- complicating the understanding of government activities and functions, for the public as well as elected officials.

The Government Finance Officers Association notes important gaps between what is known about various forms of debt financing and the lack of actual analysis of alternatives by governments. It adds that "the longevity of most capital projects has forced a strong bias toward debt financing as the 'only' equitable alternative." This has led to a situation in which "few state and local governments undertake a sound analysis of different financing techniques." By necessity, this type of analysis will become increasingly more sophisticated as the fiscal and financial environments of state and local governments react to continuing changes in bond markets, the federal
tax code, decreases in federal grant and revenue sharing programs, and various tax and spending limitation initiatives. 35/

The use of debt financing includes the risk of restricting the fiscal affairs of succeeding governing bodies and overborrowing relative to the resources available for repayment. Such risks can be minimized by placing debt restrictions or ceilings on some portion of available revenues. For example, debt can be limited to the amount of revenue raised by one cent of motor fuel tax or ten percent of an agency's total capital construction budget.

## Arizona -- Local Option Transportation Financing

In 1985, the Arizona legislature enacted various programs designed to accelerate highway construction and alleviate transportation congestion (L. 85, Ch. 308; section 28-2021 to 28-2028 and section 42-1481 to 42-1485, A.R.S.). In addition to increasing statewide sources for transportation finance, the legislature authorized local referenda to approve sales tax increases in designated areas to finance highway and mass transit projects. Bond finance was part of these local elections.

Lneqal option sales tax increases. House Bill 2306 (1985) required that Maricopa County (metropolitan Phoenix) hold a referendum on imposing a one-half percent "transportation excise (sales) tax" increase for transportation projects. Arizona's remaining 14 counties were given the option to hold referenda on sales tax increases.

If Maricopa or Pima County (metropolitan Tucson) approved such increases, the revenue would be collected by the state Department of Revenue and deposited in each county's Regional Area Road Fund. Approval would also create a Regional Public Transportation Authority (RPTA) in each county to serve as the county's mass transit planning agency. If sales tax increases were approved in the remaining counties, the money would be distributed directly to the participating cities and counties based on an allocation formula developed prior to the election by the county board of supervisors.

Maricopa County. Maricopa County approved a sales tax increase for transportation projects in October 1985. In FY 1986-87, the sales tax raised $\$ 94.8$ million and will raise an estimated $\$ 5.4$ billion over 20 years. Revenues have been designated for the following types of projects:

- construction of highway improvements;
- improvement of the regional bus system; and
- creation of a regional public transportation plan.
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Currently, many highway upgrade and improvement projects are under construction in Maricopa County. The county has a Regional Freeway and Expressway Plan consisting of 318 miles of new roadways. As of June 1987, 76 miles had been completed with the remainder under construction or in planning stages. Improvements to the bus system are also being made with the construction of High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lanes. The sales tax will provide an estimated $\$ 167$ million for the bus system over 20 years.

The Maricopa County RPTA Board of Directors is composed of one elected official from each participating municipality, as well as one at-large official from Maricopa County. Each city and the county within the Maricopa RPTA may voluntarily decide to join the RPTA. In order to participate, a municipality must earmark a portion of its Local Transportation Assistance Funds (LTAF) to a county-wide Public Transportation Fund. The LTAF consists of lottery revenues and is apportioned by the state. Currently, there are six members on the board.

The authority is currently planning a regional rapid transit system using about $\$ 8$ million provided by the 1985 sales tax. The plan and funding sources -- an additional one-half percent county-wide sales tax -- must be approved by the Maricopa County voters. The election is slated for early 1989.

RPTA can issue bonds to finance the mass transit project, but the legislation stipulates that: 1) annual payments may not exceed fifty percent of the previous year's public transportation fund revenues; and 2) total outstanding indebtedness for any current fiscal year may not exceed total revenues collected by the public transportation fund for the previous two fiscal years.

Bond finance provisions. House Bill 2306 also provided that, if the local sales tax increases were approved, the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) could issue bonds based on the anticipated revenues from Maricopa or Pima counties. The bonds could be used for design, right-of-way purchase, and construction of controlled access highways.

The ADOT issued $\$ 182$ million in bonds for Maricopa County in 1986 and $\$ 170$ million in 1987. The bonds are a special obligation of ADOT, though payable from Maricopa county's Regional Area Road Fund. The Regional Area Road Fund is composed of the following sources: proceeds from the bonds; transportation excise taxes; investment securities; investment income; and other funds. The bill does not specify the amount of bonds that may be issued.

Audit features. Audits were also specified for Maricopa or Pima County in the fifth and fifteenth year of the tax to ensure that the highway construction is continuing to solve the transportation problems. In the tenth year, ADOT will review the projects completed to date, examine future projects, and make recommendations to the legislature.

Pinal County. In addition to Maricopa County, Pinal County approved a one-half percent sales tax increase in November 1986. Pinal County is located between Maricopa and Pima counties and had a 1988 population of 98,000 . Voters in Pinal County had initially rejected the sales tax measure 52 percent to 48 percent in a special election held December 1985. However, the measure passed with 51 percent on the general election ballot eleven months later. The tax is estimated to raise $\$ 168$ million over 20 years.

Pima County. Pima County (Tucson) rejected a referendum to raise its sales tax in December 1986. The referendum received only 43 percent support, much lower than the Maricopa measure which passed with 57 percent. One possible reason cited by Arizona officials for the measure's approval in Maricopa County is that the Phoenix area had experienced much greater population growth than the Tucson area in recent years.

Statewide tax increases. In addition to the local county measures, the Arizona legislature also approved a gas tax increase from thirteen to sixteen cents to fund transportation projects. The tax is estimated to raise $\$ 889$ million over 20 years. Allocation of the new revenue is as follows:

- 64 percent to the State Highway Fund;
- 14.4 percent to Maricopa County (to be split among the county and cities);
- 8.5 percent to Pima County (to be split among the county and cities);
- 8 percent to the remaining counties based on unincorporated population; and
- 5.5 percent to the remaining cities based on incorporated population.

Arizona will also raise its fuel tax by one more cent beginning in 1990. This measure will provide about $\$ 223$ million over a fifteen year period, all allocated to the State Highway Fund. In addition to the fuel tax increases, 10 percent of the vehicle license tax has been allocated to the State Highway Fund from January 1, 1986, through June 30, 1990 (contingent on the federal government continuing the eight cent cigarette tax). This measure will raise an estimated $\$ 64.8$ million over the five year period.
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## Appendix A

## Groups and Organizations Appearing Before the Highway Legislation Review Committee July 1987 - December 1988

Representatives from the following organizations presented testimony to the HLRC:

Governmental Organizations:
Aurora City Council
Citv of Littleton
Colorado Counties, Inc.
Colorado Department of Highways
Colorado Department of Local Affairs, Division of Local Government
Colorado Department of Revenue
Colorado Highway Commission
Colorado Municipal League
Colorado State Patrol
Colorado Transportation Roundtable
Denver City Council
Denver Department of Public Works
Denver Regional Council of Governments (DRCOG)
Douglas County
E-470
Federal Aviation Administration
New Denver Airport
Northwest Colorado Council of Governments
Office of the Governor
Regional Transportation District (RTD)
Transit Construction Authority (TCA)
San Diego Metropolitan Transportation Development Board Urban Mass Transportation Administration (UMTA)
W-470

Arthur Andersen<br>Arthur Luken and Associates<br>Association for Constructive Taxation (ACT)<br>George K. Baum and Company<br>Boettcher and Company<br>Centennial Chamber of Commerce<br>Colorado Association of Commerce and Industry (CACI)<br>Colorado Association of Motor Carriers<br>Colorado Concern<br>Colorado Concrete Paving Association<br>Colorado Contractors Association<br>Colorado Truck Stop Operators Association<br>Committee For A Better Airport<br>Consulting Engineers Council<br>Defend Against Expansion of Freeway Exit in North Denver<br>Greater Denver Chamber of Commerce<br>Hanifen Imhoff<br>Highway 285 Task Force<br>KUSA Channel 9<br>Montrose Highway 50 Company<br>Ponderosa High School<br>Smith Barney, Harris Upham<br>Western Highway Institute<br>Wilbur Smith and Associates

## Appendix B

## Colorado Transportation Roundtable Statewide Members

Representative Don Ament<br>Representative Charles Berry<br>Senator Tilman M. Bishop<br>Representative Richard R. Bond<br>Mr. Dean Davis<br>Mr. John (Joe) Donlan<br>Representative Elwood Gillis<br>Representative JoAnn Groff<br>Senator Regis F. Groff<br>Mr. Jerry Groswold<br>Mr. Martin Hart<br>Mr. Peter Kenney<br>Mr. 1 ete M. Mirelez<br>Mr. Trygve Myhren<br>Mr. Dan Noble<br>Senator Robert L. Pastore<br>Senator Ray Powers<br>Mr. Doug Quimby<br>Ms. Peggy Rector<br>Ms. Cathy Reynolds<br>Representative Paul D. Schauer<br>Mr. Terry Schooler<br>Mr. Bob Siegrist<br>Mr. Jim Smith<br>Mr. Tom Stone<br>Senator Larry Trujillo<br>Mr. Bill Ward<br>Senator Dave Wattenberg<br>Mr. Fred Weisbrod<br>Mr. Dave Werking<br>Mr. Loren Whittemore<br>Mr. Earl Wilkinson<br>Representative Samuel Williams<br>Mr. Russell Yates

## Colorado Transportation Roundtable Metropolitan Members

Ms. Linda G. Alvarado<br>Representative Norma V. Anderson<br>Mr. Richard L. Anderson<br>Mr. George B. Beardsley<br>Mr. Joseph B. Blake<br>Mr. Robert Brooks<br>Representative Bob Bowen<br>Mr. Don Butt<br>Mayor Margaret Carpenter<br>Mr. Eldon Cooper<br>Mr. Jeff Coors<br>Mr. Roger Cracraft<br>Mr. Steve Cramer<br>Mr. Jake Edson<br>Representative Jeanne Faatz<br>Mr. Rich Ferdinandsen<br>Mr. Howard Gelt<br>Representative Tony Grampsas<br>Mr. T.J. "Ted" Hackworth<br>Ms. Josie Heath<br>Representative Phil Hernandez<br>Mr. Greg Hobbs<br>Representative Bud Hover, Jr.<br>Representative Sandy Hume<br>Mr. Steve Johnson<br>Mr. Richard A. Kirk<br>Senator Brian McCauley<br>Senator Al Meiklejohn<br>Ms. Linda Morton<br>Mr. Roger L. Ogden<br>Mayor Federico Pena<br>Senator Jim Rizzuto<br>Mr. Thomas L. Strickland<br>Mr. Ed Sullivan<br>Mayor Paul Tauer<br>Mr. Robert L. Tonsing<br>Mr. Tom Yates

# Report of the Colorado Transportation Roundtable to the HLRC 

## Report of the <br> Colorado Transportation Roundtable to the HLRC

The Colorado Transportation Roundtable has, through a series of Statewide and Metro Roundtable discussions which began in July, 1988, examined transportation needs and proposed solutions for both the State of Colorado and the Denver metro area. The Roundtable is comprised of elected state and local officials, business leaders, transportation agency chairs, environmental advocates and other concerned citizens from all geographic areas of the state.

The Roundtable was created to be an action-oriented body that could help reach political consensus. A basic tenet of the Roundtable is that a wide-ranging group of interests must come togetner if we are to make significant improvements in the state's transportation system.

We have analyzed the technical and economic opportunities. We have initiated and will continue to initiate efforts around the sta+e to exchange ideas and concerns and to develop answers.

Based on our work to date, we are convinced that the first steps taken should be those which ensure that existing transportation dollars are being used as efficiently as possible. We are also convinced that additional dollars invested carefully in colorado transportation projects at this time can produce substantial dividends.

## PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATIONS

The Transportation Roundtable sets forth seven preliminary recommendations to the Highway Legislative Review Committee:

Recommendation $\ddot{\#} 1$-- Demand Additional Efficiency and Cost Savings

Recommendations of the Roundtable regarding increased statewide highway and county and municipal road funding require a definitive efficiency and productivity plan from the Colorado Department of Highways (CDOH), Colorado Counties, Inc. (CCI), and the Colorado Municipal League (CML). CDI, and CML. The study shall include recommendations for state, county and municipal efficiency and accountability actions.

The Highway Commission has taken the following actions to cause greater CDOH efficiency during 1988: 1) transferred $\$ 9$ million from maintenance to construction, 2) reduced personal services budgets by $\$ 4$ million, 3) achieved $\$ 3$

Preliminary Recommendations
million in staff, vehicle and inventory reductions (under Highway Commission actions derived from Arthur Anderson recommendations), and 4) initiated further changes in staff, vehicles, inventories and engineering to save an additional \$5 million.

However, the Roundtable believes that even further efficiencies must be targeted, both in the State Highway Department and in local (county and municipal) road maintenance and construction, as a condition complementary to increased funds being dedicated to highway and local road projects in Colorado.

The Roundtable recommends that at least $\$ 4 \mathrm{M}$ in additional costs savings be required from $C D O H$ for $F Y 89-90$ and that savings be identified and quantified at the local level.

## Pecommendation $\because 2$-- Extend the Current Program to Maintain and

 Improve the Surface Condition of Colorado's Hignivay SysterThe Roundtable recommends that Colorado maintain 80 percent or more of the state highway system in good or fair surface condition. This 80 percent surface condition goal cannot be met unless the 6 -cent gasoline tax is continued.

It is further recommended that, as the gax tax is extended, the diesel tax be extended at a lower rate to promote greater competitiveness in the trucking industry. This decrease is expected to be offset by increased business activity related to the trucking industry.

Recommendation ${ }^{\#} 3$-- Accelerate Highway Projects to Increase Safety and Capacity

The Roundtable recommends that Colorado raise and allocata additional funds to address the state's most prossing highivay capacity and safety needs.

It is recommended that these statewide projects be funded as much as practical through increasing user-based revenue sources. These include the state gasoline tax and drivers' license fees.

It is further recommended that costs not directly related to highway construction and maintenance, known as "off-the-top" costs, no longer be funded by the Highway Users Tax Fund (HUTF). Instead, "off-the-top" needs, such as the State Patrol and the dozen other state agencies budgeted partly against the HUTF, should be a part of the General Fund and funded by another revenue source such as the income tax.

Recommendation \#4 -- Eliminate the Statutory Prohibition of State-Local Partnership in Transportation Projects

The Roundtable finds that an opportunity exists for greater efficiencies and cooperation between the Colorado Department

Preliminary Recommendations
of Highways and local transportation entities. In order to achieve this however, a change in colorado law is necessitated.

Recommendation $\# 5$-- Begin to Move the Allocation of State Highway Funds to a Position of Greater Equity

The Roundtable recommends that the distribution of state highway funds should begin to move toward a more equitable balance between rural and urban funding, explicitly considering capacity and safety needs as well as surface condition. At the same time, the Roundtable believes that formulas for increased funding must protect rural areas against reductions in current levels of funding.

The Roundtable is encouraged by a compronise formula being crafted by CCI. The CCI plan would generate additional revenue to urban areas while holding funding levels constant in parts of rural Coloracio.

Recommendation $\frac{H}{n} 6$ - Consolidate Existing Metro Denver Transportation Agencies

The Roundtable recommends that several existing transportation agencies within the Denver metropolitan area be consolidated into a single transportation authority.

It is recommended that the Transportation Construction Authority (TCA), E-470 Authority and W-470 Authority be abolished and that the Regional Transportation District (RTD) abandon its role of planning and developing rapid transit projects.

A Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) should be formed to assume and ultimately consolidate the transit funding, planning and development responsibilities ro: performed by RTD, as well as the functions of TCA, E-470 and W-470.

Within the MTC system, RTD would become a bus and transit operating company.

Recommendation \#7-- Finance Special Metropolitan Transportation Projects from a Metropolitan Denver Revenue Base

The MTC should be funded through revenue sources currently used or targeted by TCA, RTD, E-470 and W-470.

This base could include additional sales tax, vehicle registration fees, and/or sales taxes on gasoline (all requiring the approval of the voters), as well as toll and transit corridor revenues from within the Denver metropolitan area.

## IMPLEMENTING ACTIONS

The actions required to implement each recommendation are discussed below.

Recommendation \#1 -- Demand Additional Efficiency and Cost Savings

The economic condition of the state and the demonstrated need to invest now in colorado's transportation network dictate that state and local government continue to seek operating efficiencies, such as increased use of engineering design and construction management consultants and other prioritization actions.

The 1988 CDOH actions represent a substantial move towards greater efficiency. It is also important that confidence in the spending of local governments' share of HUTF funds be increased. While the highway department does not supervise local governments' road construction and maintenance activity, they do have a responsibility to coordinate with local governments and their associations to provide a summary report to the Legislature and the Governor. It is believed that this cooperative effort would be in the best interest of all units of government and all Colorado taxpayers, who expect state tax revenues to be invested wisely, no matter who spends them.

Therefore, it is recommended that:
1.A. CDOH complete its further review of internal efficiency and productivity measures, particularly in purcinasing and contracting.
2.B. CCI and CML develop a parallel plan of action for creating efficiency measures at the local level. These might include efficiency case studies of selectec jurisdictions, development and promotion of "best demonstrated practices", and/or development of new forms of cooperation between local governments in maintaining roads.
l.C. CDOH, CCI and CML review and streamline local transportation expenditure reporting procedures. The reports should also produce more usable comparisons of needs, operating and construction costs and local financing efforts. Suggest how the reports might be simplified and how the results might provide a more usable and consistent picture of local transportation activities.
1.D. $\mathrm{CDOH}, \mathrm{CCI}$ and CML report an action plan or plans for producing additional efficiencies at the state and local levels to the Governor and the Legislature.

Recommendation \#2 -- Maintain and Improve the Surface Conditions of Colorado's Highway System

Surface condition on state highways has improved significantly as a consequence of S.B. 36, passed in 1986 and scheduled to sunset in 1989. Approximately 40 percent of the 6 -cent gas tax was directed to surface condition maintenance. (The remainder was used for bridges, county and municipal roads and offsets of revenues diverted by "off-the-top" appropriations.) As of early 1988, the state system was rated 42 percent good/40 percent fair/18 percent poor in surface condition. This compares favorably to the 28 percent good/47 percent fair/25 percent poor conditions which existed in 1985 prior to the passage of the bill.

Retaining the 6-cent gas tax would allow maintaining close to what has been achieved, despite the fact that gas tax revenues are not keeping up with inflation.

However, retaining the diesel tax levels set by S.B. 36 will be harmful to colorado's trucking industry. Rates are substantially above those in surrounding states, resulting in loss of business to the State.

Wie re-ommend that:
2.A. The 6-cent gas tax be extended, with a continued emphasis on use of these funds for maintenance of and/or improvement in surface condition.
2.B. The diesel tax increase be extended at a rate of 3 cents rather than the rate of 7.5 cents provided for in S.B. 36.
(This would provide for a total diesel tax of 16 cents per gallon.)

Pecommendation $\# 3$-- Accelerate Highway Projects to Increase Safety and Capacity

Investments in our most urgently needed capacity and safety improvements are of strategic importance to the state. Investing now rather than later would help our economy. Also, investing now is a good deal. Construction costs are more than 20 percent below what they were three years ago.

Each year, the distance between what we need to invest and what we are investing is growing. Ideally, Roundtable members would like to recommend solving 80 percent of our capacity and safety problems by the year 2001. However, in addition to the $\sigma$-cent gas tax, this would require investing an additional $\$ 227 \mathrm{M}$ per year just on state highways. Were new funds to be split 60 percent for state system/40 percent for local governments, a total of $\$ 378 \mathrm{M}$ per year would be required.

Given (a) the current condition of Colorado's economy and (b) pressing needs in other areas such as education, this level of investment simply is not practical.

We recommend that:
3.A. The state invest an additional $\$ 167 \mathrm{M}$ annually in the HUTF and that CDOH apply its share of these funds to our most critical capacity and safety problems.

The following sources of funds are recommended. These are in addition to the efficiency measures discussed earlier:
3.B. Increase the gasoline tax by an additional 2 cents.
(This tax is attractive as a source of transportation funds because of its direct relationship to the investments being made. Other states are also increasing this tax; therefore a further increase is acceptable.)
3.C. Increase drivers' license fees to provide $\$ 6 \mathrm{M}$ in HUTF revenues over the current level of revenues generated by this activity.
(Currently, the costs of administering the drivers' license program are substantially more than the fees collected.)
3.D. Eliminate "off-the-top" appropriations, funding current "off-the-top" recipients with general revenues.
(User fees have been diverted from the Highway Users Trust Fund over the years. They should be returned to the trust fund from the state's general furd. This would increase demands on the general fund by approximately $\$ 67$ million, which could be funded through a $1 / 4$ percent increase in the income tax.)
3.E. Increase the state sales tax rate by an additional . 25 cents.
(It will be necessary to increase the state's cap on the total sales tax from all jurisdictions at the same time in order to prevent unacceptable adverse impacts on local government.)

It is further recommended that the Legislature explore the development of a supplementary program for rapidly addressing critical highway capacity problems along Colorado's front Range. These problems will not be fully addressed by the actions discussed above. The following additional actions should be considered:
3.F. Raise an additional $\$ 30 \mathrm{M}$ per year from either 2 cents in additional gasoline taxes or an average of $\$ 10$ in additional vehicle registration fees. Use these funds to bond for a Front Range capacity improvements program.

Recommendation \#4 - Eliminate the Statutory Prohibition of State-Local Partnership in Transportation Projects

Public/private partnerships in transportation projects have proven successful at both the state and the local levels. cooperation between CDOH and RTD on the I-25 North Busway is a further step in the right direction. Cooperative efforts and/or joint ventures between CDOH and local governments could also be beneficial on some projects.

It is recommended that:
HUTF legislation be modified to remove prohibitions against contributions by the highway department and local governments to one anothers' projects.

Recommendation $\# 5-$ Begin to Move the Allocation of state Highway Funds to a position of Greater Equity

There are imbalances in the current transportation funding system. For example, the Denver area generates over 50 percent of the state's HUTF funds; however, approximately 40 percent of the nighway department budget is spent in the Denver area. Only 32 percent of the local (county and municipal) share of HUTF funds are returned to the Denver area by current allocation formulae.

New funds will be necessary if the share allocated to metro Denver counties and cities is to be increased without reducing rural funding.

It is recommended that:
5.A. The CCI compromise allocation formula, which shifts funds to urban counties, be enacted.
5.B. The local share of new HUTF funds te utilized $=0$ carry out the new allocation formula.
5.C. Surface conditions on the state highway system in Metro Denver be brought up to the statewide standard.

## Recommendation $\# 6$-- Consolidate Existing Metro Denver Transportation A.gencies

The Denver metro area currently has two transit agencies (TCA and RTD), two tolled beltway authorities (E-470 and W-470), 41 local governments to develop local roads, and no agency with a clear mandate and budget for areawide traffic systems management. We need fewer responsible entities; closer coordination and integration of projects and priorities, and more assurance that the best projects will be done in the best order with the most efficient financing.

The approach which we have carefully negotiated and which we recommend is the establishment of a Metropolitan Transportation commission (MTC) to integrate these functions and to facilitate efficiency and metropolitan cooperation.
6.A. TCA be dissolved.
6.B. RTD become a bus and transit operating company.
6.C. E-470 and $W-470$ be dissolved as separate entities once the MTC is successful in raising new funds and able to assume responsibility for the beltways. (In the interim, the beltways should continue as separate entities to provide continuity and avoid delays in implementation.)
6.D. The MTC be formed to consolidate development of rapid transit, tolled beltways, metro area arterial and collector roadways and traffic systems management projects. The MTC would be empowered to manage funds, issue debt, allocate funds for specific projects, allocate funds to cover anticipated operating deficits and negotiate ior contributions from transit and belt:ay corzidors, the private sector, federal agencies, and ocher beneficiaries of specific projects.
б.E. The primary objectives of the MTC are to improve air quaiity, safety, and mobility. priorities for fundirg and construction should be set by the MTC in accordance with the objectives cited above, vith development of transit, regional and local roads and beltways proceeding in concert.

The general intent is that rapid transit be constructed in the Southeast, Soutn:iest, Aurora-South corridors and the core Central Business District by 1997 so as to be operacionally concurrent with the completion of the 470 Eelsway Phase I (4 lanes). The East Corridor/Airport :ould be constructed by 2007 to coincide with upgraciing the 470 Beltway to Phase II. The rest of tre transit system would be completed to be operational when the 470 Beltway phase III upgrading ( $\varepsilon$ lanes) is compieted. This is projected to be around 2013.

TSM would have a high priority, and local roads would be constructed during this same time period. Regional arterials would be coordinated with the overall system. Funds could also be allocated to individual jurisdictions to carry out their own priorities for local roads below the major. collector level.

The general intent discussed above would be reviewed by the MTC and adjusted based on changing circumstances regarding revenues availability, air quality, etc.

Preliminary Recommendations
6.F. The MTC board consist of seven local elected officials and four at-large members, appointed by the Governor and confirmed by the senate. The seven local officials should include one from each of the six counties and an official who is alternately from the cities of Denver and Aurora.

County and local officials from each area which are entitled to a representative should nominate and submit three names to the Governor for potential appointment to the MTC. During those periods where the seventh local official is not from Denver, at least one of the at-large members shouid be a Denver resident. During those periods where the seventh local official is not from Aurora, one of the three Arapahoe County nominees should come from Aurora.

```
Criteria for all appointments should include
expertise in transportation, air quality, finance
and/or management.
```

The MTC district boundaries should be approximately the same as the current RTD district.

The actions recommended above would substantially ennance our ability to coordinate projects. In addition, these actions :ould result in a ret elimination of approximately 10 trensportation board positions and several staff positions. It is further recommended that:
G.G. The $4 T C$ complete a review of corridor by corridor life cicle costs of alternative transit technologies before initiating any aciditional transit project beyond the I-25 North Hov/Eus:nay.
(This is neacied to increase confidence in the final technolorgy decisions.)
6.ii. The MTC adequately fund a coordinated air quality function, properly staffed, for the purpose of integrating air quality and transportation functions. This staff would coordinate with the Air Quality Division of the Colorado Department of Health, the ifetropolitan Air Quality Council and the Environmental protection Agency to ensure consistency regarding the maintenance of health standards and the reduction of the brown cloud.
6.I. The MTC explore, at the appropriate time, the feasibility of contracting with CDOH to supervise construction of and provide maintenance and tolls collection on the beltways.
(There is no reason, for example, why the beltways need separate maintenance or snow removal crews.)

Preliminary Recommendations
6.J. The MTC minimize its staff and operating budget.
(The consolidation which creates MTC should lead to a reduction in the total administrative overhead for transportation in metro Denver. The MTC should ensure that the savings are realized.)

Recommendation $\# 7$-- Finance Special Metropolitan Transportation Projects from a Metropolitan Denver Revenue Base

The MTC should combine revenues from local governments, tolled beltways and future revenues obtained with voter approval and create the most efficient financing package or packages.
we recommend that:
7.A. Nev HUTE revenues resulting from these recommendations which would othermise be available to metro area local governments be ailocated to the MTC.
7.B. RTD's existing authority to raise sales taxes with voter approval be terminated; and 0.1 cent of PTD's current 0.6 cent sales tax be transferred to fhe MTC.
T.C. The E-4T0 and $W-470$ beltways authorizacion to raise additional revenues separately be terminated; but that this termination be made effective the ay after MTC is successful in obtaining voter approral for increased revenues.
7.D. The MTC be authorized to raise up to $\$ 100 \mathrm{M}$ in ne: revenues from sales taxes (RTD's old allocation of additional authority), motor vehicle registration fees and/or saies taxes on gasoline.
7.E. The MTC be the recipient of net nem toll rsvencise (after debt service and operating expenses) from E-470, W-470 and, if feasible, C-470. If C-470 is not toiled, other sources of equivalent reverue might be brought into the financial package.
7.F. The MTC be authorized to issue debt.

COMPARISON OF CASE SIUDY TRANSIT AGENCIES

| Location/ <br> Transit Agency | Regional Population | Modes | Primary BenefitSharing Techniques |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New York City: MTA <br> - Times Square/42nd St. <br> - East Midtown Developments | 16 million | Rapid Transit <br> Commuter Rail Bus | Incentive zoning Joint Development System Interface Negotiated Investments Voluntary Contributions |
| Los Angeles: SCRID <br> - Proposed Metro Rail Stations | 8 million | Commuter Rail <br> Bus <br> Rapid Transit (planned) | Station Area Masterplans Incentive zoning Benefit Assessment organizational Mechanisms |
| Bostan: MBIA <br> - Real Estate Management Program | 2.6 millian | Rapid Transit Commuter Rail Light Rail Bus | Real Estate Management Leases and Concessions Public Infrastructure Joint Develqument System Interface |
| Washington, D.C.: UMATA <br> - New Carrollton Metro <br> - Bethesda Metro Center | 2.5 million | Rapid Transit Bus | System Interface Station Area Masterplans Joint Development Organizational Mechanisms |
| Portland, oR: Tri-Met <br> - Banfield Transitway | 825,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Light Rail } \\ & \text { Bus } \end{aligned}$ | Construction Coordination Special Assessments Station Area Masterplans organizational Mechanisms |
| Toledo, OH: TARTA <br> - Downtown Transit Logp | 490,000 | Bus | Public Infrastructure Voluntary Private Contributions Cooperative Agreements Tax Increment Financing |
| Michigan Terminal Projects: |  |  |  |
| Marquette: MIA | 23,000 | Local/Intercity Bus |  |
| City of Cadillac | 10,000 | Intercity Bus Dial-a-Ride |  |
| Bay City: Metro Transit | 85,000 | Local/Intercity Bus | Leases and Conoessions |
| Flint: MIA | 450,000 | Local/Intercity Bus | Cooperative Agreements |
| City of Pontiac |  | Local/Intercity Bus | Cost Sharing with |
| Battle Creek Transit | 114,000 | Local./Intercity Bus Rail | Tenants, Intercity |
| City of Kalamazoo | 80,000 | Intercity Bus Rail |  |
| City of Dowagiac | 6,300 | Rail <br> Intercity Bus Dial-a-Ride |  |
| Niles | 21,000 | Intercity Bus Dial-a-Ride |  |

## RELATIONSHIP OF USER TAX PAYMENTS TO COST RESPONSIBILITIES

| Class of vehicle | UNOER PAYMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  | OVER PAYMENT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PERCENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BASIC VEhICLES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALl HEAV VEHICLES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEAYY YEHICLES BY TYPE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Singte Unt Vericles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Axte. 4 lire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Axde. 6 tre (w/ buses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Ades or more (w/ buses) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combination Vehiclees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Axde |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Ave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Asde (w/ 1 traler) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Axde ( $w /$ muatiralers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| >5 Ade (w/ i traler) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| > 5 Ase ( $\mathbf{w} /$ menticralers) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEAVY VEHICLES BY UNLADEN WEIGHT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0 \cdot 6.500$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.501 - 10.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.001-15.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.001-20.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20.001-30,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30.001-40.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40.001-50.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.001: 60.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60.001 - 70.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\geq 70.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Highway Legislation Review Committee

## A BILL FOR AN ACT

1 CONCERNING SURFACE TRANSPORTATION IN COLORADO.

## Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not necessarily reflect any amendments which may be subsequently adopted.)

Continues the increase in the gasoline tax as enacted by Senate Bill No. 36 during the 1986 session of the general assembly and provides for an additional increase of a certain amount for improvements in the state's transportation system. Decreases the special fuel tax rate. Also increases fees for drivers' licenses, to provide additional revenues for state transportation system improvements. Requires the state department of highways, in cooperation with Colorado counties, incorporated, and the Colorado municipal league, to conduct a study to increase efficiency in the administration, maintenance, and construction of transportation systems at the maintenance, and construction of transportation systems at the state, county, and municipal levels, and requires the
department to implement such cost-saving measures and to department to implement such cost-saving measures and to
report to the general assembly and to the governor by a certain date. Prohibits appropriation by the general assembly from the highway users tax fund without statutory authority. Repeals statutory authority for certain appropriations from the highway users tax fund to fund the administrative costs of certain governmental functions and replaces said funding with increases in the state income taxes.

Requires counties to specifically identify all sources of revenue credited to the county road and bridge fund and to report all expenditures of such revenues in a manner prescribed by the division of highways. Creates a municipal road and bridge fund and requires municipalities to account for all sources of revenue credited to the fund and to report all expenditures of such revenues. Authorizes such counties and municipalities to use a portion of the moneys allocated to
them from the highway users tax fund to cover the accounting costs required by this act.

Clarifies that highway users tax fund moneys allocated to the state highway fund may be used for construction and maintenance of county and municipal roads and highways. Authorizes counties and municipalities to expend moneys they receive from the highway users tax fund for work on any public highways. Allows the state department of highways to reimburse counties, cities, and incorporated towns pursuant to contract for maintenance or construction of highways which are part of the state highway system. Allows municipalities, counties, and political subdivisions to enter into intergovernmental agreements to loan funds to the department to accelerate the completion of priority state highway projects under the supervision of the chief engineer of the state department of highways. Authorizes boards of county commissioners to undertake or contract for construction or repair of any public highway or bridge. Removes the dollar limit on contracts which counties may enter into with federal or state governments for construction or repair of state or federal highways. Includes work on public highways in the statute requiring advertisement for bids by boards of county commissioners.

Creates the metropolitan transportation finance authority (MTFA). Establishes a commission to govern the affairs of the MTFA. Sets the number of commission members and their terms of office. Continues the existing regional transportation district (RTD) and its board of directors as a mass transportation operating entity with authority over the operation of bus services and other means of mass transit planned and constructed by the MTFA. Establishes a farebox recovery ratio for the RTD. Dissolves the transit construction authority (TCA). Transfers the planning and construction powers of the TCA and the RTO to the MTFA. Provides for the merger of public highway authorities into the MTFA upon the existence of certain conditions. Consolidates the debt financing and revenue-raising powers of the TCA and RTD, other than RTD's authority to set rates for the use of bus services, under the MTFA and provides that outstanding securities of the RTD shall be securities of the MTFA. Empowers the MTFA to plan, develop, and provide financing for projects in the area of the MTFA for rapid transit, tolled beltways, metro area arterial and collector roadways, and other front-range capacity-improvement projects.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado: SECTION 1. Legislative declaration. The general
assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares that the
condition of the public transportation system in this state is important for the public welfare of Colorado citizens as well as the state's economic development. The general assembly further finds, determines, and declares that the purpose of this act is to ensure that existing transportation dollars be. used as efficiently as possible and that additional dollars invested into projects on Colorado's transportation system be used to produce optimum results in transportation system improvements in this state.

SECTION 2. Part 1 of article 1 of title 43, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended BY the addition of a new section to read:

43-1-114. State, county, and municipal efficiency and accountability actions - implementation. (1) By July 1, 1990, the state department of highways, in cooperation with Colorado counties, incorporated, and the Colorado municipal league, shall undertake and complete a definitive efficiency and productivity plan for state, county, and municipal road administration, maintenance, and construction. Such study shall make recommendations for measures to reduce costs in the administration, maintenance, and construction of highways, roads, and streets at the state, county, and municipal levels. The general assembly anticipates that increased efficiency measures in the state department of highways should save nine million dollars per year. The state department of highways, Colorado counties, incorporated, and the Colorado municipal league shall report to the governor and to the general
assembly no later than July 1, 1990. The state department of highways shall implement such cost-saving measures as determined to be appropriate based on the study required by this section. County and municipal governments shall also implement the recommendations of the study required by this section.
(2) For the fiscal year 1989-90, the commission shall take whatever measures it deems necessary to create a savings of seven million four hundred thousand dollars in internal operating efficiencies. Such savings shall be credited to the state highway fund for use by the commission on highway projects. For the fiscal year 1990-91, and for each fiscal year thereafter, the savings shall be increased to seven million nine hundred thousand dollars.
(3) Local governments shall continue to provide the same level of support for the maintenance and construction of highways, roads, and streets that such governments provided prior to July 1, 1989.
(4) Local governments shall file with the commission, subject to penalties for perjury, that portion of their budgets which relates to the highway maintenance and construction activities of such local governments. The commission shall use such information to complle a report concerning the condition of the state highway system and the use of the increased revenues in the highway users tax fund as a result of house bill no. $\qquad$ , which bill was enacted during the first regular session of the fifty-seventh general

assembly. Such report shall be made to the general assembly no

later than February 15, 1992.
SECTION 3. 39-22-104 (1), Colorado Revised Statutes,
1982 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:
39-22-104. Income tax imposed on individuals, estates,
and trusts - single rate. (1) (a) Subject to subsection (2)
of this section, with respect to taxable years commencing on
or after January 1, 1987, BUT PRIOR TO JANUARY 1, 1989, a tax
of five percent is imposed on the federal taxable income, as
determined pursuant to section 63 of the internal revenue
code, of every individuat, estate, and trust.
(b) SUBJECT TO SUBSECTION (2) OF THIS SECTION, WITH
RESPECT to taxabl: years commencing on or after january 1 ,
1989, A TAX DF FIVE and TWENTY-FIVE ONE-HUNDREDTHS PERCENT IS
imposed on the federal taxable income, as determined pursuant
to section 63 of the internal revenue code, of every resident
individual, estate, and trust.
SECTION 4. 39-22-301 (1) (d) (I) (C), (1) (d) (I) (0),
(1) (d) (I) (E), (1) (d) (I) (F), and (I) (d) (I) (G),
Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., as amended, are 19
amended to read: 20
amended to read:
39-22-301. Corporate tax imposed. (1) (d) (I) (C) for
income tax years commencing on or after July 1, 1989, but
before July 1, 1990:
If the Colorado
net income is: The tax is:
$\$ 50,000.00$ or less $\quad 6 \% 5.25 \%$ of the Colorado net
-5-


## income

$\$ 2,500.00$ plus 5.4\% 5.65\% of the excess Colorado net income over $\$ 50,000.00$

The tax is: income
$\$ 2,500.00$ plus $5.3 \% 5.55 \%$ of the excess Colorado net income over $\$ 50,000.00$ 1991, but before July 1, 1992:

## If the Colorado

net income is:
$\$ 50,000.00$ or less
5\% 5.25\% of the Colorado net income
$\$ 2,500.00$ plus $5.2 \% 5.45 \%$ of
the excess Colorado net
income over $\$ 50,000.00$

| $\$ 50,000.00$ or less | $6 \% 5.25 \%$ of the Colorado net <br> income |
| :--- | :--- |
| Over $\$ 50,000.00$ | $\$ 2,500.00$ plus $5.1 \% 5.35 \%$ of |
| the excess Colorado net |  |
| income over $\$ 50,000.00$ |  |

(G) For income tax years commencing on or after July 1 , 1993, five AND TWENTY-FIVE ONE-HUNDREDTHS percent of the Colorado net income.

SECTION 5. 29-2-105 (1) (f), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1986 Repl. Vol., is amended to read: 29-2-105. Contents of sales tax ordinances and proposals. (1) (f) A provision that, in the event the seven pergent SEVEN AND TWENTY-FIVE ONE-HUNDREDTHS PERCENT limitation provided in section 29-2-108 is to be exceeded in any municipality within the county by a proposed county sales any municipality within the county by a proposed county sales
or use tax, such limitation shall be exceeded by a stated rate in the named municipality.

SECTION 6. 29-2-108 (1) and (3), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1986 Repl. Vol., are amended to read:

29-2-108. Limitation on amount. (1) In no case shall the total sales tax or total use tax imposed by the state of Colorado, any county, and any city or town in any locality in the state of Colorado exceed sever--peftert SEVEN AND TWENTY-FIVE ONE-HUNDREDTHS PERCENT; except that this limitation shall not preclude a county sales tax or use tax at a rate not to exceed one percent.
(3) The additional two-tenths of one percent tax imposed 2 to section $30-11-107.5$, C.R.S., and the additional tax authorized by section $30-20-604.5$, C.R.S., if imposed, shall be exempt from the seven--pergeat SEVEN AND TWENTY-FIVE ONE-HUNDREDTHS PERCENT limitation imposed by subsection (1) of this section.

SECTION 7. 39-26-105 (1), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

39-26-105. Vendor liable for tax. (1) Every retailer, also in this part 1 called "vendor", shall, irrespective of the provisions of section 39-26-106, be liable and responsible for the payment of an amount equivalent to three-pereent THREE AND TWENTY-FIVE ONE-HUNDREDTHS PERCENT of all sales made by him of commodities or services as specified in section 39-26-104 and shall before the twentieth day of each month make a return to the executive director of the department of revenue for the preceding calendar month and remit an amount equivalent to said thfee--pereent THREE AND TWENTY-FIVE ONE-HUNDREDTHS PERCENT on such sales to said executive director, less three and one-third percent of the sum so remitted to cover the vendor's expense in the collection and remittance of said tax; but, if any vendor is delinquent in remitting said tax, other than in unusual circumstances shown to the satisfaction of the executive director, the vendor shall not be allowed to retain any amounts to cover his expense in collecting and remitting said tax, and an amount equivalent to the full three--pereent THREE AND TWENTY-FIVE

ONE-HUNDREDTHS PERCENT, plus the amount of any local vendor expense which may be allowed by the local government to the vendor, shall be remitted to the executive director by any such delinquent vendor. Such returns of the taxpayer or his duly authorized agent shall contain such information and be made in such manner and upon such forms as the executive director may prescribe. Any local vendor expense remitted to the executive director shall be deposited to the state general fund.

SECTION 8. 39-26-106 (1) (a) and (2) (a), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., as amended, are amended to read:

39-26-106. Schedule of sales tax. (1) (a) There is imposed upon all sales of commodities and services specified in section 39-26-104 a tax at the rate of tafee-peffent THREE AND TWENTY-FIVE ONE-HUNDREDTHS PERCENT of the amount of the sale, to be computed in accordance with schedules or systems approved by the executive director of the department of revenue. Said schedules or systems shall be designed so that no such tax is charged on any sale of seventeen cents or less.
(2) (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this subsection (2), retailers shall add the tax imposed, or the average equivalent thereof, to the sale price or charge, showing such tax as a separate and distinct item, and when added such tax shall constitute a part of such price or charge and shall be a debt from the consumer or user to the retailer until paid and shall be recoverable at law in the same manner
as other debts. The retailer shall be entitled, as collecting agent of the state, to apply and credit the amount of his collections against the three-percent THREE AND TWENTY-FIVE ONE-HUNDREDTHS PERCENT rate to be paid by him under the provisions of section $39-26-105$, remitting any excess of collections over said three--perfeat THREE AND TWENTY-FIVE ONE-HUNDREDTHS PERCENT, less the three and one-third percent collection expense allowance, to the executive director of the department of revenue in the retailer's next monthly sales tax return.

SECTION 9. 39-26-112, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

39-26-112. Excess tax - remittance. If any vendor, during any reporting period, collects as a tax an amount in excess of thfee-perfert THREE AND TWENTY-FIVE ONE-HUNDREDTHS PERCENT of his total taxable sales, he shall remit to the executive director of the department of revenue the full net amount of the tax imposed in this part 1 and also such excess. The retention by the retailer or vendor of any excess of tax collections over the thfee-pefeeat THREE AND TWENTY-FIVE ONE HUNDREOTHS PERCENT of the total taxable sales of such retailer or vendor, or the intentional failure to remit punctually to the executive director the full amount required to be remitted by the provisions of this part 1, is declared to be unlawful and constitutes a misdemeanor.

SECTION 10. 39-26-202 (1), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:

39-26-202. Authorization of tax. (1) There is imposed and shall be collected from every person in this state a tax or excise at the rate of tharee-perfent THREE AND TWENTY-FIVE ONE-HUNDREDTHS PERCENT of storage or acquisition charges or costs for the privilege of storing, using, or consuming in this state any articles of tangible personal property purchased at retail. Such tax shall be payable to and shall be collected by the executive director of the department of revenue and shall be computed in accordance with schedules or systems approved by said executive director.

SECTION 11. 24-75-215, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1988 Repl. Vol., is amended BY The ADOITION OF A NEW SUBSECTION to read:

24-75-215. Transfers to highway users tax fund. (4.5) On and after July 1, 1989, as sufficient moneys become available in the general fund, the state treasurer and the controller shall transfer from the general fund to the highway users tax fund an amount equal to the revenues attributable to a twenty-five one-hundredths percent portion of the total sales and use tax rates imposed by sections 39-26-106 (1) and 39-26-202, C.R.S.

SECTION 12. 39-27-102 (1) (a) (II), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., as amended, is REPEALED AND REENACTED, WITH AMENDMENTS, to read:
39-27-102. Tax imposed _ deposits _ penalties.
(1) (a) (II) The excise tax imposed by subparagraph (I) of this paragraph (a) shall be twenty cents per gallon or
fraction thereof for fiscal years beginning on and after July 1, 1989.

SECTION 13. 39-27-202 (1) (c), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., as amended, is REPEALED AND REENACTED, WITH AMENDMENTS, to read:

39-27-202. Tax imposed - exemptions - ex-tax purchases.
(1) (c) The excise tax imposed by paragraph (a) of this subsection (1) shall be sixteen cents per gevilon or fraction: thereof for fiscal years beginning on and after July 1, 1989.

SECTION 14. 42-3-123 (4) (b), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

42-3-123. Registration fees - passenger, passenger-mile, and ton-mile taxes. (4) (b) (I) Passenger cars, station wagons, taxicabs, ambulances, motor homes, and hearses:
(A) Weighing two thousand pounds or less, six dollars;
(B) Weighing forty-five hundred pounds or less, six dollars plus twenty cents per one hundred pounds, or fraction thereof, of weight over two thousand pounds;
(C) Weighing more than forty-five hundred pounds, twelve dollars and fifty cents plus sixty cents per one hundred pounds, or fraction thereof, of weight over forty-five hundred pounds; except that, for motor homes weighing more than sixty-five hundred pounds, such fees shall be twenty-four dollars and fifty cents plus thirty cents per one hundred pounds, or fraction thereof, of weight over sixty-five hundred pounds;
(II) In addition to the registration fees imposed by
subparagraph (I) of this paragraph (b), an additional registration fee shall be imposed on the motor vehicles described in the introductory portion of this paragraph (b), which additional registration fee shall be based on the age of the motor vehicle, as follows:
(A) For motor vehicles six years old or less, twelve dollars;
(B) For motor vehicles six to ten years old, ten dollars:
(C) For motor vehicles older than ten years, seven dollars.

SECTION 15. 42-3-123 (11), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended BY THE AOOITION OF A NEW PARAGRAPH, to read:

42-3-123. Registration fees - passenger-mile and ton-mile taxes. (11) (e) In addition to the registration fees imposed by paragraph (a) of this subsection (11), an additional registration fee shall be imposed on the vehicles described in such paragraph (a), which additional registration fee shall be based on the age of the motor vehicle, as follows:
(I) For farm trucks six years old or less, twelve dollars;
(II) For farm trucks six to ten years old, ten dollars;
(III) For farm trucks older than ten years, seven dollars.

SECTION 16. 42-3-123 (13) (b), Colorado Revised

Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended, and the said 42-3-123 (13) is further amended By THE ADOITION OF A NEW PARAGRAPH, to read:

42-3-123. Registration fees - passenger, passenger-mile, and ton-mile taxes. (13) (b) Except as provided in paragraph (b.5) of this subsection (13), for each such vehicle registered under this subsection (13) having an empty weight exceeding ten thousand pounds, twenty-twe THIRTY-THREE dollars and fifty cents.
(d) In addition to the registration fees imposed by paragraph (a) of this subsection (13), an additional registration fee shall be imposed on the motor vehicles described in such paragraph (a), which additional registration fee shall be based on the age of the vehicle, as follows:
(I) For light trucks six years old or less, twelve dollars;
(II) For light trucks six to ten years old, ten dollars;
(III) For light trucks over ten years old, seven dollars.

SECTION 17. 42-3-123, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended BY THE AOOITION OF A NEW SUBSECTION to read:

42-3-123. Registration fees - passenger, passenger-mile, and ton-mile taxes. (13.3) (a) The additional fees collected pursuant to this subsection (13.3) shall be transmitted to the state treasurer, who shall credit the same to the highway users tax fund.
1
2 (b) The additional revenues which accrue to the highway tax fund as a result of the increased registration fees
otherwise provided in section 24-33.5-226 (3) (c), the cost of administration of this part 2 and of all payrolls and salaries of the chief, commissioned and noncommissioned officers, patrolmen, and office personnel and the cost of clerical work, stationery, postage, uniforms, badges, all supplies and equipment, and necessary travel and subsistence allowances shall be appropriated by the general assembly out of the moneys in the highway-usefs-tax GENERAL + fund * Fhe--expenses aAd--5ałafies--pferided-fef-łA-this-sétif日-afe-détafed-te-be fof-the-administfation-and-enfercement-өf-the-sevefat-5tatutes
 maintenanєe,-and-5ypefvisiөn-өғ-the-publiє-highways. Expenses and salaries shall be paid by the state treasurer upon warrants of the controller issued upon vouchers provided by the chief. and--5hatl--be--Ehafged-against-net-cellectien-өf
 mafatenafee;--and--supefvisien--өf--publiє--highways--and--the administfatien-ef-the-ławs-өf-the-5tate-geverning--the--public highways--and--theif--user The expenditures of the Colorado state patrol shall be audited and approved from time to time by the executive director and the state auditor.

SECTION 21. 24-33.5-224 (3), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1988 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

> 24-33.5-224. Duties during state fair at Pueblo.
(3) The Afgaway-usefs-tax GENERAL fund shall be reimbursed by the board of commissioners of the Colorado state fair authority, within the amount appropriated by the general
assembly for this purpose, and such reimbursement is authorized, as an administrative expense of said board, for any expenditures incurred from such fund resulting from the activities of the Colorado state patrol under subsection (1) of this section, such reimbursement to be made immediately following the termination of the service performed by the Colorado state patrol.

SECTION 22. 33-10-111 (4), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

33-10-111. Parks and outdoor recreation cash fund created - accounting expenditures for roads and highways. (4) At each regular session, the general assembly shall determine . the amounts to be expended by the division for the acquisition of rights-of-way for the construction, improvement, repair, and maintenance of public roads and highways in state recreation areas and parks and shall appropriate such amounts ffem-the-state-ałłéatien-pferided-by
 the-di¥isien as are necessary to accomplish these purposes. These funds, ard any other funds appropriated for these purposes, may only be expended to contract for the provision of such services with the state department of highways.

SECTION 23. 39-27-112 (2) (b), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:

39-27-112. Payment of expenses and distribution of funds. (2) (b) Effective January 1, 1989, and except as provided in subsection (3) of this section, the balance of
such funds thus obtained and remaining with the state treasurer shall be placed in the highway users tax fund and distributed in accordance with the provisions of the statute governing that fund. The general assembly shall make prepertienate appropriations ffem-the-highway-usefs-tax-fund and-the-aviatien-fund for the expenses of the administration of this part 1.

SECTION 24. 39-27-215 (2), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:

## 39-27-215. Payment of expenses and distribution of

 funds. (2) The balance of such funds obtained and remaining with the state treasurer shall be placed in the highway users tax fund and distributed in accordance with the provisions of the statute governing that fund. The general assembly shall make appropriations ffem--the-highway-usefs-tax-furd for the expenses of the administration of this part 2.SECTION 25. 42-1-215 (2), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:

42-1-215. Disposition of fines and surcharges.
(2) Exєept-fөf-the-fifst-fifty-certs-өf--any--peralty--fef--a tfaffit--inffactien--which-shałl-be-retained-by-the-depaftment and-used-fef-administrative-purpeses, Moneys collected by the department pursuant to the provisions of section 42-4-1501 (4) (a) shall be transmitted to the state treasurer, who shall credit the same to the highway users tax fund; except that moneys collected pursuant to said section for a violation of section 42-4-236 shall only be transmitted to the state
treasurer if the citing officer was an officer of the Colorado state patrol and in all other cases shall be transmitted to the treasurer of the local jurisdiction in which the violation occurred.

SECTION 26. 42-2-112 (2) and (3), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., are amended to read:

42-2-112. License issued - fees. (2) The fee for the issuance of a driver's or provisional driver's license shall be six-dellafs-and-fiffy-gents THIRTEEN DOLLARS, which license shall expire on the birthday of the applicant in the fourth year after the issuance thereof or when the applicant reaches age twenty-one, whichever occurs first; except that, in the case of a provisional driver's or driver's license issued by the office of the county clerk and recorder in each county, the office of the county clerk and recorder shall retain the sum of thace $\qquad$ dollars, and three-dellafs-and-fifty-Gents
$\qquad$ shall be forwarded to the department for transmission to the state treasurer. who shall credit the same to the highway users tax fund. and-the-genefat-assembly-shatl make--appropfiatiens--thereffem--for--the--e*penses---өf---the administratien-өf-pafts-t-te-3-өf-this-afticter
(3) The fee for the issuance of a minor driver's license shall be six-dellafs-and-fifty-eents THIRTEEN OOLLARS, which license shall expire twenty days after the eighteenth birthday of the licensee. In the case of the issuance of such minor driver's license by the office of the county clerk and recorder, the fee therefor shall be apportioned in the same
manner as for the issuance of a driver's license.
SECTION 27. 42-2-124 (3) (b), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:

42-2-124. Period of suspension or revocation.
(3) (b) All restoration fees collected pursuant to this subsection (3) from persons whose licenses or driving privileges were revoked pursuant to section 42-2-122.1 shall be transmitted to the state treasurer, who shall credit the same to the driveris-lieense-admiaistrative-revecation-ate in-the $^{\text {h }}$ highway users tax fund. whith--afeeunt--is--hefeby
 anfual-apprepfiation-by-the-gerefal-assembly--fof--the--difect and--indifect--E日Sts--ineuffed-by-the-depaftment- $\theta$ f-fevenue-in the-administration-өf-section-42-2-422.1.--At-the-end-өf--eath fiseal--yeaft-any-urexpended-and-urentumbered-mpreys-femaining
 Efedited-te-the-highway-usefs-tax-furd.

SECTION 28. 42-4-107.5, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

42-4-107.5. Motorized bicycle registration - fee. Every motorized bicycle sold in this state shall have an identification number stamped on its frame which shall be recorded upon registration. Motorized bicycles shall be registered with the department, and such registration shall be evidenced by a decal which is securely affixed to the motorized bicycle frame in a conspicuous place. Registration shall be valid for a period of three years, and the fee for
such registration shall be five dollars. Retail sellers of motorized bicycles shall retain one dollar from each such fee, and four dollars of each such fee shall be forwarded monthly to the department for deposit in the state treasury to the credit of the highway users tax fund. The general assembly shall make appropriations ffem-the-highway-usefs-tax-fund for the expenses of the administration of this section. The department shall promulgate regulations providing that retail sellers of motorized bicycles may be agents of the department for such registration.

SECTION 29. 42-4-111, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

42-4-111. Appropriations for administration of article. The general assembly shall make appropriations ffow--the $^{\text {fill }}$ highway--usefs-tax-fund for the expenses of the administration of this article.

SECTION 30. 42-6-136 (1) and (2), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., are amended to read:

42-6-136. Disposition of fees. (1) All fees received by the authorized agent under the provisions of section 42-6-135 (1) or (2), upon application being made for a certificate of title, shall be disposed of as follows: Three dollars thereof shall be retained by the authorized agent and disposition thereof made as provided by law; twe--dellafs--ahd fifty--єerts the remainder shall be transmitted to the state TREASURER AND shall be credited to the speetał-purpese-afeeurt established-by-seєtion-42-1-240.1 HIGHWAY USERS TAX FUND.
(2) All fees collected by the authorized agent under the provisions of section 42-6-135 (5) shall be disposed of as follows: One dollar and fifty cents shall be retained by the authorized agent and disposition made as provided by law; two dollars shall be credited to the special-purpose-ateount established-by-seєtien-42-1-210.1 HIGHWAY USERS TAX FUND. All fees collected by the department under the provisions of section 42-6-135 (5) shall be credited to sueh-speciat-pufpese aeeeurt THE HIGHWAY USERS TAX FUND.

SECTION 31. 42-8-110, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

42-8-110. Expenses of administration. For the purpose of administering this article and for the operation, maintenance, and future construction of the port of entry weigh stations established pursuant to this article, there shall be appropriated frem-the-highway-usefs-tax-fund for each fiscal year such moneys as the general assembly may determine, upon presentation of a budget for that purpose in form and content in accordance with the provisions for submission of budget requests by state agencies.

SECTION 32. 43-1-601, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

43-1-601. Transportation services for the elderly and handicapped. The state department of highways and the executive director thereof are designated and authorized to take all steps and adopt all proceedings necessary to make and enter into such contracts or agreements as may be necessary
1
2
3 for state application and administration of section 16 (b) (2)
construction, maintenance, and administration, all moneys received by the county from the state or federal governments for expenditure on roads and bridges, and any other moneys which may become available to the county for such purpose. FOR PURPOSES OF COMPLYING WITH THE PROVISIONS OF PART 6 OF ARTICLE 1 OF TITLE 29, C.R.S., EACH COUNTY SHALL SPECIFICALLY AND SEPARATELY IDENTIFY THE SOURCE OF ALL REVENUE WHICH IS CREDITED TO THE FUND, AND EACH COUNTY SHALL ALSO REPORT ALL EXPENDITURES OF THE REVENUES IN THE FUND IN A MANNER PRESCRIBED BY THE DIVISION. EACH COUNTY MAY USE A PORTION OF the moneys allocated to it out of the highway users tax fund, PURSUANT TO SECTION 43-4-207, FOR THE REASONABLE AND NECESSARY COSTS OF COMPLYING WITH THE ACCOUNTING REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SUBSECTION (1).
(4) A FUND TO BE KNOWN AS THE MUNICIPAL ROAD AND BRIDGE FUND IS HEREBY CREATED AND ESTABLISHED IN EACH MUNICIPALITY OF THIS STATE. SUCH FUND SHALL CONSIST OF ALL MONEYS RECEIVED BY THE MUNICIPALITY FROM THE COUNTY, STATE, OR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FOR EXPENDITURE ON ROADS AND BRIDGES AND ANY OTHER MONEYS WHICH MAY BECOME AVAILABLE TO THE MUNICIPALITY FOR SUCH PURPOSE. FOR PURPOSES OF COMPLYING WITH PART 6 OF ARTICLE 1 OF TITLE 29, C.R.S., EACH MUNICIPALITY SHALL SPECIFICALLY AND SEPARATELY IDENTIFY THE SOURCE OF ALL REVENUE WHICH IS CREDITED TO THE FUND, AND EACH MUNICIPALITY SHALL REPORT ALL EXPENDITURES OF REVENUES IN SUCH FUND IN A MANNER PRESCRIBED BY THE DIVISION. All moneys received by a municipality from the county road and bridge fund shall be cfedited-te-an
apprepfiate-fund-aRd-shałl-be used by such municipality only for construction and maintenance of roads and streets located to read:

43-4-207. County allocation. (2) For the fiscal year
commencing July 1, 1989, and each fiscal year thereafter, for the purpose of allocating moneys in the highway users tax fund to the various counties throughout the state, the following method is hereby adopted:
(a) (I) Sixty-nine million seven hundred thousand dollars shall be allocated to the counties in such a manner that each county receives the same allocation that it received for the fiscal year 1987-88.
(II) Seventeen million dollars shall be allocated to the following seventeen counties in the following percentages: Adams, 9.5718; Alamosa, 1.1598; Arapahoe, 12.6560; Boulder, 7.3571; Douglas, 3.5148; El Paso, 13.0552; Jefferson, 14.9666; La Plata, 2.0733; Larimer, 7.9978; Lincoln, 1.8866; Logan, 2.0334; Mesa, 4.3285; Morgan, 2.9915; Otero, 1.6843; Pueblo, 4.6096; Rio Grande, 1.3384; and Weld, 8.7753.
(b) Except as provided in paragraph (f) of this subsection (2), all moneys credited to the fund in excess of eighty-six million seven hundred thousand dollars shall be allocated to the counties in the following manner:
(I) Fifteen percent shall be allocated to the counties in proportion to the rural motor vehicle registration in each county. The term "rural motor vehicle registration" includes all passenger, truck, truck-tractor, and motorcycle registrations in unincorporated portions of the county. The number of registrations used in computing the percentage shall be those certified to the state treasurer by the department of revenue, motor vehicle division, as constituting the rural
motor vehicle registration for the last preceding year.
(II) Fifteen percent shall be allocated to the counties in proportion to the countywide motor vehicle registration in each county. The term "countywide motor vehicle registration" includes all passenger, truck, truck-tractor, and motorcycle registrations in unincorporated portions of the county and in cities and incorporated towns. The number of registrations used in computing the percentage shall be those certified to the state treasurer by the department of revenue, motor vehicle division, as constituting the countywide motor vehicle registration for the last preceding year.
(III) Sixty percent shall be allocated to counties in proportion to the adjusted lane miles of county roads in each county, excepting mileage of state highways and municipal streets. A lane mile shall be measured by each ten-foot width of road from the center lane of each county road. The adjusted lane miles shall be determined by applying to tne existing lane miles of county roads in each county a factor of difficulty. The lane miles, the adjusted lane miles, and the factor representing the difficulty of construction and maintenance in the various counties in the state by reason of terrain shall be determined by the state department of highways as provided in paragraphs (c), (d), and (e) of this subsection (2).
(IV) Ten percent shall be allocated to counties in proportion to the square feet of bridge deck for bridges greater than twenty feet in length in each county, as
certified by the state department of highways.
(c) The percentage of area in each county classified as "plains", "plains rolling and irrigated", and "mountainous" shall be determined from an accredited topographical map. The state department of highways shall also classify the percentage of "paved" roads in each county. To the percentage indicated "plains" a factor of 1.00 shall be applied. To the percentage indicated "plains rolling and irrigated" a factor of 1.75 shall be applied. To the percentage indicated "mountainous" a factor of 3.00 shall be applied. To the percentage indicated "paved" roads a factor of 1.5 shall be applied.
(d) The state department of highways, prior to July 1 of each year, shall certify to the state treasurer the lane mile figures, as of December 31 of the preceding year, of the several counties, and the state treasurer shall use such lane mile figures for the current fiscal year as the basis for the allocation mentioned in this subsection (2).
(e). The county clerk and recorder in each county shall certify to the motor vehicle division the number of motor vehicle licenses issued during the preceding calendar year to persons residing within the limits of a county and whether or not such persons reside in cities, incorporated towns, or in unincorporated portions of the county. Upon receipt of the information certified by the respective county clerk and recorders, the department of revenue shall tabulate the total number of all motor vehicle licenses issued during the
preceding calendar year to persons residing within the limits of the respective counties in the entire state and within the 1 imits of each city or incorporated town within the respective counties. The department of revenue shall then determine the percentage that the rural motor vehicle registration in each county bears to the total rural motor vehicle registration in the entire state and shall then determine the percentage that the countywide motor vehicle registration in each county bears to the total countywide rural and urban motor vehicle registration in the entire state. On or before May 1 of each year, the motor vehicle division shall certify to the state treasurer the percentage of motor vehicle registration for each county as provided in this paragraph (e).
(f) The allocations made pursuant to paragraph (b) of this subsection (2) to the counties of Adams, Arapahoe, Boulder, Douglas, and Jefferson shall be transferred to the metropolitan transportation finance authority created in section 32-9.7-103, C.R.S.

SECTION 36. 43-4-205 (2) and the introductory portion to 43-4-205 (5), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., are amended, and the said 43-4-205, as amended is further amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SUBSECTION, to read:

43-4-205. Allocation of fund. (2) Out of the highway users-tax GENERAL fund, there shall first be paid and credited to the highway crossing protection fund the sum of twenty thousand dollars each month; but, whenever, after deducting all amounts which have theretofore been approved or ordered by
the public utilities commission to be paid from said fund for the installation of automatic and other safety appliance signals and devices at railroad grade crossings, there is a balance in said fund, not so approved or ordered to be paid, of at least two hundred forty thousand dollars, no further moneys shall be paid or credited to sald fund from the aighway users--tax GENERAL fund until the balance in said fund, after making the same deduction, is less than two hundred forty thousand dollars.
(5) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN SUBSECTION (5.5) OF THIS SECTION, revenues raised by the excise tax imposed on gasoline and special fuel pursuant to sections 39-27-102 and 39-27-202, C.R.S., equal to the first seven cents per gallon of such tax shall be placed in the highway users tax fund to be allocated as follows:
(5.5) Beginning July 1, 1989, and each fiscal year thereafter, sixty-seven million three hundred thousand dollars of the revenues raised by the excise tax imposed on gasoline and special fuel pursuant to sections 39-27-102 and 39-27-202, C.R.S., equal to the first seven cents per gallon of such tax shall be placed in the highway users tax fund to be allocated as provided in subparagraphs (I) to (III) of paragraph (b) of subsection (6) of this section.

SECTION 37. The introductory portion to 43-4-206 (1) and 43-4-206 (1) (b) (V), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, are amended to read:

43-4-206. State allocation. (1) After-the-payments--te

## the-highway-єfessing-pfeteєtien-fund-fequifed-by-law-have-been

 made, After any distributions pursuant to section 43-4-205 (9) or (10) have been made, aAd-aftef-paying-the-cests-өf-the Gөlefade-state-patfol-aAd-such-өthef-єөsts-өf-the--depaftment, highway--mainterance,--as--afe--appfopfiated--by--the--genefal assembly, sixty-five percent of the balance of the highway users tax fund shall be paid to the state highway fund and shall be expended for the following purposes:
(b) (V) The construction, reconstruction, repairs, improvement, planning, supervision, and maintenance of the state highway system and other public highways, INCLUDING ANY COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL ROADS AND HIGHWAYS, together with the acquisition of rights-of-way and access rights for the same;

SECTION 38. 43-4-207 (1), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:

43-4-207. County allocation. (1) Aftef--the-payments fequifed-by--ław--have--been--made--te--the--highway--tfos5ing pfotectien--furd, After any distributions pursuant to section 43-4-205 (9) or (10) have been made, aAd-aftef--paying-the

 qimpfovement5,-ef-highway-mainterance,-as-afe-appfepfiated--by the-genefal-assemblys, twenty-six percent of the balance of the highway users tax fund shall be paid to the county treasurers of the respective counties and shall be allocated and expended as provided in this section. The moneys thus received shall
be allocated to the counties as provided by law and shall be expended by said counties only on the construction, engineering, reconstruction, maintenance, repair, equipment, improvement, and administration of the county highway systems AND ANY OTHER PUBLIC HIGHWAYS, INCLUDING ANY STATE HIGHWAYS, together with acquisition of rights-of-way and access rights for the same and for no other purpose. The amount to be expended for administrative purposes shall not exceed five percent of each county's share of the funds available.

SECTION 39. 43-4-208 (1) and the introductory portion to 43-4-208 (2), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, are amended, and the said 43-4-208 is further amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SUBSECTION, to read:

43-4-208. Municipal allocation. (1) A\&tef-the-payments fequifed-by-- law--have--been--made--te--the--Aighway--Efossing pfoteєtien--funt, After any distributions pursuant to section 43-4-205 (9) or (10) have been made, aAd--aftef--paying--the
 depaftment, ---exєlusive---өf--highway--єөिstfútiens--highway improvements,-өf-highway-maintenanєe,-as-afe-appfepfiated--by the--general-assemblys and AFTER making allocation as provided by sections 43-4-206 and 43-4-207, the remaining nine percent of the highway users tax fund shall be paid to the cities and incorporated towns within the limits of the respective counties and shall be allocated and expended as provided in this section. Each city treasurer shall account for the moneys thus received as provided in this part 2 . Such moneys

of various state agencies;
(IV) The increase in driver's license fees from six dollars and fifty cents to thirteen dollars.
SECTION 40. Part 1 of article 2 of title 43, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended BY THE ADOITION OF A NEW SECTION to read:
43-2-104.5. Reimbursement of counties and municipalities. (1) The state department of highways is authorized to reimburse, pursuant to contract, counties, cities, or incorporated towns for maintenance or construction

 available to the state department of highways for the maintenance and construction of public highways may be used.
 may enter into an intergovernmental agreement with the state


 p!pdad aq Kew spurt parol yens -sapak ant u! $47!\mathrm{M}$ pafaldwos

 public highways. Such acceleration of projects must be
so allocated shall be expended by said cities and incorporated towns for the construction, engineering, reconstruction, maintenance, repair, equipment, improvement, and administration of the system of streets of such city or incorporated town OR OF ANY PUBLIC HIGHWAYS LOCATED WITHIN SUCH CITY OR INCORPORATED TOWN, including any state highways, together with the acquisition of rights-of-way and access rights for the same, and for no other purpose. The amount to be expended for administrative purposes shall not exceed five percent of each city's share of the funds available. SILL 10 ( $s \cdot 2$ ) NOILJJSanS NI 0ヨ0InOyd $S \forall 1 d \exists כ \times \exists$ ( 2 ) SECTION, for the purpose of allocating moneys in the highway users tax fund to the various cities and incorporated towns throughout the state, the following method is adopted:
 highway users tax fund for all of the cities and incorporated

 increased revenues shall be paid to the authority, which is created in section 32-9.7-103, C.R.S. paspaaju!" ' $(5 \cdot 2)$ uo!zวasqns s! 47 to sasodand doff (q) revenues" means the additional revenues available in the
 of house bill no. ___, enacted in the first regular session of the fifty-seventh general assembly:
(I) The elimination of the "off-the-top" appropriations
out of the highway users tax fund for administrative expenses
approved by the state highway commission and the governing board of the municipality, county, or political subdivision involved. The construction of projects conducted pursuant to this section shall be carried out under the supervision of the chief engineer of the state department of highways, who may contract with private parties for construction services. Any municipality, county, or political subdivision may contract with private parties for construction services when conducting projects pursuant to this section.
SECTION 41. 43-2-208 (1), COlorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:
43-2-208. County commissioners authorized to construct highways and let contracts. (1) Whenever any county highway or bridge is to be constructed or any grading or repairing is to be done upon any county highway OR UPON ANY PUBLIC HIGHWAY, the board of county commissioners is authorized to undertake such construction, grading, or repairing in its own behalf or to let contracts for the same. Boards of county conmissioners are also authorized to make bids and to enter into contracts Where--the-certfatt-pfice-ifretved-dees-ret-exeeed-өRe-hundred theusand-dellafs; with the state department of highways or any agency of the federal or state government for the construction, maintenance, and repair of state or federal highways or bridges within their respective counties and to undertake and perform whatever work is necessary in connection therewith. All labor employed in such contracts shall be bona fide residents of the state of Colorado, and, in all cases,
preference shall be granted to residents of the county wherein the contract is being performed.

SECTION 42. 43-2-209, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

43-2-209. Contract for work on highways - advertise for bids. In the event THAT any board of county commissioners desires to let out any work on the county highways OR ANY OTHER PUBLIC HIGHWAYS by contract, it may advertise in a legal newspaper in the county or post a notice in the county courthouse, for a period of not less than ten days before the contract is let, for sealed proposals for performing the work. When a contract for work on PUBLIC highways involves expenditure of five thousand dollars or more, the board of county commissioners shall advertise in a newspaper as provided in this section unless such advertisement, in the judgment of the board, would be detrimental to the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety. Such advertisement shall describe the work to be done and its location and shall refer all persons to the person holding the plans and specifications therefor, and such contract shall be awarded to the lowest responsible bidder, the board reserving the right to reject any bids proffered. The cost of any county highway work mentioned in sections 43-2-208 to 43-2-210 may be paid out of the county road and bridge fund or emergency road fund, as the board may determine.

SECTION 43. Title 32, Colorado Revised Statutes, as amended, is amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW ARTICLE to read:

## ARTICLE 9.7

## State Transportation System <br> PART 1 <br> METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION <br> FINANCE AUTHORITY

32-9.7-101. Legislative declaration. The general assembly finds, determines, and declares that the improvement of the surface transportation system in the Denver metropolitan area is a matter of statewide concern and that the creation of the metropolitan transportation finance authority is necessary for the planning, financing, and development of the surface transportation system in the Denver metropolitan area. The general assembly further finds, determines, and declares that the consolidation of the several transportation agencies functioning in the Denver metropolitan area on the effective date of this section into one authority will be beneficial for the improvement of the surface transportation system in the Denver metropolitan area by providing enhanced coordination of planning, financing, and development responsibilities.

32-9.7-102. Definitions. As used in this article, unless the context otherwise requires:
(1) "Authority" means the metropolitan transportation finance authority.
(2) "Authority area" means that area described in section 32-9.7-103.
(3) "Board" means the board of directors of the regional

## transportation district.

(4) "Commercial property" means any real property which is assessed for valuation as nonagricultural and nonresidential property.
(5) "Commission" means the governing body of the authority, which governing body is created in section 32-9.7-105.
(6) "Commissioner" means a member of governing body created in section 32-9.7-105.
(7) "Condemn" or "condemnation" means the exercise by the authority of the power of dominant eminent domain or eminent domain, in the manner provided in articles 1 to 7 of title 38, C.R.S., to acquire surface transportation facilities and property, real or personal, or an interest therein, for the public use of the authority, the district, or any general purpose local government within the boundaries of the authority.
(8) "Director" means a member of the board.
(9) "District" means the regional transportation district created by this article.
(10) "Dominant eminent domain" means that the right of the authority to condemn public property, real and personal, shall be superior in public necessity to that of any city, town, city and county, county, or other public corporation except a school district.
(11) "General purpose local government" means any county, city and county, city, or town.
(12) "Mass transportation facility" means any facility which transports the general public by bus, rail, fixed guideway, or any other means of conveyance. Such term shall also include high occupancy vehicle lanes.
(13) "Net revenues" means the revenues after the deduction of operation and maintenance expenses.
(14) "Operation and maintenance expenses" means all reasonable and necessary current expenses of the authority or district, paid or accrued, of operating, maintaining, and repairing mass transportation facilities of the authority or district.
(15) "Person" means any natural person, association, partnership, company, or corporation.
(16) "Publication" means the publication once a week for three consecutive weeks in at least one newspaper having general circulation in the authority area. Publication need not be made on the same day of the week in each of the three weeks; but not less than fourteen days shall intervene between the first day of publication and the last day of publication.
(17) "Public body" means the state of Colorado, or any county, city and county, city, town, district, or any other political subdivision of the state, excluding the regional transportation district.
(18) "Revenues" means the tolls, fees, rates, charges, or other income and revenues derived from the operation of the mass transportation facilities of the district, and the taxes, fees, tolls, or income derived from investments by the
district or authority.
(19) "Securities of the authority" means bonds, temporary bonds, refunding bonds, special obligation bonds, interim notes, notes, and warrants of the authority authorized to be issued by this article.
(20) "Surface transportation facility" means any highway, road, street, bridge, viaduct, thoroughfare, freeway, collector, arterial, mass transportation facility, or transportation management system, or any construction of or improvement thereon.
(21) "Taxpaying elector" and "elector" of a district have the meanings, respectively, as specified in section 32-1-103; except that to qualify under this article as a taxpaying elector or as an elector of a district, a person must also be a resident of the disirict.
(22) "Transportation system management" means measures for the improvement of traffic flow including, but not limited to, van or car pool services, improved signalization and computer signalization, voluntary flex-time programs, parking services, improved intersections, counter-flow, and turn lane adjustments.

32-9.7-103. Creation and area of authority. There is hereby created an authority, to be known as the metropolitan transportation finance authority, which shall be a body corporate and a political subdivision of the state. The authority shall not be an agency of state government and shall not be subject to administrative direction by any state
continue in order to identify additional corridors as the demand is demonstrated.
(d) The general assembly further declares that, where
 Colorado residents, goods, and services in implementing this Colorado residents, goods, and services in implementing this section.
(2) (a) The general assembly hereby directs the
 planning, financing, and construction of, phases of a mass
 pursuant to subsection (3) of this section. (b) The general assembly urges the authority to attempt to facilitate the projects authorized by this section as a

 transportation administration. (3) (a) The authority is directed to initiate the

 set forth in subsection (2) of this section. (b) The authority is directed to develop a financing vo!fefiodsuedf sem ant to sase yd a facility set forth in subsection (2) of this section by utilizing moneys to be received from the federal urban mass transportation administration, moneys to be received from the private sector, moneys received from such special tax districts or tax increment districts as may be established,
department, commission, board, bureau, or agency. The area comprising the authority shall consist of the city and county of Denver and the counties of Adams, Arapahoes, Boulder, Douglas, and Jefferson. Within this area, the authority shall be responsible with the general purpose local governments for the planning, financing, and construction of surface transportation facilities in the Denver metropolitan area. 32-9.7-104. Regional mass transportation facility authorization. (1) (a) The general assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares that: determines, and declares that:
(I) The construction and operation of a mass transportation facility in the Denver metropolitan area is a matter of statewide concern; and
 development, commerce, and the reduction of air pollution. (b) The general assembly further finds and declares that


 should be financed by a mixture of fees on businesses which 2AR4 पכ!
 on the residents of the district.
 intent of this section to designate corridors for construction of a mass transportation facility by 1994. In addition, it is

and moneys from tax revenues pursuant to section 32-9.7-109
(2) (b).

32-9.7-105. Commission - appointment. (1) The powers of the authority shall be vested in the commission, which shall consist of nine members appointed by the governor and confirmed by the senate, six of whom shall represent the counties and the city and county within the authority area, and three of whom shall be at-large members from within the authority area. The boards of county commissioners of Adams, Arapahoe, Boulder, Douglas, and Jefferson counties shall each submit to the governor the names of three nominees who are local elected officials of general purpose local governments in the respective counties, and the governor shall appoint one of those nominees from each county to the commission. The city council of the city and county of Denver, with the advice and consent of the mayor, shall submit to the governor the names of three nominees who are electors of the city and county of Denver, and the governor shall appoint one of those nominees to the commission. The governor shall appoint to the cormission three at-large members who are electors residing within the city and county or one of the counties which are within the authority area. The three at-large members shall have experience in the fields of transportation, highway planning, construction or finance, or air quality. The governor may remove any commissioner for cause. Of the nine members first appointed to the commission, five shall serve for a term of four years and four shall serve for a term of
two years. Thereafter, all persons appointed to the commission shall serve for a term of four years. Any vacancy on the commission shall be filled in the same manner as for an original appointment and shall be for the unexpired term. The members of the commission shall receive a per diem of one hundred dollars for each day actually spent in the transaction of official business of the commission in the state of Colorado. In addition to such per dien, each member shalt reimbursed for his reasonable and necessary expenses incurred in the completion of his duties.
(2) The commission shall hire an executive director who shall serve at the pleasure of the commission and who shall be responsible for the implementation of the transportation program which is developed and financed by the authority. The authority may contract with the Denver regional council of governments or with other public or private agencies for the provision of staff services for the authority.

32-9.7-106. Projects undertaken by the authority priorities - criteria for completion. (1) The authority shall consider the following priorities in the planning, financing, or construction of any mass transportation facility, surface transportation facility, or transportation management system:
(a) Transportation management systems on existing roads and highways shall be the first priority of the authority.
(b) The improvement of existing surface transportation facilities shall be the second priority of the authority. Such
improvements shall include, but shall not be limited to, new construction for increased capacity, improvements related to road and highway safety considerations, and improvements for the benefit of air quality.
(c) The third priority of the authority shall be the completion of mass transportation facilities in a manner which parallels the completion of the E-470 and $W-470$ beltway projects and the improvement of C-470.
(2) The authority shall plan, finance, or construct each mass transportation facility, surface transportation facility, or transportation management system accordance with the following criteria:
(a) Each project developed by the authority shall be developed on the basis of increasing the mobility and safety of the traveling public in the authority area, in addition to promoting better air quality in the authority area.
(b) Prior to the commencement of any project, the authority shall conduct an analysis of each transportation corridor within the authority area. The analysis shall include a consideration of the total transportation needs of the corridor, including mass transportation and motor vehicle transportation.
(c) In addition to the corridor analysis required by paragraph (b) of this subsection (2), the authority shall consider the life-cycle costs related to a particular project. The alternative which results in the least cost to the authority shall be taken into consideration, but the authority
shall not be limited to the least-cost alternative. In connection with mass transportation facilities, the authority shall make a projection of the life-cycle tax and public funds subsidy, and it shall consider such tax and subsidy in determining which facilities to plan, finance, or construct.
(d) The authority shall enter into intergovernmental agreements with the state department of highways and with general purpose local governments, as necessary or desirable, in order to facilitate the completion of any project. The agreements may include any aspect of the planning, financing, or construction of such projects.
(e) When the authority completes a project, except a beltway project, the project shall be turned over to the state department of highways or to the local governmental entity which has jurisdiction over such project. Beltway projects shall be maintained and operated by the authority, and the authority may contract for such maintenance and operation duties.
(3) The authority may complete an integrated air quality analysis of its programs and projects, and the authority shall coordinate its analysis with local, state, and federal air quality authorities.

32-9.7-107. Administrative powers. (1) The commission has the following administrative powers:
(a) To fix the time and place of its regular meetings, to be held at least monthly, and to provide for the calling and holding of special meetings;
(b) To adopt and amend bylaws and rules of procedure;
(c) To elect one member as chairman and another member as chairman pro tem and to appoint one or more persons as secretary and treasurer;
(d) To prescribe a system of business administration, to create necessary offices, and to establish the powers, duties, and compensation of all officers, agents, and employees and other persons contracting with the authority;
(e) To prescribe a method of auditing and allowing or rejecting claims and demands;
(f) To provide a method for the letting of contracts on a fair and competitive basis for the construction of works, any facility, or any project, or any interest therein, and for the performance or furnishing of labor, materials, or supplies;
(g) To make and pass resolutions necessary to carry out the provisions of this article.
32-9.7-108. Additional powers of authority. (1) In addition to any other powers granted to the authority in this article, the authority has the following powers:
(a) To have the duties, privileges, immunities, rights, liabilities, and disabilities of a public body politic and corporate. The authority shall be a political subdivision of the state.
(b) To have perpetual existence and succession;
(c) To adopt, have, and use a seal and to alter same at pleasure;
(d) To sue and be sued:
(e) To enter into any contract or agreement not inconsistent with this article or the laws of this state;
(f) To borrow money and to issue authority securities evidencing same;
(g) To refund any loan or obligation of the authority and to issue refunding securities therefor;
(h) To purchase, trade, exchange, or otherwise acquire, maintain, and dispose of real property and personal property and any interest therein;
(i) To levy and cause to be collected taxes on all taxable property within the authority, subject to the limitations imposed by this article and the laws of the state;
(j) To employ such officers, agents, employees, and other persons necessary to carry out the purposes of this article and to acquire office space, equipment, services, supplies, and insurance necessary to carry out the purposes of this article;
(k) To condemn property for public use;
(1) To purchase, trade, exchange, or otherwise acquire, maintain, and dispose of real and personal property and any interest therein;
(m) To make all contracts, execute all instruments, and do all things necessary or convenient for the planning, financing, or construction of a surface transportation facility:
(n) To fix and from time to time increase or decrease
the revenues for services and facilities provided by the authority; to pledge net revenues for the payment of special authority obligation bonds which have been issued in accordance with this article; and to enforce the collection of such revenues;
(o) To deposit any moneys of the authority not then needed in the conduct of authority affairs in any banking institution within or without the authority area or in any depository authorized in section 24-75-603, C.R.S. For the purpose of making such deposits, the commission may appoint, by written resolution, one or more persons to act as custodians of the moneys of the authority. Such persons shall give surety bonds in such amounts and form and for such purposes as the commission requires.
(p) To establish a reserve fund and to roll forward any unexpended balances in such fund;
(q) To invest any surplus money in the authority's treasury, including moneys in a sinking or reserve fund established for the purpose of retiring any securities of the authority not required for immediate necessities of the authority in its own securities or federal or state securities;
( $r$ ) To sell from time to time such securities thus purchased and held;
(s) To accept grants or loans from the federal government, the state government, or any political subdivision thereof, to enter into contracts and cooperate with the
federal government, the state government, or any political subdivision thereof, and to do all things necessary, not inconsistent with this article or the laws of this state, in order to avail itself of such aid, assistance, and cooperation under any federal or state legislation;
(t) To enter into joint operating or service contracts, and acquisition, improvement, equipment, or disposal contracts with any public body in the authority area concerning any surface transportation facility whether acquired by the authority or by the public body; to perform such contracts; and to accept grants and contributions from any public body or any other person in connection therewith;
(u) To enter upon any land within the authority area to make surveys, borings, soundings, and examinations for the purposes of the authority;
(v) To enter into contracts of indemnity and guaranty;
(w) To secure financial statements, appraisals, economic feasibility reports, and valuations of any type relating to any surface transportation facility of the authority;
(x) To make all contracts, execute all instruments, and do all things necessary or convenient in the exercise of the powers granted in this article.
(2) The authority shall also have the power to enter into intergovernmental agreements with any local governmental entity within the authority area and with the state highway commission or the state department of highways for the completion of surface transportation projects which are
interjurisdictional or which have interjurisdictional consequences.

## 32-9.7-109. Financial powers. (1) (a) To provide

 revenue to finance the operations of the authority, to defray the cost of construction of capital improvements and acquisition of capital equipment, and to pay the interest and principal on securities of the authority, the commission, for and on behalf of the authority, after approval by election, shall have the power to levy uniformly throughout the authority area a sales tax at the rate of six-tenths of one percent upon every transaction or other incident with respect to which a sales tax is now levied by the state, pursuant to the provisions of article 26 of title 39 , C.R.S. Any sales tax imposed by the district prior to July 1, 1989, shall be deemed to have been imposed by the authority, and the authority shall collect such tax in accordance with this article.(b) (I) In addition to any sales tax levied pursuant to paragraph (a) of this subsection (1), to provide revenue to defray the costs of the authority described in paragraph (a) of this subsection (1), the commission, for and on behalf of the authority after approval by election held pursuant to subparagraph (II) of this paragraph (b), shall have the power to levy uniformly throughout the authority area or to levy at varying rates based upon varying levels of service in the authority area an additional sales tax at a rate not to exceed one percent upon every transaction or other incident with
respect to which a sales tax is levied by the state, pursuant to the provisions of article 26 of title 39, C.R.S.
(II) (A) Unless there is pending in any court an action questioning the validity of this section or any part thereof or the power of the authority to proceed under this article, the commission shall submit at a special or a general election or elections to be held, as determined by the commission, notwithstanding the provisions of section 32-9.7-136, the question or questions of granting the authority the power to levy an additional sales tax pursuant to, and for the purposes specified in, this paragraph (b). No such election shall be held unless the hearings required by subsections (4) and (5) of this section have been held. The election shall be conducted in the manner provided in this article; except that those voting must be registered electors. Any such election shall be held on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in February, May, October, or December, or on the date of any general election; except that no such election or elections shall be held prior to January 1, 1990.
(B) No moneys of the authority, from whatever source, nor any other public moneys shall be expended to advertise, promote, or purchase commercial promotion or advertisement to urge electors to vote in favor of or against any additional sales tax at any election held pursuant to this paragraph (b).
(III) No moneys of the authority shall be used to purchase commercial promotion or advertisement to urge electors to vote in favor of or against an additional sales
tax at any election held pursuant to subparagraph (II) of this paragraph (b).
(c) Sales tax levied pursuant to this subsection (1) shall be collected, administered, and enforced as follows:
(I) The collection, administration, and enforcement of said sales tax shall be performed by the executive director of the department of revenue in the same manner as the collection, administration, and enforcement of the state sales tax imposed under article 26 of title 39, C.R.S., including, without limitation, the retention by a vendor of the percentage of the amount remitted to cover the vendor's expense in the collection and remittance of said tax as provided in section 39-26-105, C.R.S.
(II) The executive director of the department of revenue shall administer, collect, and distribute any sales tax imposed in conformity with this article. The executive director of the department of revenue shall make monthly distributions of such sales tax collections to the authority. The department of revenue shall retain an amount not to exceed the net cost of such administration, collection, and distribution and shall transmit such amount to the state treasurer, who shall credit the same to the general fund. The cost of such administration, collection, and distribution shall be the audited net incremental cost thereof reduced by the amount of interest earned on such sales tax collections prior to distribution to the authority.
(2) To provide revenue to defray the cost of the
planning, financing, or construction of a surface transportation facility within a corridor and the cost of acquisition of capital equipment or interests in real property necessary for such system, the commission, for and on behalf of the authority, subject to approval by the voters of the question provided in subsection (1) (b) (I) of this section, has the following additional powers within a corridor:
(a) To establish, and from time to time increase or decrease, a tax not to exceed two dollars on the privilege of employment within a corridor and to collect the tax for each person so employed from the employer within a corridor; except that no tax shall be collected on persons employed by governmental entities within a corridor. The department of revenue shall adopt regulations in connection with the collection of such tax.
(b) To establish, and from time to time increase or decrease, a tax not to exceed two dollars on the privilege of conducting any trade, business, occupation, or profession within a corridor and to collect the tax from persons engaged full time at a place of employment in any trade, business, occupation, or profession within a corridor. The department of revenue shall adopt regulations in connection with the collection of such tax.
(3) For the purpose of planning, financing, developing, or constructing a surface transportation facility, the commission has the power:
(a) (I) To impose a fee upon, subject to the provisions
of subsection (4) of this section, each commercial property within the corridor in accordance with one of the following methods:
(A) For commercial property used for general office purposes, the fee times the number of net leasable square feet;
(B) For commercial property used for retail sales, the fee times the number of net leasable square feet;
(C) For all other commercial property, the fee times the total number of persons employed on such property; or
(D) Such methodology as determined by the commission to be equitable.
(II) Fees shall be collected by the department of revenue from the property owner or his authorized agent.
(III) Fees shall constitute a lien and be collected in the manner provided in section 32-1-1001 (1) (j).
(IV) The commission shall adopt procedures so that it may exempt certain properties from the imposition of the fee.
(b) To revise from time to time the fee imposed pursuant to this subsection (3) but only if the use of such property changes.
(4) (a) The commission shall not levy the fees provided in paragraph (a) of subsection (3) of this section until a public hearing, notice of which has been mailed to all property owners not more than sixty nor less than forty-five days in advance, has been held to hear objections to the fees. The notice of the public hearing shall also include a
disclosure to the property owner of the amount of the proposed fees. At the public hearing, the commission shall consider all objections to the fees and may affirm or modify the fees. Notice of such action shall be mailed to the objecting property owner within five days of the commission's decision.
(b) No fee shall be made as proposed if, not later than five days prior to the hearing, a protest to the fees is filed with the commission which is signed by the owners of more than fifty percent of the square footage of the buildings subject to the fee located on the commercial property in the corridor. In such case the commission shall revise the proposed fees and proceed as provided in paragraph (a) of this subsection (4).
(c) The list of property owners used by the commission for mailing of the notice pursuant to paragraph (a) of this subsection (4) shall be made avallable to any of said property owners for the purpose of protesting the fees pursuant to paragraph (b) of this subsection (4).
(5) (a) The commission may establish one or more tax increment areas within a corridor to facilitate the construction of a surface transportation facility therein. Such tax increment areas may be established by the commission whenever the market value of any area within a corridor will increase as a result of the construction of a surface transportation facility.
(b) Prior to the creation of a tax increment area, the conmission shall prepare a tax increment plan which shall identify a surface transportation facility to be constructed,
the property to be included in the tax increment area, the period of time during which the tax increment area shall be in effect, and the portion of the property taxes, except school district property taxes, or sales taxes levied or collected within the tax increment area which will be retained by the authority during the period the tax increment area remains in effect. A copy of the tax inc-ement plan shall be submitted to the governing body of each governmental unit which has the power to levy or impose a property tax or sales tax within the boundaries of the proposed tax increment area, except the board of education of any school district. Property taxes levied for school districts shall be exempt and excepted from the tax increment plan. Not less than twenty days prior to the hearing on the tax increment plan, notice of the time and place of the hearing on the tax increment plan shall be published at least once in a newspaper of general circulation in the proposed tax increment area and shall be mailed to the governmental units wich received the tax increment plan.
(c) The commission shall hold a hearing which shall be open to the public, and a record of the proceedings shall be made. All governmental units which received notice of the hearing and each owner of property within the proposed tax increment area shall be interested parties and shall be afforded an opportunity to be heard. Following the hearing, the commission may approve or disapprove the tax increment plan. If approved, any such tax increment plan may be modified later in the same manner as the original approval.

No such tax increment plan shall be implemented by, the commission unless a resolution or ordinance approving the plan is adopted by each of the governmental units affected by the plan in each tax increment area.
(d) Any such tax increment plan as originally approved or later modified may contain a provision that property taxes, if any, levied or imposed by a governmental unit after the effective date of the tax increment plan upon taxable property within the tax increment area or that any sales taxes collected within said area, or all such taxes, shall be divided for a period set forth in the tax increment plan after the effective date of the tax increment plan, as follows:
(I) That portion of the property taxes which are produced by the levy at the rate fixed each year by or for each governmental unit upon the valuation for assessment of taxable property within the boundaries of the tax increment area last certified prior to the effective date of the tax increment plan, or that portion of the sales tax collected within the boundaries of the tax increment area in the twelve-month period ending on the last day of the month prior to the effective date of the tax increment plan, or both such portions, shall be paid into the funds of each such governmental unit as are all other taxes collected by or for said governmental unit.
(II) Up to fifty percent of that portion of said property taxes or sales taxes, or both, which is in excess of the portion determined in subparagraph (I) of this paragraph
(d) shall be allocated and, when collected, paid into a special fund of the authority for the payment of, or the funding of, reserves, sinking, or other funds for the payment of, the principal of, interest on, and any premiums due in connection with the bonds of the authority incurred for the financing of a surface transportation facility in the corridor in which the tax increment area is located. The remaining fifty percent shall be distributed to local governments, except school districts, according to the appropriate mill levy.
(e) In the event that there is a general reassessment of taxable property in any county including all or part of a tax increment area or a change in the rate of the sales tax collected by a county or municipality in a tax increment area, the portions of taxes specified in subparagraphs (I) and (II) of paragraph (d) of this subsection (5) shall be proportionately adjusted in accordance with such reassessment or change.
(f) When the bonds of the authority, including refunding bonds, have been paid, all taxes in such tax increment area shall thereafter be paid into the funds of the respective governmental units.
(g) In the event a tax increment authority already exists, the provisions of this subsection (5) shall not apply except pursuant to the intergovernmental agreement act.
(6) The executive director of the department of revenue shall provide for the collection, administration, and
enforcement of the revenue-raising powers used by the commission pursuant to subsections (2) and (3) of this section and the sales tax increment financing provisions of subsection (5) of this section. The county assessor of each applicable county shall be responsible for the collection, administration, and enforcement of the revenue-raising powers used by the commission pursuant to the property tax increment financing provisions of subsection (5) of this section. The executive director shall make monthly distributions of the fees, taxes, and sales taxes provided in subsections (2), (3), and (5) of this section to the commission. The commission shall pay the direct and indirect costs incurred by the department of revenue in the administration and collection of such moneys. The costs of the county assessor incurred in the administration and collection of the property tax increment provided in subsection (5) of this section shall be paid to the assessor by the commission from moneys so collected.

32-9.7-110. Additional financial powers. (1) In addition to the financial powers outlined in section 32-9.7-109, the commission shall have the following additional financial powers:
(a) The power to impose an additional registration fee on motor vehicles registered within the authority area;
(b) The power to impose upon all sales of gasoline in the authority area a tax at a rate not to exceed three percent of the amount of the sale.

32-9.7-111. Forms of borrowing. Subject to the
provisions of this article, the authority, to carry out the purposes of this article, may borrow money and may issue the following district securities to evidence such borrowing: Notes, warrants, bonds, temporary bonds, refunding bonds, special obligation bonds, and interim notes.

32-9.7-112. Issuance of notes. The authority may borrow money in anticipation of general ad valorem property taxes, sales taxes, fuel taxes, registration fees, employment taxes, occupation taxes, commercial property assessments, tax increment area revenues, or a combination thereof, and issue notes to evidence the amount so borrowed.

32-9.7-113. Issuance of warrants. The authority may defray the cost of any services or supplies, equipment, or other materials furnished to or for the benefit of the authority by the issuance of warrants to evidence the amount due therefor in anticipation of general ad valorem property taxes, sales taxes, or revenues, or any combination thereof.

32-9.7-114. Maturities of notes and warrants. Notes and warrants may mature at such time not exceeding two years from the respective dates of their issuance as the board may determine. They shall not be extended or funded except by the issuance of bonds, special obligation bonds, or interim notes in compliance with sections 32-9.7-115 and 32-9.7-117.

32-9.7-115. [ncurrence of specia] obligations. The authority may borrow money in anticipation of the revenues and 26 the sales tax proceeds of the authority, but not the proceeds 27 of any general ad valorem property taxes, and issue special
obligation bonds to evidence the amount so borrowed. Any special obligation bonds or other obligations payable in whole or in part from the sales tax proceeds of the authority or net revenues of the authority, or both, may be issued or incurred without an election, in anticipation of such sales tax proceeds or net revenues, or both.

32-9.7-116. Issuance of temporary bonds. The authority may, without an election, issue temporary bonds, pending preparation of definitive bonds and exchangeable for the definitive bonds when prepared, as the commission may determine. Each temporary bond shall set forth substantially the same conditions, terms, and provisions as the definitive bond for which it is exchanged. Each holder of a temporary bond shall have all the rights and remedies which he would have as a holder of the definitive bond.

32-9.7-117. Issuance of interim notes. The authority may borrow money and issue interim notes evidencing short-term loans for the acquisition or improvement and equipment of any mass transportation facility of the authority in supplementation of long-term financing and the issuance of bonds, as provided in section 32-9.7-135.

## 32-9.7-118. Pledge of proceeds of sales taxes and

 revenues. The payment of securities of the authority may be secured by the specific pledge of the proceeds of sales taxes or net revenues, or both such taxes and net revenues, of the authority, as the board may determine. Net revenues or sales taxes pledged for the payment of any securities, as receivedby the authority, shall immediately be subject to the lien of each such pledge, without any physical delivery thereof, any filing, or further act, and the lien of each such pledge and the obligation to perform the contractual provisions made in the authorizing resolution or other instrument relating thereto shall have priority over all other obligations and liabilities of the authority, except as may be otherwise provided in this article or in said resolution or instrument, and subject to any prior pledges and liens theretofore created. The lien of each such pledge shall be valid and binding as against all persons having claims of any kind in tort, contract, or otherwise against the authority, irrespective of whether such persons have notice thereof.

32-9.7-119. Ranking among different issues. Except as otherwise provided in the authorizing resolution of the authority, all securities of the same issue or series shall, subject to the prior rights of outstanding securities, claims, and other obligations, have a prior lien on the net revenues pledged for the payment of the securities.

32-9.7-120. Ranking in same issue. All securities of the same issue or series shall be equally and ratably secured without priority by a lien on the net revenues of the authority in accordance with the provisions of this article and the authorizing resolution, or other instrument relating thereto, except to the extent such resolution or other instrument shall otherwise expressly provide.

32-9.7-121. Payment recital in securities. Securities
of the authority issued under this article and constituting special obligations shall recite in substance that the securities and the interest thereon are payable solely from the net revenues of the authority or the sales tax proceeds of the authority, or both, as the case may be, pledged to the payment thereof.

32-9.7-122. Incontestable recital in securities. Any authorizing resolution, or other instrument relating thereto under this article, may provide that each security therein designated shall recite that it is issued under authority of this article. Such recital shall conclusively impart full compliance with all the provisions of this article, and all securities issued containing such recital shall be incontestable for any cause whatsoever after their delivery for value.

32-9.7-123. Limitation upon payment. The payment of securities shall not be secured by any encumbrance, mortgage, or other pledge of property of the authority, other than net revenues, proceeds of sales taxes, or any other moneys pledged for the payment of the securities. No property of the authority, subject to said exception, shall be liable to be forfeited or taken in payment of the securities.

32-9.7-124. Security details. (1) Any securities of the authority authorized to be issued in this article shall bear such date, shall be in such denomination, shall mature at such time, but in no event exceeding forty years from their date or any shorter limitation provided in this article, and
shall bear interest at a rate such that the net effective interest rate of the issue of securities does not exceed the naximum net effective interest rate authorized, which interest nay be evidenced by one or two sets of coupons payable annually or semiannually; except that the first interest jayment date appertaining to any security may represent interest for any period not in excess of one year, as may be prescribed by resolution or other instrument. The securities and any coupons shall be payable in such medium of payment at
any banking institution or such other place within or without the state as determined by the commission, and the securities at the option of the commission may be in one or more series, nay be made subject to prior redemption in advance of maturity in such order or by lot or otherwise at such time without or with the payment of such premium, not exceeding seven percent of the principal amount of each security so redeemed, as tetermined by the commission. For any securities the issuance of which does not require approval at an election pursuant to this article, the maximum net effective interest rate shall be established by the commission prior to the sale and issuance of such securities.
(2) Any securities of the authority may be issued with arivileges for conversion or registration, or both, for כayment as to principal or interest, or both, and, where interest accruing on the securities is not represented by interest coupons, the securities may provide for the endorsing of payments of interest thereon, and the securities generally
shall be issued in such manner, in such form, either coupon or registered, with such recitals, terms, covenants, and conditions, and with such other details, as may be provided by the commission in the resolution authorizing the securities, or other instrument appertaining thereto, except as otherwise provided in this article.
(3) Any resolution authorizing the issuance of securities or any other instrument relating thereto may provide for their reissuance in other denominations in negotiable or nonnegotiable form and otherwise in such manner and form as the commission may determine.

32-9.7-125. Negotiability. Subject to the payment provisions specifically provided in this article, any securities of the authority and any interest coupons thereto attached shall be fully negotiable within the meaning of and for all the purposes of article 8 of title 4, C.R.S., except as the commission may otherwise provide.

32-9.7-126. Single bonds. (1) The commission may:
(a) Provide for the initial issuance of one or more securities, in this section called "bond", aggregating the amount of the entire issue, or a designated portion thereof;
(b) Make such provision for installment payments of the principal amount of any such bond as the commission may consider desirable;
(c) Provide for the making of any such bond payable to bearer or otherwise, registrable as to principal or as to both principal and interest, and where interest accruing thereon is
not represented by interest coupons, for the endorsing of payments of interest on each such bond;
(d) Further make provision in any such proceedings for the manner and circumstances in which any such bond may in the future, at the request of the holder or owner thereof, be converted into securities of smaller denominations, which securities of smaller denominations may in turn be either coupon bonds or bonds registrable as to principal, or principal and interest, or both, at the option of the holder or owner.

32-9.7-127. Sale of securities. (1) Any securities authorized in this article, except for warrants not issued for cash, and except for temporary bonds issued pending preparation of definitive bonds, shall be sold at public or private sale for not less than the principal amount thereof and accrued interest, or at the commission's option, below par, at a discount not exceeding seven percent of the principal amount thereof, but such securities shall never be sold at a price such that the net effective interest rate exceeds the maximum net effective interest rate authorized.
(2) No discount, except as provided in subsection (1) of this section, or commission shall be allowed or paid on or for any security sale to any purchaser or bidder, directly or indirectly.

32-9.7-128. Application of proceeds. All moneys received from the issuance of any securities authorized in this article shall be used solely for the purposes for which
issued.
32-9.7-129. Use of unexpended proceeds. Any unexpended balance of such security proceeds remaining after the completion of the purposes for which such securities were issued shall be credited immediately to the fund or account created for the payment of the principal of said securities and shall be used therefor, subject to the provisions as to the times and methods for their payment as stated in the securities and the proceedings authorizing or otherwise appertaining to their issuance, or so paid into a reserve therefor.

32-9.7-130. Covenants in security proceedings. Any resolution or trust indenture authorizing the issuance of securities or any other instrument relating thereto may contain covenants and other provisions limiting the exercise of powers conferred by this article upon the commission in order to secure the payment of such securities, in agreement with the holders and owners of such securities, as the commission may determine.

32-9.7-131. Remedies of security holders. (1) Subject to contractual limitations binding upon the holders or owners of any issue or series of securities or trustee therefor and subject to any prior or superior rights of others, any holder or owner of securities or trustee therefor shall have the right and power for the equal benefit and protection of all holders and owners of securities similarly situated:
(a) By mandamus or other suit, action, or proceeding at
law or in equity to enforce his rights against the authority and its commission and any of its officers, agents, and employees, and to require and compel the authority or its commission or any such officers, agents, or employees to perform and carry out their duties, obligations, or other commitments under this article and their covenants and agreements with the holder or owner of any security;
(b) By action or suit in equity to require the authority and its commission to account as if they were the trustee of an express trust;
(c) By action or suit in equity to have appointed a receiver, which receiver may enter and take possession of any revenues or any proceeds of taxes, or both, pledged for the payment of the securities, prescribe sufficient fees derived therefrom, and collect, receive, and apply all net revenues or other moneys pledged for the payment of the securities in the same manner as the district itself might do in accordance with the obligations of the authority;
(d) By action or suit in equity to enjoin any acts or things which may be unlawful or in violation of the rights of the holder of any security and to bring suit thereupon.

32-9.7-132. Limitations upon liabilities. Neither the commissioners nor any person executing any securities of the authority issued under this article shall be liable personally on the securities by reason of the issuance thereof. Securities issued pursuant to this article shall not in any way create or constitute any indebtedness, liability, or
obligation of the state or of any political subdivision thereof, except the authority, and nothing in this article shall be construed to authorize the authority to incur any indebtedness on behalf of or in any way to obligate the state or any political subdivision thereof, except the authority.

32-9.7-133. Interest after maturity. No interest shall accrue on any security authorized in this article after it becomes due and payable if funds for the payment of the principal of and the interest on the security and any prior redemption premium due are available to a paying agent for such payment without default.

32-9.7-134. Refunding bonds. (1) Except as otherwise provided in this article, any bonds issued under this article may be refunded without an election, subject to the provisions concerning their payment and to any other contractual limitations in the proceedings authorizing their issuance or otherwise relating thereto.
(2) Any bonds issued for refunding purposes may either be delivered in exchange for the outstanding bonds authorized to be refunded or may be sold as provided in this article for the sale of other bonds.
(3) No bonds may be refunded under this article unless the holders thereof voluntarily surrender them for exchange or payment or unless they either mature or are callable for prior redemption under their terms within ten years from the date of issuance of the refunding bonds. Provision shall be made for paying the bonds within said period of time. No maturity of
any bonds refunded may be extended over fifteen years. The rate of interest on such refunding bonds shall be determined by the commission. The principal amount of the refunding bonds may exceed the principal amount of the refunded bonds if the aggregate principal and interest costs of the refunding bonds do not exceed such unaccrued costs of the bonds refunded, except the extent any interest on the bonds refunded in arrears or about to become due is capitalized with the proceeds of the refunding bonds. The principal amount of the refunding bonds may also be less than or the same as the principal amount of the bonds refunded so long as provision is duly and sufficiently made for their payment.
(4) The proceeds of refunding bonds shall either be immediately applied to the retirement of the bonds to be refunded or be placed in escrow or in trust to be applied to the payment of the bonds refunded upon their presentation therefor. Any proceeds held in escrow or in trust, pending such use, may be invested or reinvested in state or federal securities. Such proceeds and investments in escrow or in trust, together with any interest or other gain to be derived from any such investment, shall be in an amount at all times sufficient as to principal, interest, any prior redemption premium due, and any charges of the escrow agent or trustee payable therefrom, to pay the bonds refunded as they become due at their respective maturities or due at designated prior redemption date upon which the board shall be obligated to call the refunded bonds for prior redemption.
(5) Except as otherwise provided in this article, the relevant provisions pertaining to bonds generally shall be equally applicable in the authorization and issuance of refunding bonds, including their terms and security, the bond resolution, trust indenture, taxes, and revenues, and other aspects of the bonds.

32-9.7-135. Issuance of interim notes. (1) Whenever a proposal to issue bonds for any purpose authorized in this article has been approved at an election held in accordance with this article, the authority may borrow money without any other election in anticipation of sales taxes or of the receipt of the proceeds of said bonds and to issue interim notes to evidence the amount so borrowed; except that the aggregate amount of the interim notes may not exceed the amount so authorized by the election. Any interim notes may mature at such time not exceeding a period of time equal to the estimated time needed to effect the purposes for which the bonds are authorized to be issued, plus two years, as the commission may determine. Except as otherwise provided in this section, interim notes shall be issued as provided in this article for securities of the authority.
(2) Sales taxes, proceeds of bonds to be thereafter issued or reissued, and bonds issued for the purpose of securing the payment of interim notes, or any combination thereof, may be pledged for the purpose of securing the payment of the interim notes. Any bonds pledged as collateral security for the payment of any interim notes shall mature at
such time as the commission may determine, but in no event exceeding forty years from the date of either any of such bonds or any of such interim notes, whichever date is the earlier. Any such bonds pledged as collateral security shall not be issued in an aggregate principal amount exceeding the aggregate principal amount of the interim notes or interim notes secured by a pledge of such bonds, nor shall they bear interest at any time which, with any interest accruing at the same time on the interim notes so secured, exceeds the maximum net effective interest rate authorized.
(3) For the purpose of funding any interim notes, any bonds pledged as collateral security to secure the payment of such interim notes, upon their surrender as pledged property, may be reissued without an election, and any bonds not previously issued but authorized to be issued at an election may be issued for such a funding. Any such bonds shall mature at such time as the commission may determine, but in no event exceeding forty years from the date of either any of the interim notes so funded or any of the bonds so pledged as collateral security, whichever date is earlier. Bonds may be issued separately or issued in combination in one series or more. Except as otherwise provided in this section any such funding bonds shall be issued as is provided in this article for securities of the authority.
(4) No interim note issued pursuant to the provisions of this section shall be extended or funded except by the issuance or reissuance of $a$ bond in compliance with the
provisions of this section.
32-9.7-136. Elections. Where in this article an election is permitted or required, the election shall be held concurrently with any general election held under the laws of this state.

32-9.7-137. Election resolution. (1) The commission shall call any election by resolution adopted at least thirty days prior to the election. Such resolution shall recite:
(a) The objects and purposes of the election for which the indebtedness is proposed to be incurred;
(b) The estimated cost;
(c) How much, if any, of said estimated cost is to be defrayed out of any federal grant or money other than that received from indebtedness to be incurred;
(d) The estimated additional annual cost of operation and maintenance of any facility for which the acquisition of the indebtedness, in whole or in part, is to be incurred;
(e) The amount of principal of the indebtedness to be incurred therefor, and the maximum net effective interest rate to be paid on such indebtedness;
(f) The date upon which such election shall be held and the form of the ballot.
(2) In the case of any election not to be held concurrently with the primary or general election, the commission shall provide for the appointment of sufficient judges of the election, who shall be electors of the authority, and in such event shall set their compensation.

The election resolution shall also designate the precincts and polling places. Precincts established by any governing body within the authority area may be consolidated in the election resolution by the commission for any election not to be held concurrently with a primary or general election.
(3) If the election is held concurrently with a primary or general election held under the laws of the state, the judges of election for such primary or general election shall be destgnated as the judges of election for the election held pursuant to this article, and they shall receive such additional compensation, if any, as the commission sets by the election resolution.

32-9.7-138. Conduct and costs of elections. (1) Except as otherwise provided in this article, any authority election shall be opened and conducted in the manner then provided by the laws of this state for the conduct of general elections.
(2) The authority shall reimburse each affected county for all true and actual costs of conducting an authority election. If an authority election is held concurrently with a primary, general, or special election, the authority shall reimburse each affected county for the authority's share of the cost of conducting the election.
(3) Electors of the authority area may vote in any election by absent voter's ballot under the terms and conditions, and in substantially the same manner insofar as is practicable, as prescribed in article 7 of title 1, C.R.S., for general elections, except as specifically modified in this
article.
(4) All acts required or permitted to be performed by a county clerk and recorder or election commission shall be performed by it in the event of a primary or general election and by the secretary or assistant secretary of the commission in the event of any other election, unless the services of the county clerk and recorder and election commission are contracted for.
(5) Application may be made for an absent voter's ballot no more than twenty nor less than three days before the election.
(6) No consideration shall be given nor distinction made with reference to any person's political party affiliation or the lack thereof.
(7) The return envelope for the absent voter's ballot shall have printed on its face an affidavit substantially in the following form:
"State of $\qquad$ County of $\qquad$
I, .............. being first duly sworn according to law, depose and say that my residence and post office address is ...................; that $I$ am a person qualified to vote in general elections in the state of Colorado; and that I satisfy one of the following requirements (check applicable statement):
(1) I have been a resident of the Metropolitan Transportation Finance Authority area for not less than
thirty-two days;
(2) I or my spouse owns taxable real or personal
property within the Metropolitan Transportation Finance Authority area; or
(3) I am a person who is obligated to pay general ad valorem taxes under a contract to purchase real property in the Metropolitan Transportation Finance Authority area at the time of this election.

Signature of voter
Subscribed and sworn to before me this ........ day of ......... 19....

Signature of notary public, county clerk and recorder, or other officer authorized to administer oaths

## (SEAL)

Title of office"
(8) In any such election at which voting machines are used, the commission shall provide paper ballots for absentee voters containing the same question as is to be submitted to the other voters on the voting machines.
(9) The authority may provide for absent voters to cast their absent voters' ballots on voting machines expressly provided for that purpose if each absent voter indicates by affidavit that he is qualified to vote at said election and will be an absent voter, pursuant to section 1-7-110, C.R.S.,
and all laws supplemental thereto.
32-9.7-139. Authority, tax exempted. The authority shall be exempted from any general ad valorem taxes upon any property of the authority acquired and used for purposes of this article.

32-9.7-140. Merger, consolidation, or assumption of authority. Nothing in this article shall be construed to prevent the merger, consolidation, or assumption of the authority area with, into, or by any other district, authority, or political subdivision of the state that may be authorized and formed pursuant to the laws and constitution of the state of Colorado, so long as adequate and equitable provisions are made upon merger, consolidation, or assumption for the discharge of all obligations of the authority and for the protection of the rights of all holders of securities of the authority.

32-9.7-141. Freedom from judicial process. (1) Execution or other judicial process shall not issue against any property of the authority authorized in this article, nor shall any judgment against the authority be a charge or lien upon its property.
(2) Subsection (1) of this section does not apply to or limit the right of the holder or owner of any securities of the authority, his trustee, or any assignee of all or part of this interest, the federal government or any public body when it is a party to any contract with the authority, and any other obligee under this article to foreclose, to enforce, or

$$
1
$$

to pursue any remedies for the enforcement of any pledge or lien given by the authority on the proceeds of any taxes or revenues or both, or on any other moneys of the authority.

32-9.7-142. Misdemeanors. (1) Any person who wrongfully damages, injures, or destroys, or in any manner impairs the usefulness of any facility, property, structure, improvement, equipment, or other property of the district or of the authority acquired under the provisions of this article; or who wrongfully interferes with any officer, agent, or employee of the district or of the authority in the proper discharge of his duties is guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine of not more than three hundred dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than ninety days, or by both such fine and imprisonment.
(2) If the district or the authority is damaged by any such act, it may also bring a civil action for damages sustained by any such act, and in such proceeding the prevailing party shall also be entitled to reasonable attorney fees and costs of court.

32-9.7-143. Eminent domain. (1) Subsequent to approval of incurrence of debt and issuance of securities in an election held pursuant to section 32-9.7-138, the power of eminent domain vested in the authority shall include, but not be limited to, the power to condemn, in the name of the authority:
(a) Either the fee simple or any lesser estate or
interest in any real property which the commission by resolution determines is necessary for carrying out the purposes of this article; such resolution shall be prima facie evidence that the condemnation of the fee simple, or other lesser estate or interest in real property, is necessary for carrying out the purposes of this article; and
(b) Any property necessary to carry out any of the purposes of this article, even if such property is already devoted to the same use by any person or public body, except the federal government unless the federal government consents to such condemnation.
(2) The authority shall not abandon any condemnation proceedings after the date upon which the authority took possession of the property condemned.

32-9.7-144. Money management. The authority has the authority to structure and transact its banking affairs in a manner most financially advantageous to the authority, consistent with prevailing prudent business practice. The authority may conduct its banking affairs with any banking or other state or federally regulated financial institution, which is federally insured, whether such bank or other financial institution is within or without the authority.

32-9.7-145. Investment management. (1) In addition to the authority granted the authority under section 32-9.7-108 (1) (0) to (1) ( $q$ ), the authority may invest its moneys in any of the following:
(a) Obligations of the United States government or its
er

|  |
| :---: |
| deposit or investment of a bank, a savings |
| ion, or any other state or federally regulated |
| financial institution, which is federally insured; <br> (c) Banikers' acceptances drawn on and accepted by commercial banks; <br> (d) Collateralized prime commercial paper; <br> (e) Repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements the underlying collateral of which consists of the instruments set forth in paragraphs (a) to (d) of this subsection (1); <br> (f) Money market mutual funds the portfolios of which consist of the instruments set forth in paragraphs (a) to (d) of this subsection (1); <br> (g) Securities of the authority. <br> (2) In addition to the investments authorized by subsection (1) of this section, the authority, for purposes of hedging against interest rate risk only and not for speculation, may enter into contractual arrangements involving debt futures and options on debt futures only on obligations of the United States government. <br> (3) Investment decisions shall be made with the judgment and care, under circumstances then prevailing, which persons of prudence, discretion, and intelligence exercise in the management of their own affairs and shall not be made for speculation but shall be made for investment, considering the |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


(g) Securities of the authority.
(2) In addition to the investments authorized by subsection (1) of this section, the authority, for purposes of hedging against interest rate risk only and not for speculation, may enter into contractual arrangements involving debt futures and options on debt futures only on obligations the United States government.
care, under circumstances then prevailing, which persons of prudence, discretion, and intelligence exercise in the speculation but shall be made for investment, considering the
probable credit quality of their capital as well as the probable income to be derived.
(4) The authority shall establish a written investment policy with respect to investing the moneys of the authority. The investment policy shall address, but shall not be limited to, liquidity, diversification, credit quality of principal, yield, maturity, and quality and capability of investment management, with primary emphasis on credit quality and liquidity.

32-9.7-146. Custodians. For purposes of making deposits or investments, the commission may appoint, by written resolution, one or more persons to act as custodians of the moneys of the authority, who shall give surety bonds in such amounts and form and for such purposes as the commission requires.

32-9.7-147. Protection of district securities issued prior to July 1, 1989. Any district securities outstanding on July 1, 1989, shall be fully honored by the authority as securities of the authority.

32-9.7-148. Merger of public highway authorities into metropolitan transportation finance authority - authority of commission - protection of rights of holders of securities. If the authority created pursuant to this part 1 determines that it is organizationally capable of assuming responsibility for the construction of a public highway in the authority area under construction or being planned pursuant to part 5 of article 4 of title 43 , C.R.S., the commission may by
resolution merge any authority created under part 5 of article 4 of title 43, C.R.S., to construct any such public highway, into the authority. In the case of any merger under this section, the commission shall make adequate and equitable provisions for the protection of the rights of all holders of securities of any authority created under part 5 of article 4 of title 43, C.R.S.

## PART 2

## REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT

32-9.7-201. Creation of district. There is hereby created a district to be known and designated as the "regional transportation distric:".

32-9.7-202. District area. (1) The area comprising the district shall consist of that part of Adams county excluding census enumeration aistricts 1, 2, and 3 of the east Adams division, as such districts and division are used by the United States bureau of the census in designation of land areas for purposes of the 1970 census, that part of Arapahoe county excluding census enumeration districts 1,2 , and 3 of the east Arapahoe division, as such districts and division are used by the United Stares bureau of the census in designation of land areas for the purposes of the 1970 census, and the city and county of Denver and the counties of Jefferson and Boulder, and those Darts of Douglas county as provided by subsection (2) of this section.
(2) (a) That portion of Douglas county beginning at the
intersection of the eastern boundary of the right-of-way of interstate highway 25 and the northern boundary of Douglas county; thence east on said northern boundary to the eastern boundary of Douglas county; thence south along the eastern boundary of Douglas county to a point marking the boundary between township eight south and township seven south; thence west to a point on the boundary line of township eight south and township seven south where it intersects the southern boundary of the right-of-way of highway 86 in range sixty-six west on a line between section thirty-two of township seven south and section five of township eight south; thence south and west along the southern boundary of the right-of-way of highway 86 to the corporate boundary of the city of Castle Rock; thence north and west along the east and north corporate boundaries of the city of Castle Rock to a point where the eastern boundary of the. right-of-way of the federal aid secondary highway system to interstate highway 25 intersects the northern corporate boundary of the city of Castle Rock; thence north along the eastern boundary of the right-of-way of the federal aid secondary highway system to interstate highway 25 to a point where the eastern boundary of the right-of-way of county road 43 intersects the western boundary of the right-of-way of the federal aid secondary highway system to interstate highway 25; thence along the eastern boundary of the right-of-way of county road 43 to a point where the eastern boundary of county road 43 intersects the southern boundary of county road 8 ; thence along the southern boundary

| 1 | Section 3: | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  | Except that portion deeded to department of |
| 3 |  | highways of the state of Colorado by deed |
| 4 |  | recorded in book 159 at page 399 and recorded in |
| 5 |  | book 160 at page 117, and except tract conveyed |
| 6 |  | in book 169 at page 342, and tract conveyed in |
| 7 |  | book 176 at page 133, and except tracts deeded |
| 8 |  | to the northern Colorado irrigation company in |
| 9 |  | book 38 at page 129 and in book 93 at page 64. |
| 10 | Section 4: | SE1/4NE1/4 and N1/2SE1/4 and SE1/4SE1/4 and |
| 11 |  | SW1/4 |
| 12 |  | Except a strip of land 1,320 feet long and 22 |
| 13 |  | feet wide off the east side of the NE1/4SW1/4 |
| 14 |  | and a strip of 1 and 20 feet long and 22 feet |
| 15 |  | wide off the east side of the SE1/4SWl/4 and |
| 16 |  | adjoining the strip of land last above |
| 17 |  | described, on the south. |
| 18 | Section 5: | SEL/4 and NW1/4SWl/4 and SE1/4SWl/4 and |
| 19 |  | NE1/4SW1/4 and that part of the E1/2NE1/4 |
| 20 |  | described as follows: Beginning at the northeast |
| 21 |  | corner of section 5; thence west 1130 feet; |
| 22 |  | thence south 700 feet; thence south $50^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ west |
| 23 |  | 418 feet; thence south $20^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ west 300 feet; |
| 24 |  | thence south 1671 feet; thence east 1571 feet; |
| 25 |  | thence north 2902 feet to point of beginning. |
| 26 |  | Except that part described in deed recorded |
| 27 |  | book 101 at page 90, and except that par |

of county road 8 to a point where county road 8 intersects the eastern boundary of the right-of-way of interstate highway 25; thence north along the eastern boundary of the right-of-way of interstate highway 25 to the point of right-of-way of interstate highway 25 to the point of (b) The new town of Highlands Ranch, as hereinafter described, shall become part of the district effective January 1, 1982. The new town of Highlands Ranch shall include the following described land in Douglas county:

 service company of Colorado by deed recorded in book 172 at page 12. Section 17: $N 1 / 2$ and NE1/4SE1/4. Section 19: NW1/4 and W1/2NE1/4.

Township 6 South, Range 68 West, 6th P.M., Douglas County Section 1: All
Section 2: All

described in deed recorded in book 183 at page423, and except that part conveyed to thedepartment of highways of the state of Coloradoin deed recorded in book 159 at page 397, andexcept a strip 150 feet wide for canal through
SE1/4 of said section 5, as conveyed to northern
Colorado irrigation company by deed recorded inbook $N$ at page 266, and except for strip 100feet wide for canal through the SW1/4 and NE1/4of said section 5 as conveyed in deed recordedin book $N$ at page 132, and except that partlying within the right-of-way for highway 85.
Section 8: All AllExcept tract described as follows: starting ata point, point of beginning, which lies on thenorth and south center-line of said section 8 ,100 feet south of the north one-quarter cornerto said section 8 ; thence south on centerline adistance of 674 feet; thence east 395 feet;thence north 430 feet; thence north $58^{\circ} 15^{\prime}$ west460 feet, more or less, to point of beginning,and except tract described in declaration oftaking for Chatfield Dam project recorded inbook 203 at page 383, and except that part lyingwithin the right-of-way for highway 85.
Section 9: All25
Section 9:
Section 9: ..... 26
Section 10: Al1 ..... 27
Section 11: ..... All section 11 more particularly described as follows: Beginning at the southwest corner of said section 11 ; thence east along the south line of said section 11 a distance of 1589.50 feet; thence north a distance of 20 feet; thence north $06^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 243.3 feet; thence east a distance of 249.00 feet; thence south 18.1 feet to the true point of beginning; thence continuing south 223.9 feet to a point 20 feet north of the south line of said section 11 ; thence west 246.54 feet to the southeast corner of that parcel of land conveyed to highland venturers, a partnership, by deed recorded June 26, 1979, in book 363 at page 948; thence north $06^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ east along the east line of said parcel 235.54 feet; thence south $87^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$ east along the south line of said parce 1220.11 feet to the true point of beginning, and except that part of the SE1/4SW1/4 of said section 11 lying within the following described property: A tract of land in the SW1/4 of section 11 and in the NW1/4 of section 14, township 6 south, range 68 west of the 6th P.M., described as follows: Beginning at the southwest corner of said section 11; thence east along the south line of
said section 11 , a distance of 1615.68 feet to the true point of beginning of the tract of land herein described; thence south $06^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ west a distance of 30 feet; thence south $89^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ east a distance of 303.44 feet; thence north $15^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ east a distance of 105.0 feet; thence north $12^{\circ} 07^{\prime \prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ east a distance of 174.79 feet; thence north $87^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$ west a distance of 115.52 feet; thence south, a distance of 223.90 feet; thence west, a distance of 246.54 feet; thence south $06^{\prime} 30^{\prime}$ west a distance of 20.13 feet to the zrue point of beginning, Douglas county, all beari.gs used herein are assumed based on the south line of said section 11 bearing due east and west.

Section 12: Al1
Section 13: All
Section 14: Al?
Except that part of the NW1/4 of said section 14 lying within the following described property: A tract of land in the $5 W 1 / 4$ of section 11 and in the NW1/4 of section 14, township 6 south, range 68 west of the 6th P.M., described as follows: Beginning at the southwest corner of said section 11 ; thence east along the south line of said section 11 , a distance of 1615.68 feet to the true point of beginning of the tract
of land herein described; thence south $06^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ west, a distance of 30 feet; thence south $89^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 303.44 feet; thence north $15^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 105.0 feet; thence north $12^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ east, a distance of 174.79 feet; thence north $89^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$ west, a distance of 115.52 feet; thence south a distance of 223.90 feet; thence west a distance of 246.54 feet; thence south $06^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ west, a distance of 20.13 feet to the true point of beginning, Douglas county, all bearings used herein are assumed based on the south line of said section 11 bearing due east and west.
Section 15: All
Section 16: All
Section 17: N1/2 and SE1/4
Except that part of the NW1/4 lying westerly of the easterly right-of-way line of highway 85.
Section 22: N1/2N1/2
Section 24: N1/2
(c) The following areas within Douglas county may be included in the district as provided by paragraph (d) of this subsection (2):
(I) Area 1: That portion of Douglas county beginning at the intersection of the northern boundary of Douglas county and eastern boundary of the right-of-way of interstate highway 25; thence west along the northern boundary of

Douglas county to a point where the northern boundary of Douglas county intersects the western boundary of Douglas county; thence south along the western boundary of Douglas county to a point where the western boundary of Douglas county is intersected by the boundary of the Pike national forest; thence east and south along the eastern boundary of the Pike national forest until the east boundary is intersected by the southern boundary of the right-of-way of state highway 67 ; thence northeasterly along the southern boundary of state highway 67 to a point where the southern boundary of state highway 67 intersects the western boundary of state highway 105; thence south along the western boundary of state highway 105 to a point where the western boundary of state highway 105 is intersected by a line extended from the northern boundary of the right-of-way of county road 46; thence east along the northern boundary of the right-of-way of county road 46 to the corporate boundary of the city of Castle Rock; thence north and east along the west and north corporate boundaries of the city of Castle Rock to a point where the eastern boundary of the right-of-way of the federal aid secondary highway system to interstate highway 25 intersects the corporate boundary of the city of Castle Rock; thence north along the eastern boundary of the right-of-way of the federal aid secondary highway system to interstate highway 25 to a point where the eastern boundary of the right-of-way of county road 43 intersects the western boundary of the right-of-way of the federal aid secondary highway system to interstate highway 25;
thence along the eastern boundary of the right-of-way of county road 43 to a point where the eastern boundary of county road 43 intersects the southern boundary of county road 8; thence along the southern boundary of county road 8 to a point where county road 8 intersects the eastern boundary of the right-of-way of interstate highway 25 ; thence north along the eastern boundary of the right-of-way of interstate highway 25 to the point of beginning; except the new town of Highlands Ranch as described in paragraph (b) of this subsection (2).
(II) Area 2: The city of Castle Rock.
(III) Area 3: That portion of Douglas county beginning at the intersection of the eastern boundary of Douglas county and a point marking the boundary between township eight south and township seven south; thence west to a point on the boundary line of township eight south and township seven south where it intersects the southern boundary of the right-of-way of highway 86 in range sixty-six west on a line between section thirty-two of township seven south and section five of township eight south; thence south and west along the southern boundary of the right-of-way of highway 86 to the corporate boundary of the city of Castle Rock; thence south, west, and north along the east, south, and west corporate boundaries of the city of Castle Rock to a point where the northern boundary of the right-of-way of county highway 46 intersects the western boundary of the city of Castle Rock; thence west along the northern boundary of county highway 46 to a point where the western boundary of the right-of-way of state highway 105
is intersected by a line extended from the northern boundary of the right-of-way of county road 46; thence north along the western boundary of the right-of-way of state highway 105 to a point where the southern boundary of the right-of-way of state highway 67 intersects the western boundary of state highway 105; thence southwest along the southern boundary of the right-of-way of state highway 67 to a point where the southern boundary of the right-of-way of state highway 67 intersects the eastern boundary of the Pike national forest; thence south and east along the eastern boundary of the Pike national forest to a point where the eastern boundary of the Pike national forest intersects the southern boundary of Douglas county; thence esst along the southern boundary of Douglas county to a point where the southern boundary of Douglas county intersects the eastern boundary of Douglas county; thence north along the eastern boundary of Douglas county to the point of beginning.
(d) (I) Areas 1, 2, and 3 described in paragraph (c) of this subsection (2) may, at any time prior to July 1, 1985, be included in the district if:
(A) There is a petition signed by at least eight percent of the qualified electors in an area, or any combination of areas, requesting an election for the purpose of the area, or any combination of areas, being included in the district;
(B) The election for determination of the inclusion of an area, or any combination of areas, is held in accordance with the provisions of this article.
(II) The election for determination of inclusion of any area, or combination of areas, may be subject to a proportionate share of any bonded indebtedness incurred by the district from July 1,1975 , to the time the area, or any combination of areas, is included in the district. An area shall have a maximum of two elections for determination of inclusion in the district.
(III) Any area not included in the district under the provisions of this subsection (2) by July 1,1985 , shall not be included in the district.
(e) The area of Douglas county not described in this subsection (2) shall be excluded from the district.
(f) Nothing contained in this subsection (2) shall be construed to alter, exempt, or modify the preexisting obligations of the residents of the total county of Douglas for bonds or other indebtedness incurred prior to the enactment of this subsection (2).
(3) In addition to those areas specified in subsections (1) and (2) of this section, the area comprising the district shall include the following portion of Douglas county: Parcel no. 102X of the state department of highways, division of highways, state of Colorado, project no. IXFU 470-1 (2) phase I, containing approximately four hundred forty-three thousand, two hundred seventy-three square feet, in the NW $1 / 4$ of section five (5), township six (6) south, range sixty-eight (68) west, of the sixth principal meridian; and such portion also includes the contiguous portion of the Santa Fe Drive
corridor of the state department of highways, extending north from the northern border of designated parcel no. 102 x to the southern boundary of Arapahoe county.

32-9.7-203. Board of directors - membership - powers. (1) Effective January 1, 1990, the governing body of the district shall be a board of directors consisting of seven persons, each of whom is a qualified elector residing within his county or city and county.
(2) Members of the board shall be appointed as provided in section 32-9.7-204.
(3) The terms of members of the board serving on July 1 , 1989, shall expire on January 1, 1990, and a new board, constituted pursuant to this section, shall take office on January 1, 1990, after having been elected pursuant to section 32-9.7-204.
(4) All powers, duties, functions, rights, and privileges vested in the district shall be exercised and performed by the board; except that the exercise of any executive, administrative, or ministerial powers may be delegated by the board to officers and employees of the district.

32-9.7-204. Appointment of directors - dates - terms. (1) The board shall consist of seven members appointed by the governor and confirmed by the senate, six of whom shall represent the counties and the city and county which are wholly or partially within the district, and one of whom shall be an at-large member from within the district's boundaries.

The boards of county commissioners of Adams, Arapahoe, Boulder, Douglas, and Jefferson counties shall each submit to the governor the names of three nominees who are electors of the respective counties, and the governor shall appoint one of those nominees from each county to the board. The city council of the city and county of Denver, with the advice and consent of the mayor of Denver, shall submit to the governor the names of three nominees who are electors of the city and county of Denver, and the governor shall appoint one of those nominees to the board. The governor shall appoint to the board one at-large member who is an elector residing within the city and county or one of the counties which are wholly or partially within the district.
(2) Board members shall serve for terms of four years; except that the governor shall designate four members of the board who begin their terms on vanuary 1, 1990, and who shall serve for terms of two years. A member whose term has expired shall be replaced by a member who is an elector of the same county and who shall be nominated and appointed pursuant to the provisions of subsection (1) of this section. A member whose term has expired may be renominated and reappointed to the board. Board members shall receive a per diem of one hundred dollars for each day actually spent in the transaction of official business of the board in the state of Colorado. In addition to such per diem, each board member shall be reimbursed for his reasonable and necessary expenses incurred in the completion of his duties.

32-9.7-205. Vacancies on board. A change of residence of a member of the board to a place outside the county or city and county from which he was nominated and appointed to represent shall automatically create a vacancy on the board. Upon a vacancy occurring for any reason other than normal expiration of a term, the vacancy shall be filled for the remainder of the term according to the provisions of section 32-9.7-204.

32-9.7-206. Fidelity bonds. Each director, before entering upon his official duties, shall give a fidelity bond to the district in the sum of ten thousand dollars with good and sufficient surety, to be approved by the governor, conditioned for the faithful performance of the duties of his office. Premiums on all fidelity bonds provided for in this section shall be paid by the district and filed in the office of the secretary of state.

32-9.7-207. Board's administrative powers. (1) The board has the following administrative powers:
(a) To fix the time and place at which its regular meetings, to be held at least quarterly, shall be held within the district, and the board shall provide for the calling and holding of special meetings; (b) To adopt and amend bylaws and rules for procedure;
(c) To elect one director as chairman of the board and (c) To elect one director as chairman of the board and
another director as chairman pro tem of the board, and to appoint one or more persons as secretary and treasurer of the board; piration of
(d) To prescribe a system of business administration, to create necessary offices, and to establish the powers, duties, and compensation of all officers, agents, and employees and other persons contracting with the district, subject to the provisions of section 32-9.7-212;
(e) To prescribe a method of auditing and allowing or rejecting claims and demands;
(f) To provide a method for the letting of contracts on a fair and competitive basis for the construction of works, any facility, or any project, or any interest therein, or for the performance or furnishing of labor, materials, or supplies as required in this article;
(g) To designate an official newspaper published in the district in the English language; except that nothing in this article shall prevent the board from directing publication in any additional newspaper where it deems that the public necessity may so require;
(h) To make and pass resolutions and orders necessary to carry out the provisions of this article.

32-9.7-208. Additional powers of district - operating function - limitations. (1) In addition to any other powers granted to the district in this article, the district has the following powers:
(a) To have the duties, privileges, immunities, rights, liabilities, and disabilities of a public body politic and corporate. The district shall be a political subdivision of the state.
(b) To have perpetual existence and succession, subject to the provisions of section 32-9.7-140;
(c) To adopt, have, and use a seal and to alter same at pleasure;
(d) To sue and be sued;
(e) To enter into any contract or agreement not inconsistent with this article or the laws of this state;
(f) To employ such officers, agents, employees, and other persons necessary to carry out the purposes of this article and to acquire office space, equipment, services, supplies, and insurance necessary to carry out the purposes of this article;
(g) To maintain and operate a mass transportation facility, subject to the provisions of section 32-9.7-214, for the operation of the district's bus operations, and all necessary facilities relating thereto across or along any public street, highway, bridge, viaduct, or other public right-of-way, or in, upon, under, or over any vacant public lands without first obtaining a franchise from the public body having jurisdiction over the same; except that the district shall cooperate with any public body having such jurisdiction and the district shall promptly restore any such street, highway, bridge, viaduct, or other public right-of-way to its former state of usefulness as nearly as may be and shall not use the same in such a manner as to impair completely or unnecessarily the usefulness thereof;
(h) To maintain a working capital fund for the operation

## of any mass transportation facility; and

(i) To implement the provisions of section 32-9.7-214 concerning the operation of the district's bus operations.
(2) The district shall operate and maintain any mass transportation facility which was developed and established by the district prior to July 1, 1989, and any facility which is developed by the authority pursuant to the provisions of this article.
(3) All of the powers of the district prior to July 1 , 1989, related to the financing or acquisition of real property or capital improvements, including the condemnation power and the power to raise revenues, shall be vested in the authority created in part 1 of this article.

32-9.7-209. Farebox recovery ratios - reports. (1) The district shall take whatever measures it deems necessary to insure that, for the fiscal year 1989-90, twenty percent of the operating costs of the district are paid for by farebox collections. For the fiscal year 1990-91, the district shall increase the farebox recovery ratio to twenty-five percent, and, for the fiscal year 1991-92 and each fiscal year thereafter, the farebox recovery ratio shall be thirty percent. The district shall prepare annual budgets and the authority shall make allocations to the district based on the farebox collections required by this section. In the event that the farebox recovery ratios required by this subsection (1) are not achieved by the district, the district shall not use any of the revenues attributable to the sales tax imposed
by section 32-9.7-109 (1) (a) for the purpose of paying the operating costs of the district; however, such revenues may be used for capital costs.
(2) For purposes of this section, "farebox recovery ratio" means the percentage of operating costs which is recovered by farebox revenues, and "operating costs" means the sum of all costs that can be associated with the operation and maintenance of the system including depreciation on plant and equipment, interest paid for loans on capital equipment, and property taxes on capital items.
32-9.7-210. Records of board - audits. All resolutions and orders shall be recorded and authenticated by the signature of the piasiding officer of the board and the secretary. Every legislative act of the board of a general or permanent nature shall be by resolution. The book of resolutions and orders shall be a public record. A record shall also be made of all other proceedings of the board, minutes of the meetings, certificates, contracts, bonds given by officers, employees, and any other agents of the district, and all corporate acts, which record shall also be a public record. The treasurer shall keep an account of all moneys received by and disbursed on behalf of the district, which shall also be a public record. Any public record of the district shall be open for inspection by any elector of the district, or by any representative of the state, or of any 26 county, city and county, city, or town within the district. 27 All records are subject to audit as provided by law for
political subdivisions.
32-9.7-211. Meetings of board. (1) All meetings of the board shall be held within the district and shall be open to the public. No business of the board shall be transacted except at a regular or special meeting at which a quorum consisting of at least a majority of the total membership of the board is present.
(2) Effective January 1, 1991, any action of the board shall require the affirmative vote of at least five members present and voting.

32-9.7-212. Compensation of directors. Each director shall receive a sum of three thousand dollars per annum. No director shall receive any compensation as an officer, an engineer, an attorney, an employee, or any other agent of the district. Nothing contained in this article shall be construed as oreventing the board from authorizing the reimbursement of any director for expenses incurred which appertain to the activities of the district.

32-9.7-213. Conflicts in interest prohibited. No director, officer, employee, or agent of the district shall be interested in any contract or transaction with the district except in his official representative capacity.

32-9.7-214. Competition to provide bus service within the regional transportation district. (1) The general assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares that: Public transportation services are provided to assist the transit-dependent and the poor, to relieve congestion, and to

|  | 1 | minimize automotive pollution; public transportation service |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | should be provided at the lowest possible cost consistent with |
|  | 3 | desired service and safety; private transportation providers |
|  | 4 | have been effectively used under competitive contracts to |
|  | 5 | provide public transportation services at lower costs and with |
|  | 6 | lower annual cost increases; obtaining cost-competitive public |
|  | 7 | transportation services requires the establishment of a |
|  | 8 | mechanism for competitive contracting; facilities and vehicles |
|  | 9 | purchased for public transportation service are public assets |
|  | 10 | which are held in the public trust; contracting for services |
|  | 11 | has historically provided opportunities for minority, women, |
|  | 12 | and disadvantaged business enterprises; and it is the intent |
|  | 13 | of the general assembly that disadvantaged business |
| $\stackrel{\text { c }}{\text { c }}$ | 14 | enterprises, as defined in part 23 of Title 49 of the code of |
| 1 | 15 | federal regulations, as amended, shall have the maximum |
|  | 16 | opportunity to participate in the performance of contracts. |
|  | 17 | (2) (a) The district shall implement a system whereby at |
|  | 18 | least twenty percent of the bus service determined by the |
|  | 19 | district to be in the public interest shall be provided by |
|  | 20 | qualified private businesses pursuant to competitively bid |
|  | 21 | contracts. |
|  | 22 | (b) The district shall determine what routes, schedules, |
|  | 23 | and fares are in the public interest. |
|  | 24 | (C) The district shall promulgate reasonable standards |
|  | 25 | with respect to experience, safety records, and financial |
|  | 26 | responsibility by which private providers can be qualified to |
|  | 27 | provide bus services pursuant to this section. |


#### Abstract

minime automotive pollution; public transportation service desired service and safety; private transportation providers have been effectively used under competitive contracts to provide public transportation services at lower costs and with lower annual cost increases; obtaining cost-competitive public transportation services requires the establishment of a mechanism for competitive contracting; facilities and vehicles purchased for public transportation service are public assets which are held in the public trust; contracting for services has historically provided opportunities for minority, women, of the general assembly that disadvantaged business enterprises, as defined in part 23 of Title 49 of the code of federal regulations, as amended, shall have the maximum opportunity to participate in the performance of contracts. (2) (a) The district shall implement a system whereby at least twenty percent of the bus service determined by the district to be in the public interest shall be provided by qualified private businesses pursuant to competitively bid contracts. (b) The district shall determine what routes, schedules, and fares are in the public interest. (c) The district shall promulgate reasonable standards with respect to experience, safety records, and financial provide bus services pursuant to this section.


(d) The district shall prepare a standard form of agreement to provide bus services. Such contract shall include:
(I) The specification of reasonable passenger comfort and safety characteristics of the equipment used;
(II) The specification of standards for access to bus services for persons with disabilities, which shall be as specified in the district's plan for such services as approved by the federal urban mass transportation administration;
(III) The specification for reasonable training and safety records to be required of any driver;
(IV) A provision for reasonable insurance protecting the district from liability for the acts, negligence, or omission of the provider, its agents, and its employees;
(V) Reasonaole standards for reliability and on-time performance;
(VI) Reasonable cenalties for inadequate performance, including the distric:'s right to cancel the contract;
(VII) Provisions for the use of the district's logo, transfers, transit ways, bus stops, and such other elements as are owned by the district and appropriate for use by the provider to provide coordinated service with the district;
(VIII) A provision that the provider shall retain fifty to one hundred percent of the passenger fares and remit the balance of such fares to the district;
(IX) A provision that the provider, at its sole risk and in compliance with applicable laws and regulations, shall have
the right to sell additional services, including food and other services, to its passengers, and to sell advertising except as prohibited by existing contracts, freight, charter, and other services using the provider's vehicles;
(X) An initial term of one year, with options for the provider to extend the contract for four years, unless the district and the provider shall mutually agree to a lesser initial term or extension; and
(XI) No provision specifying wages, benefits, work rules, work conditions, or union organization of the employees of the provider beyond compliance with applicable regulation and law, including compliance with the federal "Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964", 49 U.S.C. sec. 1609, sec. $13(\mathrm{c})$.
(3) (a) Subject to the requirements of the federal "Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964", as amended, by March 31, 1989, the district shall request proposals from private providers to provide at least twenty percent of the bus service of the district as measured by vehicle hours. The district's decision as to which bus service routes shall be subject to requests for proposals shall be representative of the district's total bus service operations; except that each individual request for proposals may designate one type of bus service provided by the district. Service provided by private businesses pursuant to this section shall be accomplished through attrition of the district's full-time employees. No layoffs shall occur solely as a result of the implementation of this section.
(b) Each request for proposals shall specify the route, service frequency, and the entire structure of maximum fares determined by the district. Such request for proposals shall include the district's estimate of passenger revenue. Each request for proposals shall also specify any federal funds available for vehicle capital assistance whether through reimbursement of eligible depreciation expenses or through lease of vehicles owned by the district.
(c) Each individual request for proposals shall reflect the district's determination as to the appropriate size for each such request in order to maximize the number of qualified bidders without causing undue operating inefficiencies.
(d) Any qualified provider may respond to any request for proposals. The district shall ensure that disadvantaged business enterprises, as defined in part 23 of Title 49 of the code of federal regulations, as amended, have the greatest possible opportunity to respond. Any response shall be timely if received by the district within ninety days of its request for proposals. Each response shall specify the least subsidy required by the bidder to provide the services described in the request for proposals. If it determines the public interest requires such, the district retains the right to enter into noncompetitively awarded contracts on an interim basis for the time needed to implement the request for proposal process.
(e) With respect to each request for proposals, the district shall award the contract to the qualified provider

$\square$
whose responsive bid offers the lowest cost to the district; except that no one provider shall receive contracts covering more than fifty percent of the vehicle hours subject to such requests, and, with respect to awards made after January 1 , 1994, the district shall accept no bid from a bidder providing fifty percent or more of the vehicle hours contracted by the district. Each contract shall be effective not later than ninety days after its award. If the district determines that no responsive bids are received for a request for proposals or that the bids submitted would not be in the best interest of the district to accept, the district may solicit new bids for such request for proposals in accordance with the provisions of this section.
(4) The district shall submit to the general assembly a plan to provide assurance that the district's responsibilities with respect to bus service are accomplished at the lowest practicable cost.
(5) Any person qualified to provide bus services pursuant to subsection (2) of this section who does not require a district subsidy shall, as of January 1,1989 , be able to provide bus services within the district. Such person shall execute the district's standard form of agreement to provide bus services; except that such person shall be free to determine and retain passenger fares. Vehicles operated pursuant to this subsection (5) shall be identified to the public as charging fares not established by the district.
(6) Fares for bus services provided pursuant to this
section shall be exempt from sales or use taxes imposed pursuant to article 26 of title 39 , C.R.S. Providers shall not otherwise be exempt from property, sales, income, excise, and other taxes.
(7) The provision of bus passenger services in accordance with this section shall not be subject to regulation by the public utilities commission of the state of Colorado.
(8) (a) For purposes of providing legislative oversight of the operation of this section, the highway legislation review committee shall review the district's implementation of this section and recommend any necessary changes to the general assembly.
(b) The district shall contract with an independent certified public accounting firm, other than the district's regular auditor, for a neutral and unbiased performance audit to be complesed and reported to the general assembly by December 1, 1990. Such performance audit shall analyze in a fair and equitabie fashion the operation of the implementation of this section including, but not limited to, consideration of comparisons of the cost of the district's bus operations with operations provided by private providers, the level of contract compliance by private providers, the cost of such compliance and whether such costs will be recurring or are reducible, and taxes paid by private providers. Such audit shall also determine whether the district's costs for a particular route include the full cost of the service being
procured from the private operators; whether individual route costs include the attributable direct costs of driver labor and benefits based upon actual work assignments, and a reasonable allocation for the cost of replacement drivers and all other costs of providing transportation and maintenance for the route; whether the district's costs for a particular route are based on a higher part-time driver labor cost percentage on the route than part-time drivers represent as a percentage of all district bus driver labor costs; and whether the district's costs are based upon the latest board-approved annual budget.
(9) It is the intent of the general assembly to provide a reasonable perind for the board to experiment and gain knowledge and experience with limited privatization and, after considering the performance audit required under this section, to evaluate its effectiveness. Therefore, the term of any part of any collective bargaining or other agreement that relates to privatization or competitive contracting of any jobs shall not extend beyond June 30 , 1990, so that the general assembly may have an opportunity to review the performance audit and consider the need to provide further statutory direction to the board. The provisions of this subsection ( 9 ) shall be subject to the requirements of federal law.
SECTION 44. Part 5 of article 4 of title 43, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SECTION to read:

43-4-522. Merger of public highway authorities into metropolitan transportation finance authority - authority of metropolitan transportation finance authority commission protection of rights of holders of securities. If the metropolitan transportation finance authority created in section 32-9.7-103, C.R.S., is organized and financially capable of assuming responsibility for the construction of a public highway in the authority area, as defined in section 32-9.7-102 (2), C.R.S., under construction or being planned pursuant to this part 5 , the metropolitan transportation finance authority commission may by resolution merge any authority created under this part 5, to construct any such public highway, into the metropolitan transportation finance authority. In the case of any merger under this section and section 32-9.7-148, C.R.S., the metropolitan transportation finance commission shall make adequate and equitable provisions for the protection of the rights of all holders of securities of any authority created under this part 5. Any earmarked revenues which are specifically obligated to secure particular bonds or securities shall stay so obligated until the bonds or securities are paid.

SECTION 45. 13-85-101, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1987 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:

13-85-101. Leaislative declaration. The general assembly hereby determines, finds, and declares that traffic congestion and other transportation difficulties in the Denver metropolitan area seriously threaten the public health and
welfare. In an effort to reduce air pollution and stimulate the economic development of the Denver metropolitan area, the
 METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION FINANCE authority and has directed the regienal-tfanspoftation-distfict AUTHORITY to proceed with the planning, FINANCING, AND construction and-epefation of a £ixed--guideway--mass--tfansit--sysもem SURFACE TRANSPORTATION FACILITY. Since the success of the mass-tfansit-system SURFACE TRANSPORTATION FACILITY depends on its prompt construction and commencement of operation, the general assembly finds that it is necessary to avoid any possible delays in such construction and operation. To that end, the general assembly further finds that the trial of lawsuits arising out of the planning, development, financing, or construction of these projects should be given priority in the district and appellate courts of this state.

SECTION 46. 13-85-102, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1987 Repl. Vol., as amended, is REPEALED aNO REENACTED, WITH AMENDMENTS, to read:

13-85-102. Definitions. As used in this article, unless the context otherwise requires:
(1) "Authority" means the metropolitan transportation finance authority established by article 9.7 of title 32 , C.R.S.
(2) "Regional transportation district" means the regional transportation district established by part 2 of article 9.7 of title 32 , C.R.S.
(3) "Surface transportation facility" means any surface transportation facility planned, financed, or constructed by the authority.

SECTION 47. 13-85-103, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1987 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:

13-85-103. Civil actions entitled to priority. The trial of all civil actions pertaining to or arising out of the planning, development, financing, or construction of the- $\ddagger$ ixed guideway--mass--tfansit--system--өf--the--Fixed-guideway-fagid Efansiz-system any surface transportation facillity in the Denver metropolitan area, or any election pertaining to efthef өf said prejects PROJECT, or any action against or pertaining
 regional transportation district to plan, develop, finance, or construct eithef-9€ said prajects PROJECT shall be entitled to priority in the county and district courts of this state.

SECTION 48. 29-2-105 (1) (d), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1986 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:
29-2-105. Contents of sales tax ordinances and proposals. (1) (d) A provision that the tangible personal property and services taxable pursuant to this article shall be the same as the tangible personal property and services taxable pursuant to section 39-26-104, C.R.S., and subject to the same exemptions as those specified in section 39-26-114, C.R.S., except the exemption allowed by section 39-26-114 (11), C.R.S., for purchases of machinery or machine tools, the exemption of sales and purchases of those items in section
food, as defined in section 39-26-102 (4.5), C.R.S., purchases
of machinery and machine tools as described in section 39-26-114 (11), C.R.S., and sales or purchases of those items described in section 39-26-114 (1) (a) (XXI), C.R.S. The regional transportation district may, in its discretion, continue to levy a sales tax on purchases of machinery or machine tools, as provided in section 39-26-114 (11), C.R.S.; except that the district shall not levy a sales tax on purchases of machinery or machine tools on or after the date an additional sales tax is levied pursuant to section 32-9-119 (2) f- fat 32-9.7-109 (1) (b), C.R.S. SECTION 49. 30-11-101 (1) (f), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1986 Repl. Vol., is amended to read: 30-11-101. Powers of counties. (1) (f) To develop, maintain, and operate mass transportation systems either individually or jointly with any government or political subdivision pursuant to the provisions of part 2 of article 1 of title 29, C.R.S.; except that this provision shall not apply to any county or portion thereof encompassed by the regional transportation district as formed pursuant to the provisions of aftiete-9 ARTICLE 9.7 of title 32, C.R.S.;
SECTION 50. 32-1-1004 (6) (a), Colorado Revised Statutes, as amended, is amended to read
32-1-1004. Metropolitan districts - additional powers and duties. (6) (a) A metropolitan district may be formed



39-26-114 (1) (a) (XXI), C.R.S., and the exemption for sales of food specified in section 39-26-114 (1) (a) (XX), C.R.S. Sales of food, as defined in section 39-26-102 (4.5), C.R.S., exempted from the state sales tax pursuant to section 39-26-114 (1) (a) (XX), C.R.S., sales and purchases of those items exempted from the state sales tax pursuant to section 39-26-114 (1) (a) (XXI), C.R.S., or purchases of machinery or machine tools as provided in section 39-26-114 (11), C.R.S., may be exempted from said town, city, or county sales tax only

 or by amendment thereto. Any such amendment shall be adopted

 of food, as defined in section 39-26-102 (4.5), C.R.S., or purchases of machinery or machine tools as provided in section 39-26-114 (11), C.R.S., or exemption of sales and purchases of those items in section 39-26-114 (1) (a) (XXI), C.R.S., all


 be the same as the tangible personal property and services
 predecessor statute, and subject to the same exemptions as those specified in section 39-26-114, C.R.S., or any 10 bu!sodw! se panalsuoj aq l!eqs 'วұп7e7s aossajapadd



## A BILL FOR AN ACT

1 CONCERNING REGISTRATION OF MOTOR VEHICLES.

## Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not necessarily reffect any amendments which may be subsequently adopted.)

Eliminates the gross ton-mile tax. Imposes registration fees on vehicles weighing over a certain amount based on miles fees on vehicles weighing over a certain amount based on miles operated in Colorado and based only on declared gross vehicle weight for vehicles operated in interstate commerce. Eliminates fees for receipts proving payment of specific ownership tax on class A property. Exempts farm vehicles, towing vehicles, government vehicles, and certain other types of vehicles from the newly imposed registration fee. Decreases the number of trucks and truck tractors which a resident must own in order to qualify as a fleet owner. Increases and makes mandatory the penalty for operating a motor vehicle which is not registered or which does not have the proper number plates attached.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado: SECTION 1. 42-3-123 (1), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is RECREATED AND REENACTED, WITH AMENDMENTS, to read:

42-3-123. Registration fees - passenger and passenger-mile taxes. (1) For the purposes of this section,
"declared gross vehicle weight" means the maximum allowable combined weight of the vehicle and its cargo when operated on the public highways of this state. Such weight shall be declared by the vehicle owner at the time the vehicle is registered. Accurate records shall be kept of all miles operated by each vehicle over the public highways of this state by the owner of each vehicle.

SECTION 2. 42-3-123 (2), (13) (b), and (13.2), the introductory portion to 42-3-123 (15), and 42-3-123 (17) and (19) (a), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, are amended, and the said 42-3-123 (13) is further amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW PARAGRAPH, to read:

42-3-123. Registration fees _ passenger and passenger-mile taxes. (2) With respect to passenger-carrying motor vehicles, the weight used in computing annual registration fees shall be that weight published by the manufacturer in approved manuals, and, in case of a dispute over the weight of any such vehicle, the actual weight determined by weighing such vehicle on a certified scale, as provided in section $35-14-122$ (6), C.R.S., shall be conclusive. With respect to all other vehicles, the weight used in computing annual registration fees shall be the empty weight thereof, determined by weighing such vehicle on a certified scale, OR, IN THE CASE OF REGISTRATION FEES IMPOSED PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPHS (b) AND (b.3) OF SUBSECTION (13) OF THIS SECTION, THE DECLARED GROSS VEHICLE WEIGHT OF THE VEHICLE DECLARED BY THE OWNER OF THE VEHICLE AT THE TIME OF


shall obtain a permit from the public utilities commission as provided in sections $40-10-104$ and $40-11-103$, C.R.S., and





 mere---than---fifty--miłesy--whínever--is--greater; but the 247 vehicles of any public utility which are temporarily in this
 J!lQnd ay7 bu!ndas u! pasn sa!7!l!oey $k 7!1!7 n+0$ ${ }^{2}!$ ! 90 O machinery and self-propelled construction equipment HAVING AN Empty Weight not in excess of ten thousand pounds which he
 elett-te SHALL register such vehicle under the provisions of PARAGRAPH (a) OF subsection $f+1 \mathrm{f}$ (13) of this section. andi



 menthly-permit-te-be--issued--by--the--department--ər--by--the
 twe-dellafs-and-fifty-eents-fer-eáh--өRe--hundred--mifes, fғаєもiөn-thereөfa-өpefated;-өf

CARGO, COMPUTED TO THE NEAREST POUND, ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOWING SCHEDULE:
 by the provisions of PARAGRAPHS (b) AND (b.3) of subsection $\notin \pm 4)$ (13) of this section shall not apply:
(17) The owner or operator of any truck, truck tractor,
 vehicles are registered in another state and which owner or operator desires to make an occasional trip into this state,
(IIf)--Fe-pay-an-anRual-fee-te-the-depaftment-єemputed-at
 weight-fef-epefatien-not-te-exteed-a-distance--өf--twenty-five Rundfed-miles-in-any-fegistfatien-pefiodr
(II) THE OWNER OR OPERATOR OF ANY MOBILE MACHINERY AND SELF-PROPELLED CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT WITH AN EMPTY WEIGHT EXCEEDING TEN THOUSAND POUNDS WHICH HE DESIRES TO OPERATE OVER THE PUBLIC HIGHWAYS OF THIS STATE SHALL REGISTER SUCH VEHICLE UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF PARAGRAPH (b) OF SUBSECTION (13) OF THIS SECTION.
(III) IN LIEU OF REGISTRATION UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF SUBPARAGRAPH (I) OR SUBPARAGRAPH (II) OF THIS PARAGRAPH (A), THE OWNER OR OPERATOR OF ANY MOBILE MACHINERY AND SELF-PROPELLED CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT WHICH HE DESIRES TO OPERATE OVER THE PUBLIC HIGHWAYS OF THIS STATE MAY ELECT TO pay an annual fee to the department computed at the rate of TWO DOLLARS AND FIFTY CENTS PER TON OF VEHICLE WEIGHT FOR OPERATION NOT TO EXCEED A DISTANCE OF TWO THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED MILES IN ANY REGISTRATION PERIOD.

SECTION 3. 42-1-102 (28.3), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

42-1-102. Definitions. (28.3) "Fleet owner" means any resident who owns ten or more motor vehicles OR THREE OR MORE TRUCKS OR TRUCK TRACTORS, EACH WITH AN EMPTY VEHICLE WEIGHT EXCEEDING TEN THOUSAND POUNDS PER VEHICLE, and who receives from the department a registration period certificate in accordance with article 3 of this title.

SECTION 4. 42-4-1501 (3) (a) (I.1) (B) and (4) (c) (II), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, are amended to read:

42-4-1501. Traffic offenses and infractions classified penalties - penalty and surcharge schedule. (3) (a) (I.1)

| Section Violated | Penalty | Surcharge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (B) Registration and taxation violations: |  |  |
| 42-3-102 | 40.00 | 3.00 |
| 42-3-113 | 10.00 | 3.00 |
| 42-3-114 | 10.00 | 3.00 |
| 42-3-116 | 50.00 | 3.00 |
| 42-3-122(1) (a) | 150.00 | 56.00 |
| 42-3-122 (i)fat-of (1) (c) | 25.00 | 3.00 |
| 42-3-123 | 20.00 | 3.00 |

(4) (c) (II) In all cases where this paragraph (c) prohibits the issuance of a penalty assessment notice, the penalty and surcharge schedule contained in subparagraph (I.1) of paragraph (a) of subsection (3) of this section shall be inapplicable; EXCEPT THAT THE PENALTY AND SURCHARGE PROVIDED IN THE SCHEDULE CONTAINED IN SUB-SUBPARAGRAPH (B) OF SUBPARAGRAPH (I.1) OF PARAGRAPH (a) OF SUBSECTION (3) OF THIS SECTION FOR ANY VIOLATION OF SECTION 42-3-122 (1) (a) SHALL ALWAYS APPLY TO SUCH A VIOLATION. In all suek cases WHERE THE PENALTY AND SURCHARGE SCHEDULE CONTAINED IN SUBPARAGRAPH (I.1) OF PARAGRAPH (a) OF SUBSECTION (3) OF THIS SECTION IS

INAPPLICABLE，the provisions of subsection（2）of this section shali apply

SECTION 5．39－21－102（1），Colorado Revised Statutes， 1982 Repl．Vol．，as amended，is amended to read：

39－21－102．Scope．（1）Unless otherwise indicated，the provisions of this article apply to income，inheritance，gift， gfoss－－ter－mites passenger－mile，gasoline，special fuel， cigarette，tobacco products，sales，use，and severance taxes and the charge on oil and gas production imposed by articles 22 to 29 of this title and article 60 of title 34 and article 3 of title 42，C．R．S．

SECTION 6．39－21－103（1），Colorado Revised Statutes， 1982 Repl．Vol．，$a=$ amended，is amended to read：

39－21－103．Hearings．（1）As soon as practicable after an income，gift，gfoss－－ter－miłes passenger－mile，gasoline， special fuel，cigarette，tobacco products，sales，use，or severance tax return or the return showing the value of oil and gas is filed，the executive director of the department of revenue shall examine it and shall determine the correct amount of tax．If the tax found due is greater than the amount theretofore assessed or paid，a notice of deficiency shall be mailed to the taxpayer by certified mail．

SECTION 7．39－21－106（1），Colorado Revised Statutes， 1982 Repl．Vol．，as amended，is amended to read：

39－21－106．Compromise．（1）The executive director of the department of revenue or his delegate may compromise any civil or criminal case arising under the Colorado income，
gift，gress－ten－mites passenger－mile，gasoline，special fuel， cigarette，tobacco products，sales，use，or severance tax or the charge on oil and gas production imposed by article 22 ， article 25，part 1 or part 2 of article 26 ，article 27， article 28，article 28．5，or article 29 of this title or article 60 of title 34 or article 3 of title 42 ，C．R．S．，prior to reference to the department of law for prosecution or defense；and the attorney general or his delegate shall，upon the written direction of the executive director，compromise any such case after reference to the department of law for prosecution or defense．

SECTION 8．39－21－107（1），Colorado Revised Statutes， 1982 Repl．Vol．，as amended，is amended to read：

39－21－107．Limitations．（1）Except as provided in this section and unless such time is extended by waiver，the amount of any gress－Eө日－用护e，passenger－mile，gasoline，special fuel， cigarette，tobacco products，sales，use，or severance tax or of any charge on oil and gas production and the penalty and interest applicable thereto shall be assessed within three years after the return was filed，whether or not such return was filed on or after the date prescribed，and no assessment shall be made or credit taken and no notice of lien shall be filed，nor distraint warrant issued，nor suit for collection instituted，nor any other action to collect the same commenced after the expiration of such period；except that a written proposed adjustment of the tax liability by the department issued prior to the expiration of such period shall extend the
limitation of this subsection (1) for one year after a final determination or assessment is made. No lien shall continue after the three-year period provided for in this subsection (1), except for taxes assessed before the expiration of such period, notice of lien with respect to which has been filed prior to the expiration of such period, and except for taxes on which written notice of any proposed adjustment of the tax liability has been sent to the taxpayer during such three-year period, in which case the lien shall continue for one year only after the expiration of such period or after the issuance of a final determination or assessment based on the proposed adjustment issued prior to the expiration of the three-year period. This subsection (1) shall not apply to income tax.

SECTION 9. 39-21-108 (1) (a), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

39-21-108. Refunds. (1) (a) In the case of income tax imposed by article 22 of this title, the taxpayer must file any claim for refund or credit for any year not later than one year after the expiration of the time provided for filing a claim for refund of federal income tax, including any extensions of such period by agreement between the taxpayer and the federal taxing authorities; but nothing in this subsection (1) shall be construed to shorten the period for filing claims provided by section 39-22-601 (6) (f). In the case of the charge on 0 il and gas production imposed by article 60 of title 34, C.R.S., 1973; and the ten-mite--ef passenger-mile tax imposed by article 3 of title 42, C.R.S.

4973; or the severance tax imposed by article 29 of this title, the taxpayer must file any claim for refund or credit for any period not later than three years after the date of payment. Claims for refund of other taxes covered by this article shall be made within the time limits expressly provided for the specific taxes involved. No suit for refund may be commenced before the expiration of six months after the date of filing the claim for refund required under this section unless the executive director of the department of revenue renders a decision thereon within that time, nor after the expiration of two years after the date of mailing, by certified or registered mail, by the executive director to the taxpayer of a notice of disallowance of the part of the claim to which the suit relates. The said two-year period shall be extended for such period as may be agreed upon in writing between the taxpayer and the executive director. This subsection (1) shall not apply to sales and use taxes.

SECTION 10. 39-21-109 (1), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:
39-21-109. Interest on underpayment, nonpayment, or extensions of time for payment of tax. (1) If any amount of income, gress--tea-milet passenger-mile, gasoline, special fuel, cigarette, tobacco products, sales, use, or severance tax or any charge on oil and gas production is not paid on or before the last date prescribed for payment, interest on such amount at the rate imposed under section $39-21-110.5$ shall be paid for the period from such last date to the date paid. The
last date prescribed for payment shall be determined without regard to any extension of time for payment and shall be determined without regard to any notice and demand for payment issued, by reason of jeopardy, prior to the last date otherwise prescribed for such payment. In the case of a tax in which the last date for payment is not otherwise prescribed, the last date for payment shall be deemed to be the date the liability for the tax arises, and in no event shall it be later than the date notice and demand for the tax is made by the executive director of the department of revenue or his delegate.

SECTION 11. The introductory portion to 39-21-110 (1) and 39-21-110 (2) and (3), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., as amended, are amended to read:

39-21-110. Interest on overpayments. (1) Interest shall be allowed and paid upon any overpayment in respect to any income, gfess-もөA-mile, passenger-mile, gasoline, special fuel, sales, use, or severance tax or any charge on $0 i 1$ and gas production at the rate imposed under section 39-21-110.5. Such interest shall be allowed and paid as follows:
(2) Any portion of an income, gress---ter-mitle; passenger-mile, gasoline, special fuel, cigarette, tobacco products, sales, use, or severance tax or of a charge on oil and gas production or any interest, assessable penalty, additional amount, or addition to a tax or charge which has been erroneously refunded shall bear interest at the rate imposed under section 39-21-110.5 from the date of the payment
of the refund.
(3) If any overpayment of an income, gress--ter-mile, passenger-mile, gasoline, special fuel, sales, use, or severance tax or of a charge on oil and gas production is refunded within ninety days after the last date prescribed for filing the return of such tax or charge, determined without regard to any extension of time for filing the return, no interest shall be allowed under subsection (1) of this section on such overpayment.

SECTION 12. 39-21-113 (1) (a), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:

39-21-113. Reports and returns. (1) (a) It is the duty of every person, firm, or corporation liable to the state of Colorado for any gress--Een-mitle, passenger-mile, gasoline, special fuel, cigarette, tobacco products, sales, use, or severance tax or any charge on oil and gas production to keep and preserve for a period of three years such books, accounts, and records as may be necessary to determine the amount of liability.

SECTION 13. 39-27-202 (3) (b) (I), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:

39-27-202. Tax imposed - exemptions - ex-tax purchases. (3) (b) (I) The user operates a motor vehicle propelled by special fuel which is subject to the mileage taxes imposed by section 42-3-123, C.R.S., өf-a-qetre-vehiєle,-as-defined-in
 special fuel user tax account with the department of revenue
and files returns; or
SECTION 14. 39-27-205 (2) (a), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

39-27-205. Tax collection. (2) (a) Except as provided in paragraph (d) of this subsection (2), every person authorized by the executive director to purchase special fuel ex-tax under the provisions of section 39-27-202 (3) (b), except such persons who qualify for ex-tax purchases under section 39-27-202 (2) (a) or (2) (b), and every person who has obtained a ter-mile--of passenger-mile tax permit pursuant to section 42-3-126, C.R.S., 1973, where such permit relates to a motor vehicle which is powered by special fuel, shall, on or before the twenty-fifth day of each month, file with the executive director a report stating the amount of special fuel, subject to the tax imposed by this part 2 , consumed by such person during the prior calendar month, and such other information relating to the use of special fuel for the propulsion of a motor vehicle on the highways of this state as the executive director may require. The executive director, under rules and procedures established by him, may exempt from the reporting requirement of this subsection (2) any motor vehicle used exclusively within this state. Failure to receive the authorized report form does not relieve such person from the obligation of submitting a report to the executive director setting forth all information required on the prescribed report form. The report shall contain or be accompanied by a written declaration that it is made under the
penalties of perjury in the second degree, as defined in section 18-8-503, C.R.S. 1973.

SECTION 15. 40-2-109, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

40-2-109. Report to executive director of the department
of revenue. On March 1 of each year, the public utilities commission shall furnish the executive director of the department of revenue with a list of those public utilities subject to its jurisdiction, superviston, and regulation on January 1 of each year, excepting those motor vehicle carriers subject to the Eer-mile-of passenger-mile tax imposed by the provisions of section 42-3-123, C.R.S. (but only so long as the cost of regulation of such motor vehicle carriers shall be defrayed from the proceeds of such ter-mite-of passenger-mile taxes TAX).

SECTION 16. 42-3-124 (1), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

42-3-124. Enforcement powers of department. (1) The department is authorized to administer and enforce the provisions of section 42-3-123, including the right to inspect and audit the books, records, and documents of any owner or operator of a vehicle operated upon the public highways who is required to pay the---もer-mile---ef---passengef-mille ANY REGISTRATION FEE OR tax imposed, and the executive director of the department has authority to prescribe such reasonable rules and regulations as he dems necessary or suitable for such administration and enforcement.
discovers the false or fraudulent nature of such statement, make an investigation and determine the correct amount of tax due, add thereto a penalty of one hundred percent, and proceed to collect the total amount by distraint and sale as provided in section 39-21-114, C.R.S. If any such owner or operator disputes the amount asserted to be due and payable, he shall be entitled to a hearing before the executive director of the

 (5) All ten-mife-and passenger-mile taxes and penalties determined to be due from any owner or operator of a motor vehicle and not paid on the date when the same are due and payable shall become and remain a prior and perpetual lien upon all the personal property of such owner or operator until

 10 afeborqe of panafsuos aq lle les uo!zoas s! 47 ut 6u!470N 10 'sıoua!l 'suaseyand ap!t euoq to s746!̣ 2प7 4s!u!w!p pledgees for value and without notice.


 penalties. (1) Every owner or operator of a motor vehicle

 provisions of section 42-3-123 shall apply to the department and secure a ter-mite-өf passenger-mile tax permit and shall

SECTION 17. 42-3-125 (1) (a), (3) (a), and (5), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, are amended to read:

42-3-125. Taxpayer statements - payment of tax = estimates - penalties - deposits - delinquency proceedings. (1) (a) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this subsection (1), every owner or operator of a motor vehicle operated over any public highway of this state and required to pay the fer-mite--өf passenger-mile tax imposed by the provisions of section 42-3-123 shall, on or before the twenty-fifth day of each month, file with the department, on forms prescribed by said department and the public utilities commission, a statement, subject to the penalties for perjury in the second degree, showing the name and address of the owner of the motor vehicles so operated, total miles traveled, and tetat-ters-ef-tafge-and total number of passengers carried in this state during the preceding month and such other information as required by the department and the commission and shall compute and pay such tax; except that the executive director of the department may, in his discretion, authorize the filing of statements and the payment of tax computed thereon for periods in excess of one month but not to exceed a period of twelve months. (3) (a) If any owner or operator of a vehicle knowingly

 passenger-mile tax due, the department shall, as soon as it -17-
keep and maintain true and correct records of the operations of such motor vehicles, including the number of miles operated the-rumber-өf-peunds-өf--єafge--єaffieds and the number of passengers carried, in such form and manner as to reflect the actual activity of all such motor vehicles and as may be prescribed by the department and the public utilities commission, and shall preserve all such records for a period of four years. The ter-mite--af passenger-mile tax permit shall remain effective until the owner thereof advises the department of a change in ownership or a discontinuance of business or until he has failed to file tax reports and pay the ten-mile--өf passenger-mile tax, if any is due, for four successive tax periods.
(4) (a) If an examination of the financial responsibility of an owner or operator of a motor vehicle subject to the payment of the ten-mite-өf passenger-mile tax indicates that a financial guarantee in the form of cash, a certified check, a bank money order, a bond, or a negotiable certificate of deposit issued by a commercial bank doing business in this state and acceptable to the executive director is necessary to guarantee payment of the tax, the owner or operator may be required to deposit such guarantee with the department in an amount no greater than twice the amount of tax estimated by the executive director to become due and payable each tax period. If the deposit is in cash or a negotiable certificate of deposit, it shall be subject to forfeiture upon failure of the owner or operator to comply
with the provisions of sections 42-3-123 to 42-3-126 and articles 10 and 11 of title 40 , C.R.S., or the rules and regulations of the department or the public utilities commission; if it is a surety bond, it shall be conditioned upon the insured's faithful compliance with said provisions or said rules and regulations.

SECTION 19. 42-4-234 (1) (a), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended is amended to read:

42-4-234. Minimum standards for commercial vehicles. (1) (a) "Commercial vehicle" means any self-propelled or towed vehicle bearing a-gfoss-ter-mile-plate, a-metfo-plate, of an apportioned plate or having a manufacturer's recommended gross vehicle weight of ten thousand pounds or more, which vehicle is used in commerce on the public highways of this state to transport cargo or is used to transport more than ten passengers, including the driver.

SECTION 20. 42-7-510 (1), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:

42-7-510. Insurance or bond required. (1) Every owner of a truck beafing-a-gfoss-ten-mife-tftek--plates-a-tfúk--tfactef--plates-өf-a-metfo-tftck-plate WHICH IS SUBJECT TO THE REGISTRATION FEE IMPOSEO PURSUANT TO SECTION 42-3-123 (13) (b), (13) (b.3), OR (13.2) AND which is not subject to regulation by the public utilities commission under article 10, 11 , or 13 of title 40, C.R.S., and every owner of a motor vehicle used for transporting sand, gravel, rock, dirt, stone, insulrock, road surfacing materials used in the construction
of roads and highways except such road surfacing materials as are transported in tank vehicles, houses or other buildings exclyding manufactured housing as defined in section 40-10-104 (3), C.R.S., timber, rough lumber, logs, or wooden poles before operating or permitting the operation of such vehicle upon any public highway in this state shall have in each such vehicle a motor vehicle liability insurance policy or a certificate evidencing such policy issued by an insurance carrier or insurer authorized to do business in the state of Colorado, or a copy of a valid certificate of self-insurance issued pursuant to section $10-4-716$, C.R.S., or a surety bond issued by a company authorized to do a surety business in the state of Colorado in the sum of fifty thousand dollars for damages to property of others; the sum of one hundred thousand dollars for damages for or on account of bodily injury or death of one person as a result of any one accident; and, subject to such limit as to one person, the sum of three hundred thousand dollars for or on account of bodily injury to or death of all persons as a result of any one accident.

SECTION 21. 42-8-105 (1), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:

42-8-105. Clearance of motor vehicles at port of entry weigh stations. (1) Every owner or operator of a motor vehicle which is subject to payment of ten-miłe- REGISTRATION FEES or passenger-mile taxes under the provisions of section 42-3-123 shall secure a valid clearance certificate from an office of the department of revenue, from an officer of the

Colorado state patrol, or from a port of entry weigh station before operating such vehicle or causing such vehicle to be operated on the public highways of this state, but an owner or operator shall be deemed to have complied with the provisions of this subsection (1) if he secures a clearance certificate from the first port of entry weigh station located within five road miles of the route which he would normally follow from his point of departure to the point of his destination. An owner or operator shall not be required to seek out a port of entry weigh station not located on the route he is following if he secures a special revocable permit from the department of revenue in accordance with the provisions of subsection (4) of this section. A vehicle of a seating capacity of fourteen or more passengers registered under the provisions of section 42-3-123 (4) (c) (I) or (18) (a) shall not be required to secure a clearance certificate pursuant to this section.

SECTION 22. 43-4-203 (1) (c), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

43-4-203. Sources of revenue. (1) (c) from the imposition of ter-mile-and passenger-mile taxes on vehicles or any fee or payment substituted therefor;

SECTION 23. 43-4-316 (1) (c), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

43-4-316. Additional powers. (1) (c) To establish escrow accounts in any bank within the state of Colorado which is a member of the federal deposit insurance corporation under protective agreements in amounts sufficient to insure the
payment of any bonds refunded under the provisions of sections 43-4-315 to 43-4-318. Any of the accounts so established may be invested in direct obligations of the United States with appropriate maturities and yields to insure such payment. Upon the establishment of such escrow accounts, all of said refunding bonds shall constitute a first closed lien on all net revenue derived by the state highway commission from the imposition of any excise tax on motor fuel, annual registration fees on drivers, motor vehicles, trailers and semitrailers, and ten-mife-and passenger-mile taxes upon vehicles or any fee or payment substituted therefor.

SECTION 24. Repeal. 42-3-106 (6), 42-3-123 (12), (13) (b.5), (13) (c), and (14), 42-3-125 (1) (d), 42-8-105 (7), and 43-4-203 (1) (d), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, are repealed.

SECTION 25. Effective date - applicability. Sections 5 through $14,17,18,21,22$, and 23 of this act shall take effect July 1, 1993, and the remainder of this act shall take effect July 1, 1989.

SECTION 26. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.

Highway Legislation Review Committee

A BILL FOR AN ACT
CONCERNING THE CONSOLIDATION OF THE RELOCATION AUTHORITY OF the state department of highways with the state DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL AFFAIRS RELATING TO FEDERALLY ASSISTED PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS AND STATE HIGHWAY PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS.

## Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not necessarily reflect any amendments which may be subsequently adopted.

Combines the relocation authority of the state department of highways for the state highway system with the relocation authority of the department of local affairs for all federally assisted programs and projects to assure uniform application of federal and state relocation policy. Grants the state of federal and state relocation policy. Grants the state
department of highways certain authority concerning relocation department of highways certain authority concerning relocation
assistance on federally assisted local government highway projects. Increases relocation payment amounts as required by amendments to the federal "Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970" made by the federal "Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987", as amended. Broadens certain rule-making authority of the department of local affairs. Makes conforming amendments.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:
SECTION 1. 24-56-101, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1988

Repl. Vol., is REPEALED AND REENACTED, WITH AMENDMENTS, to read:

24-56-101. Legislative declaration - relocation assistance. The general assembly finds and declares that the purpose of sections 24-56-102 to 24-56-113 is to establish a uniform policy for the fair and equitable treatment of persons displaced by the acquisition of real property by state agencies and political subdivisions of the state for federally assisted programs and projects and to comply with the federal "Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970", as amended, and the federal "Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987", as amended. The general assembly also recognizes that the federally assisted acquisition of real property by the state department of highways and by municipalities and counties for highway programs and projects is requiring citizens to relocate their residences, farms, and businesses. The general assembly finds and declares that the authority of the state department of highways concerning the equitable relocation and implementation of relocation payments and advisory assistance for highway projects on the state highway system contained in part 3 of article 1 of title 43 , C.R.S., prior to the effective date of this section, as amended, are included in this article to assure the consistent and uniform application of relocation policy for all federally assisted programs, to promote the efficient operation of the highway right-of-way acquisition program, and to define the authority and
responsibility of the state department of highways and of municipalities and counties for all acquisitions and
relocation for federally assisted highway programs and projects within their respective jurisdictions. Such policy shall be uniform as to relocation payments, advisory assistance, assurance of availability of standard housing, and state reimbursement for local relocation payments where state assistance may be authorized by law.
SECTION 2. 24-56-102 (1) (a), (1) (d), and (6), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1988 Repl. Vol., are amended, and the said 24-56-102 is further amended BY THE ADDITION OF THE FOLLOWING NEW SUBSECTIONS, to read:
24-56-102. Definitions. (1) (a) For the purchase, sale, lease, of AND rental of personal and real property of AND for the manufacture, processing, or marketing of products, commodities, or any other personal property;
(d) Solely for the purposes of section 24-56-103 (1), for assisting in the purchase, sale, resale, manufacture, processing, or marketing of products, commodities, personal property, or services by the erection and maintenance of an outdoor advertising display OR DISPLAYS, whether or not such display is OR DISPLAYS ARE located on the premises on which any of the above activities DESCRIBED IN THIS PARAGRAPH (d) are conducted.
(1.2) "Comparable replacement dwelling" means any dwelling that is:
(a) Decent, safe, and sanitary;
(b) Adequate in size to accommodate the occupants;
(c) Within the financial means of the displaced person;
(d) Functionally equivalent;
(e) In an area not subject to unreasonably adverse environmental conditions; and
(f) In a location generally not less desirable than the location of the displaced person's dwelling with respect to public utilities, facilities, and services and the displaced person's place of employment.
(2.3) "Displacing agency" means the state or a state agency carrying out a program or project or any person carrying out a program or project with federal financial assistance which causes a person to be a displaced person.
(6) "State agency" means any department, agency, or instrumentality of the state or of political subdivision of the state or any department, agency, or instrumentality of two or more STATES, OR TWO OR MORE political subdivisions of the state OR STATES AND ALSO MEANS ANY PERSON WHO HAS AUTHORITY TO ACQUIRE PROPERTY BY EMINENT DOMAIN UNDER STATE LAW.

SECTION 3. 24-56-102 (2), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1988 Repl. Vol., is REPEALED AND REENACTED, WITH AMENDMENTS, to read:
(2) (a) "Displaced person" means, except as provided in paragraph (b) of this subsection (2):
(I) Any person who moves from real property or moves his personal property from real property:
(A) As a direct result of a written notice of intent to
acquire or the acquisition of such real property in whole or in part for a program or project undertaken by a displacing agency; or
(B) On which such person is a residential tenant or conducts a small business, a farm operation, as defined in subsection (3) of this section, or a business, as defined in subsection (1) of this section, as a direct result of rehabilitation, demolition, or such other displacing activity as the department of local affairs may prescribe under a program or project undertaken by a displacing agency in any case in which the displacing agency determines that such displacement is permanent; and
(II) Solely for the purposes of section 24-56-103 (1) and (2) and 24-56-106, any person who moves from real property or moves his personal property from real property:
(A) As a direct result of a written notice of intent to acquire or the acquisition of other real property, in whole or in part, on which such person conducts a business or farm operation, for a program or project undertaken by a displacing agency; or
(B) As a direct result of rehabilitation, demolition, or such other displacing activity as the department of local affairs may prescribe, of other real property on which such person conducts a business or a farm operation, under a program or project undertaken by a displacing agency where the displacing agency determines that such displacement is permanent.
(b) "Displaced person" does not include:
(I) A person who has been determined, according to criteria established by the department of local affairs, to be either unlawfully occupying the displacement dwelling or to have occupied such dwelling for the purpose of obtaining assistance under this article.
(II) In any case in which the displacing agency acquires property for a program or project, any person (other than a person who was an occupant of such property at the time it was acquired) who occupies such property on a rental basis for a short term or a period subject to termination when the property is needed for the program or project.

SECTION 4. The introductory portion to 24-56-103 (1) and 24-56-103 (3), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1988 Repl. Vol., are REPEALED AND REENACTED, WITH AMENDMENTS, to read:

24-56-103. Moving and related expenses. (1) Whenever a program or project to be undertaken by a displacing agency will result in the displacement of any person, the displacing agency shall provide for the payment of:
(3) Any displaced person eligible for payments under subsection (1) of this section who is displaced from the person's place of business or farm operation and who is eligible under regulations established by the department of local affairs may elect to accept the payment authorized by this subsection (3) in lieu of the payment authorized by subsection (1) of this section. Such payment shall consist of a fixed payment in an amount to be determined according to
subsection (1) of this section may receive a moving expense
allowance determined according to a schedule established by the aєquifing DISPLACING agency. Ret-te-exfeed--three--kundred
delfars-and-a-distecatien-allowanee-өf-twe-hundred-dellafs.

 Statutes, 1988 Repl. Vol., are amended to read:


 shall make an additional payment not in excess of $\ddagger \mathfrak{f} \ddagger$ teen theusand TWENTY-TWO THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED dollars to any displaced person who is displaced from a dwelling actually UR47 ssal fou dof uosaəd parelds!p yכns Kq pa!dnככo pue paumo
 negotiations for the acquisition of the property. Such additional payment shall include the following elements: аи7 07 pappe иәим 4 !!чм 'Kue $+!$ 'qunowe aч1 (e) acquisition cost of the dwelling acquired equals the reasonable cost of a comparable replacement dwelling. whith-its
 Эtt
 mafket. All determinations required to carry out this paragraph (a) shall be determined by regulations issued pursuant to section 24-56-108. (b) The amount, if any,

4ans azesuaduoz $\overline{=}$
 $\infty$
regulations established by the department of local affairs;
 dollars nor more than twenty thousand dollars. A person whose sole business at the displacement dwelling is the rental of such property to others shall not qualify for a payment under this subsection (3).

SECTION 5. 24-56-103 (1) (b), (1) (c), and (2), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1988 Repl. Vol., are amended, and the said 24-56-103 (1) is further amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW PARAGRAPH, to read: 24-56-103. Moving and related expenses. (1) (b) Actual direct losses of tangible personal property as a result of moving or discontinuing a business or farm operation, but not to exceed an amount equal to the reasonable expenses that would have been required to relocate such property, as determined by the aєquifing DISPLACING agency; aAd
(c) Actual reasonable expenses in searching for a replacement business or farm; AND
(d) Actual reasonable expenses necessary to reestablish a displaced farm, nonprofit organization, or small business at its new site in accordance with criteria to be established by
 dollars.
(2) Any displaced person eligible for payments under subsection (1) of this section who is displaced from a dwelling and who elects to accept the payments authorized by
this subsection (2) in lieu of the payments authorized by
displaced person for any increased interest costs AND OTHER OEBT SERVICE COSTS which such person is required to pay for financing the acquisition of any such comparable replacement dwelling. Such amount shall be paid only if the dwelling acquired BY THE DISPLACING AGENCY was encumbered by a bona fide mortgage or deed of trust which was a valid lien on such dwelling for not less than one hundred eighty days IMMEDIATELY prior to the initiation of negotiations for the acquisition of such dwelling. Such-ameunt-shall-be-equal-te--the--extess--in

 the--feplacement-dwelling-whíh-is-equal-te-the-unpaid-balance


 Fhe--disternt--fate--shall-be-determined-by-regulatiens-issued pufsuaht-te-sectiөf-24-56-198.
(2) The additional payment authorized by this section shall be made only to such a displaced person who purchases and occupies a replacement dwelling which is decent, safe, and sanitary not later than the end of the one-year period beginning on the date on which he receives final payment of all costs of the acquired dwelling or on the date on which he moves from the acquired dwelling, whichever is the later date; except that the oisplacing agency may extend such perioo for gOOD CAuSE. If SUCH period is extended, the payment under this section shall be based on the costs of relocating the

PERSON TO A COMPARABLE REPLACEMENT DWELLING WITHIN ONE YEAR OF SUCH DATE.

SECTION 7. 24-56-105, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1988 Repl. Vol., is repealed and reenacted, with amendments, to read:

24-56-105. Replacement housing for tenants and certain others. (1) In addition to amounts otherwise authorized by this article, a displacing agency shall make a payment to or for any displaced person displaced from any dwelling who is not eligible to receive a payment under section 24-56-104, which dwelling was actually and lawfully occupied by such displaced person for not less than ninety days prior to the initiation of negotiations for acquisition of such dwelling, or, in any case in which displacement is not a direct result of acquisition, such other event as the department of local affairs may, within the purpose of this article, prescribe. Payment authorized by this section shall be made only to such a displaced person who leases and occupies a decent, safe, and sanitary replacement dwelling within one year after the date that such displaced person vacates the acquired dwelling. The payment shall consist of the amount necessary to enable the person to lease or rent, for a period of no longer than forty-two months, a comparable replacement dwelling, but no more than five thousand two hundred fifty dollars. At the discretion of the displacing agency, a payment under this subsection (1) may be made in periodic installments. Computation of a payment under this subsection (1) to or for a
low-income displaced person for a comparable replacement dwelling shall take into account the person's income.
(2) Any person eligible for a payment under subsection (1) of this section may elect to apply such payment to a down payment on, and other incidental expenses for, the purchase of a decent, safe, and sanitary replacement dwelling. Any such person may, at the discretion of the displacing agency, be eligible under this subsection (2) for the maximum amount allowed under subsection (1) of this section; except that, in the case of a displaced homeowner who has owned and occupied the displacement dwelling for at least ninety days but not more than one hundred eighty days immediately prior to the initiation of negotiations for the acquisition of such dwelling, such payment shall not be greater than the payment such person would otherwise have received under section 24-56-104 (1) had the person owned and occupied the displacement dwelling one hundred eighty days immediately prior to the initiation of such negotiations.

SECTION 8. 24-56-106, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1988 Repl. Vol., is REPEALED AND REENACTED, WITH AMENDMENTS, to read:

24-56-106. Relocation assistance advisory programs. (1) Whenever a displacing agency acquires real property for a program or project for which federal financial assistance will be available to pay all or any part of the cost of the program or project and such acquisition will result in the displacement of any person, the acquiring agency shall provide
a relocation assistance advisory program for displaced persons which offers the services prescribed in this section. If the acquiring agency determines that any person occupying property immediately adjacent to the real property acquired is caused substantial economic injury because of the acquisition, it may offer such person relocation advisory services under such program.
(2) Each relocation assistance program required by subsection (1) of this section shall include such measures, facilities, or services as may be necessary or appropriate in order to determine, and make timely recommendations on, the needs and preferences, if any, of displaced persons, business concerns, and nonprofit organizations for relocation assistance; to assist owners of displaced businesses and farm operations in obtaining and becoming established in suitable business locations or replacement farms; to supply information concerning programs of the federal, state, and local governments offering assistance to displaced persons and business concerns and technical assistance to such persons in applying for assistance under such programs; to provide current and continuing information on the availability, sales prices, and rental charges of comparable replacement dwellings for displaced homeowners and tenants and suitable locations for businesses and farm operations; to assist in minimizing hardships to displaced persons in adjusting to relocation; and to secure, to the greatest extent practicable, the coordination of relocation activities with other project
activities and other planned or proposed governmental actions in the community or nearby areas which may affect the carrying out of the relocation program．
（3）Notwithstanding the provisions of section 24－56－102 （2）（b）（II），in any case in which a displacing agency acquires property for a program or project，any person who occupies such property on a rental basis for a short term or a period subject to termination when the property is needed for the program or project shall be eligible for advisory services to the extent determined by the displacing agency．

SECTION 9．24－56－107，Colorado Revised Statutes， 1988 Repl．Vol．，is amended to read：

24－56－107．Assurance of availability of standard housing．（1）Whenever a state DISPLACING agency ef－－a pelitical－subdi＊isien－өf－the－state acquires real property for a program or project for which federal financial assistance will be available to pay all or any part of the cost of the program or project and such acquisition will result in the displacement of any person，өA－өf－－aftef－－May－－6т－－197t；upon recommendation or approval of the division of housing，the acquiring agency shall assure that within－a－feaserable－－pefiod
 afeas－－nөt－－genefałły－－7ess－－desifable－－ネп－－fegafd－－te－－pu日łiє




displaced－pefsens－whe－fequife－suєh－－dwełłings－－and－－feaserably aceessible－te－theif－places－өf－employment A PERSON SHALL NOT BE REQUIRED TO MOVE FROM A DWELLING UNLESS THE PERSON HAS HAD A REASONABLE OPPORTUNITY TO RELOCATE TO A COMPARABLE REPLACEMENT OWELLING；except that regulations issued pursuant to section 24－56－108 may prescribe situations when these assurances may be waived．
（2）If a program or project for which federal financial assistance is available cannot proceed to actual construction because comparable replacement sale or rental housing is not available and the state agency determines that such housing cannot otherwise be made available，upon recommendation or approval of the divisien－－өf－he日sing DISPLACING AGENCY，the state agency may take such action as is necessary or appropriate to provide such housing by use of funds authorized for such project．THE DISPLACING AGENCY MAY USE THIS SECTION TO EXCEED THE MAXIMUM AMOUNTS WHICH CAN BE PAID BY A DISPLACING AGENCY UNDER SECTIONS 24－56－104 AND 24－56－105 ON A CASE－BY－CASE BASIS FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN AS DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH REGULATIONS ISSUED PURSUANT TO SECTION 24－56－108．

SECTION 10．The introductory portion to 24－56－108（1）， Colorado Revised Statutes， 1988 Repl．Vol．，is amended，and the said 24－56－108 is further amended BY THE ADDITION OF THE FOLLOWING NEW SUBSECTIONS，to read：

24－56－108．Authority of the department of local affairs． （1）THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL AFFAIRS SHALL COORDINATE AND

IMPLEMENT THE UNIFORM POLICY FOR ALL RELOCATION ASSISTANCE FOR FEDERALLY ASSISTED PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS, EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN- THIS SECTION. The executive director of the department of local affairs shall adopt such rules and regulations as may be necessary to assure:
(3) The executive director of the department of local affairs may prescribe such other regulations and procedures as he deems necessary or appropriate to implement any amendments to or requirements of federal statutes concerning federally assisted relocation programs and projects.
(4) (a) Notwithstanding any provision of this article to the contrary, the state department of highways has the primary authority to provide acquisition and relocation assistance for all highway and highway-related programs of projects on the state highway system. The state department of highways also has authority to coordinate and provide acquisition and relocation assistance for all highway and highway-related programs or projects which are not on the state highway system, to the extend provided in paragraph (b) of this subsection (4).
(b) Each state agency has the primary authority to perform acquisition and relocation assistance within its jurisdiction for federally assisted highway and highway-related programs and projects for streets and roads which are not on the state highway system. In the event that the state department of highways, as the state agency responsible for monitoring and administering the use of
federal highways funds, determines that such performance by another state agency will jeopardize distribution of federal highway assistance funds to the state or that such action is necessary to comply with federal highway administration policy or procedures, then the state department of highways has the authority to perform the acquisition and relocation assistance for any federally assisted highway or highway-related program or project for streets and roads which are not on the state highway system or to require that the state agency with jurisdiction for that highway program or project perform such acquisition and relocation assistance under the supervision and direction of the state department of highways. Prior to exercising the authority in this paragraph (b), the state department of highways will comply with procedures previously agreed to with the affected state agency, including, but not limited to, setting a contact person for the project, providing written notice of the basis of such determination or action, and meeting with the affected agency to discuss possible remedial measures.
(5) The state department of highways may use the provisions of this article for programs or projects on the state highway system funded from the state highway fund.

SECTION 11. The introductory portion to 24-56-117 (1) and 24-56-117 (1) (b), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1988 Repl. Vol., are amended, and the said 24-56-117 (1) is further amended BY THE ADDITION OF THE FOLLOWING NEW PARAGRAPHS, to read:

[^10]
## read:

24-56-117. Real property acquisition policies. (2) For the purposes of this section, "acquiring agency" means a state agency which has the authority to acquire property by eminent domain under state law and a state agency or person which does not have such authority to the extent provided by the department of local affairs by regulation.

SECTION 13. Repeal. Part 3 of article 1 of title 43, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, is repealed.

SECTION 14. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.

## Highway Legislation Review Committee

A BILL FOR AN ACT
(Note: $\frac{\text { This }}{\text { does }}$ summary $\frac{a p p l i e s}{\text { necessarily }} \frac{\text { this }}{\text { reflect }} \frac{\text { bill }}{\text { any }}$ amendments wh $\frac{\text { introduced }}{\text { ich may be }}$ soes

Authorizes and designates the state department of highways and the executive director to take all steps necessary for the state application and administration of any funds made available under the federal "Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964".
Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:
SECTION 1. Article 1 of title 43, as amended, is amended
BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW PART to read:
PART 10
ADMINISTRATION OF FUNDS UNDER THE FEDERAL
"URBAN MASS TRANSPORTATION ACT OF 1964 ", AS AMENDED
43-1-1001. Urban mass transportation grants. (1) The
state department of highways and the executive director
thereof are hereby designated and authorized to take all steps and adopt all procedures necessary to make and enter into such contracts or agreements as are necessary for the state application and administration of any funds made available under the federal "Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964", as amended, 49 U.S.C.A. 1601 et seq., or any federal legislation successor thereto.
(2) The authority contained in subsection (1) of this
on shall not apply to federal grant funds where there
(2) The authority contained in subsection (1) of this
section shall not apply to federal grant funds where there exists a designated recipient for such funds.

SECTION 2. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.

Highway Legislation Review Committee

## A BILL FOR AN ACT

concerning the repeal of the requirement that rules pertaining to special permits for the movement of oversize loads be Reviewed by the appropriate standing committee of the general assembly prior to adoption by the state highway COMMISSION.

## Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not necessarily reflect any amendments which may be subsequently $\frac{\text { adopted.) }}{}$

Repeals the statutory provision which requires that the rules and regulations pertaining to special permits for the movement of overweight and oversize loads be reviewed by the appropriate standing committee of the general assembly by April 1, 1984, and prior to adoption by the state highway commission.

[^11]
## A BILL FOR AN ACT

1 CONCERNING THE DISCHARGE OF PASSENGERS FROM SCHOOL BUSES.

## 8ill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not necessarily reflect any amendments which may be subsequently adopted.)

Provides that school boards, in conjunction with local traffic regulatory authorities, may determine that there are certain locations where passengers who are discharged from school buses may safely cross major thoroughfares.

[^12]of any school bus used for the transportation of schoolchildren from discharging any passenger from the school bus which will result in the passenger's immediately crossing a major thoroughfare, ard UNLESS THE LOCAL SCHOOL BOARD, IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE LOCAL TRAFFIC REGULATORY AUTHORITY, OETERMINES THAT AT CERTAIN LOCATIONS SUCH A CROSSING CAN BE MADE SAFELY. SUCH REGULATIONS shall ALSO prohibit the discharging or loading of passengers from the school bus onto the side of any major thoroughfare whenever access to the destination of the passenger is possible by the use of a road or street which is adjacent to the major thoroughfare. For the purposes of this section, a "major thoroughfare" means a freeway, any U.S. highway outside any incorporated limit, interstate highway, or highway with four or more lanes, or a highway or road with a median separating multiple lares of traffic. Every person operating a school bus or responsible for or in control of the operation of school buses shall be subject to said regulations.

SECTION 2. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety
highway legislation review committee

## A BILL FOR AN ACT

1 CONCERNING THE USE OF COLORED LIGHTS BY OFFICIAL VEHICLES.


#### Abstract

Bill Summary (Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not necessarily reflect any amendments which may be subsequently adopted.)

Authorizes use of flashing green lights by authorized emergency vehicles at designated command posts at emergency locations. Allows authorized service vehicle snowplows to use one or two flashing, oscillating, or rotating blue lights as warning lamps.


## Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado: <br> SECTION 1. 42-4-212, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984

 Repl. Vol., is amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SUBSECTION to read:42-4-212. Audible and visual signals on emergency vehicles. (3.5) Any authorized emergency vehicle, including those authorized by section $42-4-219$, may be equipped with green flashing lights, mounted at sufficient height and having sufficient intensity to be visible at five hundred feet in all directions in normal daylight. Such lights may only be used at the single designated command post at any emergency
location or incident, and only when such command post is stationary. The single command post shall be designated by the on-scene incident commander in accordance with local or state government emergency plans. Any other use of a green light by a vehicle shall constitute a violation of this section.

SECTION 2. 42-4-212.5 (1), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:
42-4-212.5. Visual signals on service vehicles. (1) Except as otherwise provided in this section, on or after January 1, 1978, every authorized service vehicle shall, in addition to any other equipment required by this article, be equipped with one or more warning lamps mounted as high as practicable, which shall be capable of displaying in all directions one or more flashing, oscillating, or rotating yellow lights. EVERY AUTHORIZED SERVICE VEHICLE SNOWPLOW OPERATED BY A GENERAL PURPOSE GOVERNMENT MAY ALSO BE EQUIPPED WITH AND USE NO MORE THAN TWO FLASHING, OSCILLATING, OR ROTATING BLUE LIGHTS AS WARNING LAMPS. Lighted directional signs used by police and highway departments to direct traffic need not be visible except to the front and rear. Such lights shall have sufficient intensity to be visible at five hundred feet in normal sunlight.

SECTION 3. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.

Highway Legislation Review Committee

A BILL FOR AN ACT
CONCERNING THE FAREBOX RECOVERY RATIO OF THE REGIONAL
TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT.

## Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not necessarily reflect any amendments which may be subsequently adopted.)

Requires the regional transportation district to annually recover certain percentages of its operating costs through farebox collections until a farebox recovery ratio of thirty farebox collections until a farebox recovery ratio of thirty
percent is achieved. Further requires the RTD to annually report to the general assembly concerning the progress being made toward the thirty percent goat. Defines "farebox recovery ratio" and "operating costs".

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado: SECTION 1. Article 9 of title 32 , Colorado Revised Statutes, as amended, is amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SECTION to read:

32-9-119.7. Farebox recovery ratios - reports. (1) The district shall take whatever measures it deems necessary to insure that, for the fiscal year 1989-90, twenty percent of the operating costs of the district are paid for by farebox
collections. For the fiscal year 1990-91, the district shall increase the farebox recovery ratio to twenty-five percent, and, for the fiscal year $1991-92$ and each fiscal year thereafter, the farebox recovery ratio shall be thirty percent. The district shall prepare annual budgets based on the farebox collections required by this section. In the event that the farebox recovery ratios required by this subsection (1) are not achieved by the district, the district shall not use any of the revenues attributable to the sales tax imposed by section 32-9-119 (2) (a) for the purpose of paying the operating costs of the district; however, such revenues may be used for capital costs.
(2) For the purposes of this section, "farebox recovery ratio" means the percentage of operating costs which are recovered by farebox revenues, and "operating costs" means the sum of all costs that can be associated with the operation and maintenance of the system, including depreciation on plant and equipment, interest paid for loans on capital equipment, and property taxes on capital items.

SECTION 2. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.
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Removes the requirement that public hearings concerning fixed guideway mass transit fees and tax increment areas be
held before the regional transportation district board may submit at an election the question of granting the district
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5 Statutes, as amended, is amended to read: 6 32-9-119. Additional powers of district.
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LLS NO. 89 0340/1

Highway Legistation Review Committee

A BILL FOR AN ACT
1 CONCERNING COLORADO ORIVERS' LICENSES.

## Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not necessarily reflect any amendments which may be subsequent ly adopted.)

Allows the department of revenue to certify certain organizations to train and examine applicants for drivers licenses if such training and examination is equal to that of the department. Reduces the age at which minors may obtain a temporary driver instruction permit. Eliminates the ability of minors enrolled in driver education courses to obtain a temporary driver instruction permit before other minors. Permits the department of revenue to issue an identification card to a Colorado resident who also has a Colorado driver's license.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado: SECTION 1. 42-2-110 (1), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

42-2-110. Examination of applicants and drivers - when required. (1) (a) The department shall examine every applicant for a driver's, minor driver's, or provisional driver's license. The executive director of the department, in his discretion, may conduct the examination in any county
convenient for the applicant. The examination shall include a test of the applicant's eyesight, his ability to read and understand highway signs which regulate, warn, and direct traffic, and his knowledge of the traffic laws of this state, an actual demonstration of his ability to exercise ordinary and reasonable care and control in the operation of a motor vehicle, and such further physical and mental examination as the department finds necessary to determine the applicant's fitness to operate a motor vehicle safely upon the highways.
(b) THE DEPARTMENT, IN ISSUING THE DRIVERS' LICENSES FOR CERTAIN TYPES OR GENERAL CLASSES OF VEHICLES, MAY WAIVE ANY EXAMINATION REQUIRED BY PARAGRAPH (a) OF THIS SUBSECTION (1) FOR APPLICANTS AND MAY CERTIFY CERTAIN EMPLOYERS, GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES, OR OTHER APPROPRIATE ORGANIZATIONS TO TRAIN AND EXAMINE ALL APPLICANTS FOR SUCH CERTAIN TYPES OR GENERAL CLASSES OF LICENSES, IF SUCH TRAINING AND EXAMINATION IS EQUAL TO THE TRAINING AND EXAMINATION OF THE DEPARTMENT.

SECTION 2. 42-2-105 (1) (a), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Rep T. Vol., is amended to read:

42-2-105. Instruction permits and temporary licenses. (1) (a) Any minor of the age of fifteen years, within Ekfee SIX months prior to his sixteenth birthday, or any person who, except for his lack of instruction in operating a motor vehicle, a motorcycle, or a motor-driven cycle, would otherwise be qualified to obtain a license under this article may apply for a temporary instruction permit, in accordance with sections 42-2-106 and 42-2-107. The department shall
issue such permit entiting the applicant, while having such permit in his immediate possession, to drive a motor vehicle, a motorcycle, or a motor-driven cycle upon the highways for a period of one hundred twenty EIGHTY days when accompanied by a licensed driver, twenty-one years of age or over, who is actually occupying the seat beside the driver or, in the case of a motorcycle or a motor-driven cycle, under the immediate supervision of a licensed driver, twenty-one years of age or over, authorized under this article to drive a motorcycle or a motor-driven cycle. Any such instruction permit may be extended for an additional period of sixty days.

SECTION 3. 42-2-401 (2), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

42-2-401. Definitions. (2) "Identification card" means the IDENTIFICATION card issued under this article. te-a-persen whe-has-Re-valid-Gełefade-dfiver-s-licenser

SECTION 4. 42-2-402, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Rep1. Vol., is amended to read:

42-2-402. Department may issue - limitations. (1) Any person, which for purposes of this part 4 means a resident of this state, whe--dees-het--have--a--valid-6elefade-dfiveris ficense may be issued an identification card by the department certified by the registrant and attested by the department as to true name, date of birth, current address, social security number, if any, and any other identifying data the department may require. Every application for an identification card shall be signed and verified by the applicant before a person
authorized to administer oaths or by an employee of the department.
(2) The department shall issue an identification card enły--t3-a-pefsen-whe-dees-net-have-a-vałid-6elefade-dfiver!s ficense, and only upon the furnishing of a birth certificate or other documentary evidence of identity which the department may require.

SECTION 5. Repea l. 42-2-105. (1) (b) and 42-2-404 (1) (b), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., are repealed.

SECTION 6. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.

BY Highway Legislation Review Committee

## A BILL FOR AN ACT

1 CONCERNING COMMERCIAL MOTOR VEHICLE DRIVERS' LICENSES.

## Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not necessarily reflect any amendments which may be subsequently adopted.)

Authorizes the department of revenue to develop, adopt, and administer a procedure for licensing drivers of commercial motor vehicles in accordance with federal law. Provides for commercial driver's license disciplinary actions, including revocation based on driving a commercial motor vehicle with a specified blood alcohol content.

```
Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:
    SECTION 1. Article 2 of title 42, Colorado Revised
Statutes, }1984\mathrm{ RepT. Vol., as amended, is amended BY THE
ADDITION OF A NEW PART to read
                                    PART 5
                    COMMERCIAL DRIVERS' LICENSES
    42-2-501. Short title. This part 5 shall be known and
may be cited as the "Commercial Oriver's License Act".
    42-2-502. Definitions. As used in this part 5, unless
the context otherwise requires:
```

(1) "Commercial driver's license" means a license issued to an individual in accordance with the requirements of the federal "Commercial Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1986", 49 App. U.S.C. section 2701 et seq., and any rules or regulations promulgated thereunder, that authorizes such individual to drive a commercial motor vehicle.
(2) (a) "Commercial motor vehicle" means a motor vehicie designed or used to transport passengers or property, if the vehicle:
(I) Has a gross vehicle weight rating of 26,001 or more pounds or such lesser rating determined by federal regulation; or
(II) Is designed to transport sixteen or more passengers, including the driver; or
(III) Is transporting hazardous materials and is required to be placarded in accordance with 49 C.F.R. part 172, sub-part F.
(b) "Commercial motor vehicle" does not include:
(I) Recreational vehicles;
(II) Military venicles that are driven by military personnel;
(III) Farm vehicles;
(IV) Firefighting equipment.
(3) "Department" means the department of revenue.
(4) "Disqualification" means the withdrawal of the privilege to drive a commercial motor vehicle.
(5) "Gross vehicle weight rating" or "GVWR" means the
value specified by the manufacturer as the maximum loaded
weight of a single or a combination (articulated) vehicle, or
registered gross weight, whichever is greater. The GVWR of a
combination (articulated) vehicle, commonly referred to as the
"gross combination weight rating" or "GCWR" is the GVWR of the
power unit plus the GVWR of any towed unit.
(6) "Hazardous materials" means materials as defined
under section 103 of the federal "Hazardous Materials
Transportation Act of 1987", 49 App. U.S.C. section 1801, as
may be amended from time to time.
(7) "Out-of-service order" means a twenty-four hour
prohibition against driving a commercial motor vehicle.
42-2-503. Department authority - rules and regulations -
federal requirements. (1) The department shall develop,
adopt, and administer a procedure for licensing drivers of
commercial motor vehicles in accordance with the federal
"Commercial Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1986" and any rules or
regulations promulgated thereunder.
(2) The department shall promulgate such rules and regulations as are necessary for the implementation of this part 5. Such rules and regulations shall govern all aspects of licensing commercial drivers, including, but not limited to, testing procedures, license issuance procedures, out-of-service regulations, disqualification procedures, including suspensions, revocations, and denial of licensure, records maintenance, reporting requirements, and cooperation
value specified by the manufacturer as the maximum loaded weight of a single or a combination (articulated) vehicle, or registered gross weight, whichever is greater. The GVWR of a combination (articulated) vehicle, commonly referred to as the "gross combination weight rating" or "GCWR" is the GVWR of the power unit plus the GVWR of any towed unit.
(6) "Hazardous materials" means materials as defined under section 103 of the federal "Hazardous Materials Transportation Act of 1987", 49 App. U.S.C. section 1801, as may be amended from time to time.
(7) "Out-of-service order" means a twenty-four hour prohibition against driving a commercial motor vehicle.

42-2-503. Department authority - rules and regulations federal requirements. (1) The department shall develop, adopt, and administer a procedure for licensing drivers of commercial motor vehicles in accordance with the federal "Commercial Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1986" and any rules or regulations promulgated thereunder. with the commercial driver's license information system.
(3) Nothing in this part 5 shall be construed to prevent the state of Colorado from complying with federal requirements in order to qualify for funds under the federal "Commercial Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1986".

42-2-504. License for drivers - limitations. (1) No person shall operate a commercial motor vehicle upon the highways in this state on or after April 1, 1992, unless such person has attained the age of twenty-one years and has been:s issued and is in immediate possession of a commercial driver's license.
(2) No person who drives a commercial motor vehicle may have more than one driver's license.
(3) In addition to any applicable federal penalty concerning commercial motor vehicle operators, any person who violates subsection (1) or (2) of this section, or any rule or regulation promulgated by the department pursuant to this part 5, is guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine of not less than twenty-five dollars nor more than one thousand dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than one year, or both such fine and imprisonment.

42-2-505. Driver's license disciplinary actions disqualifications. (1) A person who holds a commercial driver's license, as defined under this part 5 , shall be subject to disciplinary actions, penalties, and the general provisions under sections 42-2-122 to 42-2-130.
(2) In addition to applicable penalties imposed under
the sections listed in subsection (1) of this section, a person who drives, operates, or is in physical control of a commercial motor vehicle while having any alcohol in his system, or who refuses to submit to a test to determine the alcoholic content of the driver's blood or breath while driving a commercial motor vehicle, shall be placed out of service as defined in section 42-2-502 (7).
(3) A commercial driver shall be disqualified from obtaining a commercial driver's license, and from driving a commercial motor vehicle in this state for an indefinite period, unless otherwise reduced by a period of not less than ten years by the secretary of the United States department of transportation:
(a) If such driver is convicted of the commission of a felony involving the manufacturing, distributing, or dispensing of a controlled substance, as defined under section 102 (6) of the federal "Controlled Substance Act", as may be amended from time to time, and the commission of such felony involved the use of a commercial motor vehicle; or
(b) If such driver commits two or more violations, or any combination arising from two incidents, of:
(I) Driving a commercial motor vehicle while under the influence of alcohol or a controlled substance;
(II) Driving a commercial motor vehicle in this state when the amount of alcohol, as shown by analysis of such person's blood or breath, in such person's blood was 0.04 or more grams of alcohol per hundred milliliters of blood or 0.04
grams of alcohol per two hundred ten liters of breath at the time of driving, or any time thereafter;
(III) Knowingly and willfully leaving the scene of an accident involving a conmercial motor vehicle driven by the person;
(IV) Using a commercial motor vehicle in the commission of any felony, except felonies described in paragraph (a) of this subsection (3);
(V) Refusing to submit to a test to determine the driver's alcohol concentration while driving a commercial motor vehicle.
(4) If there is no other statutory reason for denying a driver's license, any person who is ineligible for a commercial driver's license under this section may apply for another type or class of driver's license as provided by law.

42-2-506. Fees. (1) The fee for the issuance of a commercial driver's license shall be fifteen dollars. Such license will expire on the birthday of the applicant in the fourth year after the issuance thereof. When issuing a commercial driver's license, the office of the county clerk and recorder shall collect and retain the sum of three dollars, and twelve dollars shall be forwarded to the department for transmission to the state treasurer, who shall credit the same to the highway users tax fund. The general assembly shall make annual appropriations therefrom for the expenses of the administration of parts 1 to 3 of this article and this part 5.
(2) The combined total fee for the administration of the written test and the driving test for licensing commercial drivers shall not exceed the sum of forty dollars, which shall be used to offset the direct and indirect costs of administering such tests.

SECTION 2. 42-2-101 (1), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:

42-2-101. Licenses for drivers required. (1) EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE PROVIDED IN PART 5 OF THIS ARTICLE FOR COMMERCIAL DRIVERS, no person shall drive any motor vehicle upon a highway in this state unless such person has been issued a currently valid driver's, minor driver's, or provisional driver's license or an instruction permit by the department under this article.

SECTION 3. 42-2-104, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

42-2-104. Special restrictions on certain drivers. IN ADDITION tO APPLICABLE RESTRICTIONS PROVIDED UNDER PART 5 OF THIS ARTICLE, no person under the age of eighteen years shall drive any motor vehicle used to transport explosives or inflammable material or as a school bus for the transportation of pupils to or from school; nor shall any person under the age of eighteen years drive a motor vehicle used as a commercial, private, or conmon carrier of persons or property unless he has experience in operating motor vehicles and has been examined on his qualifications in operating such vehicles. The examination shall include safety regulations of
commodity hauling, and the driver shall be licensed as a driver or provisional driver.

SECTION 4. 42-2-122 (1), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW PARAGRAPH to read:

## 42-2-122. Mandatory revocation of license.

(1) (1) Been found to have knowingly and willfully left the scene of an accident involving a commercial motor vehicle driven by the person.

SECTION 5. 42-2-122, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SUBSECTION to read:

42-2-122. Mandatory revocation of license. (1.5) The period of revocation based on paragraphs (b), (c), and (1) of subsection (1) of this section shall be one year; except that any violation involving a commercial motor vehicle transporting hazardous materials as defined under section 42-2-502 (6) shall result in a revocation period of three years.

SECTION 6. 42-2-122.1 (1.5) (a), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SUBPARAGRAPH to read:

42-2-122.1. Revocation of license based on administrative determination. (1.5) (a) (III) Drove a commercial motor vehicle in this state when the amount of alcohol, as shown by analysis of such person's blood or breath, in such person's blood was 0.04 or more grams of
alcohol per hundred milliliters of blood or 0.04 grams of alcohol per two hundred ten liters of breath at the time of driving or any time thereafter.
SECTION 7. 42-2-122.1 (5) (b), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, is REPEALED AND REENACTED, WITH AMENDMENTS, to read:
42-2-122.1. Revocation of license based on administrative determination. (5) (b) (I) The period of license revocation under subsection (1.5) (a) (I) of this section for a first offense shall be three months.
(II) The period of license revocation under subsection (1.5) (a) (I) of this section for a second or subsequent revocation shall be one year.
(III) The period of license revocation under subsection (1.5) (a) (II) of this section or for a first offense under subsection (1.5) (a) (III) of this section shall be one year.
(IV) The period of license revocation under subsection (1.5) (a) (II) or subsection (1.5) (a) (III) of this section invoiving a commercial motor vehicle that was transporting hazardous materials as defined in section 42-2-502 (6) shall be no less than three years.
(V) A second or subsequent revocation under subsection (1.5) (a) (II) or subsection (1.5) (a) (III) of this section involving a commercial motor vehicle shall result in a disqualification as defined and provided for under section

42-2-505.

SECTION 8. 42-2-122.1 (5) (c) (I) and (8) (c), Colorado

[^14]
#### Abstract

42-4-1202 (3). If the presiding hearing officer finds the affirmative of the issue, the revocation order shall be sustained. If the presiding hearing officer finds the negative of the issue, the revocation order shall be rescinded. Undef-ne-єifeumstances-shatl-the-pfesiding-heafing өffiєef-єөRsidef-any-issue-Ret--speєified--in--もhis--pafagfaph fets (II) WHERE A LICENSE IS REVOKED UNDER SUBSECTION (1.5) (a) (III) OF THIS SECTION, THE SOLE ISSUE AT THE HEARING SHALL BE WHETHER BY A PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE THE PERSON DROVE A COMMERCIAL MOTOR VEHICLE IN THIS STATE WHEN THE AMOUNT OF ALCOHOL, AS SHOWN BY AMALYSIS OF THE PERSON'S BLOOD OR BREATH, IN SUCH PERSON'S BLOOD WAS 0.04 OR MORE GRAMS OF ALCOHOL PER HUNDRED MILLILITERS OF 8 LOOD OR 0.04 OR MORE GRAMS OF ALCOHOL PER TWO HUNDRED TEN LITERS OF BREATH AT THE TIME OF DRIVING OR ANYTIME THEREAFTER IF THE PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE ESTABLISHES THAT SUCH PERSON DID NOT CONSUME ANY ALCOHOL 8ETWEEN THE TIME OF DRIVING AND THE TIME OF TESTING. IF THE PRESIDING HEARING OFFICER FINDS THE AFFIRMATIVE OF THE ISSUE, THE REVOCATION ORDER SHALL BE SUSTAINED. IF THE PRESIDING HEARING OFFICER FINDS THE NEGATIVE OF THE ISSUE, THE REVOCATION ORDER SHALL BE RESCINDED. (III) UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHALL THE PRESIDING HEARING OFFICER CONSIDER ANY ISSUE NOT SPECIFIED IN THIS PARAGRAPH (c).

SECTION 9. 42-2-124 (2), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Rep1. Vol., is amended to read:


42-2-124. Period of suspension or revocation.
(2) (a) EXCEPT AS PROVIDED UNDER PARAGRAPH (b) OF THIS SUBSECTION (2), any person whose license or privilege to drive a motor vehicle on the public highways has been revoked is not entitled to make application for a new license until the expiration of one year from the date on which the revoked license was surrendered to and received by the department; then such person may, make application for anew license as provided by law, and any such person making false application for a new license before the expiration of the period of suspension or revocation is guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine of not more than three hundred dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not more than thirty days, or by both such fine and imprisonment. Following the period of revocation set forth in subsection (1) of this section, the department shall not issue a new license unless and until it is satisfied that such person has demonstrated his knowledge of the laws and driving: ability through the appropriate motor vehicle testing process and that such person whose license was revoked pursuant to section 42-2-122 for an alcohol- or drug-related offense has completed not less than a level II alcohol and drug education and treatment program certified by the division of alcohol and drug abuse pursuant to section 42-4-1202 (5). In the case of a minor driver whose license has been revoked as a result of one conviction for driving under the influence, the minor driver, unless otherwise required after an evaluation made by
14
an alcohol and drug evaluation specialist certified by the division of alcohol and drug abuse, must complete a level I alcohol and drug education program certified by the division of alcohol and drug abuse pursuant to section 42-4-1202 (5). The department shall take into consideration any probationary terms imposed on such person by any court in determining whether any suspension or revocation shall be continued.
(b) IF THERE IS NO OTHER STATUTORY REASON FOR DENYING A dRiver's license, any person whose commercial driver's license IS REVOKED PURSUANT TO SECTION 42-2-122.1 (1.5) MAY APPLY FOR another type or class of driver's license as provided by law.

SECTION 10. 42-4-1202 (3) (a), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol.. as amended, is amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SUBPARAGRAPH to read:

42-4-1202. Driving under the influence - driving while impaired - driving with excessive alcoholic content - tests driving safety program. (3) (a) (V) Any driver of a commercial motor vehicle requested to submit to a test as provided in subparagraph (II) of this paragraph (a) shall be warned by the law enforcement officer requesting the test that a refusal to submit to the test shall result in an out-of-service order as defined under section 42-2-502 (7) for a period of twenty-four hours and a revocation of the privilege to operate a commercial motor vehicle for one year as provided under section 42-2-122.1.

SECTION 11. Effective date - applicability. This act

1 shall take effect April 1, 1992, and shall apply to offenses committed on or after said date.

SECTION 12. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, heaith,

## A BILL FOR AN ACT

## Bil1 Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not necessarily ref lect any amendments which may be subsequently adopted.)

Allows motor vehicle dealers to apply for and receive, on the same day of application, new certificates of title for motor vehicles sold by such dealers. Requires a twenty-five dollar fee for such titles. Provides for the disposition of such fees.

## Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado: SECTION 1. 42-6-110, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984

 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:42-6-110. Sale to dealers - certificate need not issue.
(1) Upon the sale or transfer to a dealer of a motor vehicle for which a Colorado certificate of title has been issued, formal transfer and delivery of the certificate of title thereto shall be made as in other cases; except that, so long as the vehicle so sold or transferred remains in the dealer's

[^15]1 retained by said agent to defray the costs thereof and shall 2 be disposed of by him as provided by law.
3 SECTION 4. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby 4 finds, determines, and declares that this act is necessary 5 for the fmmediate preservation of the public peace, health, 6 and safety.


#### Abstract

documents. Authorizes the department of revenue to adopt rules and regulations for the collection of fees from nonresident owners of vehicles which are subject to emissions inspection and readjustment.


Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado: SECTION 1. 42-3-112.1 (3), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

42-3-112.1. Issuance of personalized plates authorized. (3) The personalized license plates shall be the same color and design as regular motor vehicle license plates, shall consist of numbers or letters, or any combination thereof, not exceeding six SEVEN positions and not less than two positions, and shall not conflict with existing passenger, commercial, trailer, motorcycle, or other special license plates series; except that personalized license plates bearing the words "street rod" shall be of a design determined by the executive director of the department which design shall be different from those used by the state for regular motor vehicle license plates.

SECTION 2. 42-3-106 (4) and (19) (e), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., are amended to read:

42-3-106. Taxable value of classes of property - rate of tax - when and where payable - department duties apportionment of tax collections. (4) In computing the amount of annual specific ownership tax payable on any item of Class $A$, of Class $B$, $O R$ CLASS $F$ personal property, the department may take into account the length of time such item
may be operated in intrastate or interstate commerce within 2 the state of Colorado, giving due consideration to any reciprocal agreements relative to general property taxation of such item as may exist between Colorado and other states and also to the number of miles traveled by such item in each state.
(19) (e) The county clerk and recorder shall make-a-7ist INCLUDE THE VALUE of all equipment which has been mounted on or attached to Class $F$ personal property and-included in the calculation of the annual specific ownership tax. Sueh---7ist shałlł-be-made-ayaiłable-te-the-є日unty-assesseft

SECTION 3. 38-29-107, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:

38-29-107. Applications for certificates of title. In any case under the provisions of this article wherein a person who desires or who is entitled to a certificate of title to a manufactured home is required to make formal application to the director therefor, such applicant shall make application upon a form provided by the director in which appears a description of the manufactured home including the manufacturer and model thereof, the manufacturer's number, the date on which said manufactured home was first sold by the dealer or manufacturer thereof to the initial user thereof, and a description of any other distinguishing mark, number, or symbol placed on said home by the manufacturer thereof for identification purposes, as may by rule be required by the director. Such application shall also show the applicant's
source of title and shall include a description of all known mortgages and liens upon said manufactured home, each including the name of the legal holder thereof, the amount originally secured, the amount outstanding on the obligation secured at the time such application is made, the name of the county or city and county and state in which such mortgage or lien instrument is recorded or filed, and proof of the fact that no property taxes for previous years are due on such manufactured home. Such proof shall be a certificate of taxes issued by the county treasurer of the county in which the manufactured home is located. Such application shall be vefified AFFIRMED BY AN AFFIDAVIT SIGNED by the applicant befefe-a-fetafy-publíe AND SHALL CONTAIN OR BE ACCOMPANIED BY A WRITTEN declaration that it is made under the penalties of PERJURY IN THE SECOND DEGREE, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 18-8-503, C.R.S.

SECTION 4. 38-29-112 (1), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:

38-29-112. Certificate of title - transfer. (1) Upon the sale or transfer of a manufactured home for which a certificate of title has been issued, the person in whose name said certificate of title is registered, if he is other than a dealer, shall, in his own person or by his duly authorized agent or attorney, execute a formal transfer of the home described in the certificate, which transfer shall be retafized AFFIRMED BY AN AFFIDAVIT SIGNED BY THE PERSON IN WHOSE NAME SAID CERTIFICATE OF TITLE IS REGISTERED OR BY HIS
duly authorized agent or attorney and shall contain or be accompanied by a written declaration that it is made under the penalties of perjury in the second degree, as defined in SECTION 18-8-503, C.R.S. The purchaser or transferee, within thirty days thereafter, shall present such certificate, duly transferred, together with his application for a new certificate of title to the director or one his authorized agents, accompanied by the fee required in section 38-29-138 to be paid for the issuance of a new certificate of title; whereupon, a new certificate of title shall be issued and disposition thereof made as required in this article.

SECTION 5. 38-29-114, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:

38-29-114. New manufactured homes - bill of salecertificate of title. Upon the sale or transfer by a dealer of a new manufactured home, such dealer shall, upon the delivery thereof, make, execute, and deliver to the purchaser or transferee a good and sufficient bill of sale therefor, together with the manufacturer's certificate of origin. Said bill of sale shall be metafized AFFIRMED BY AN AFFIDAVIT SIGNED by SUCH DEALER, SHALL CONTAIN OR be ACCOMPANIED BY A written declaration that it is made under the penalties of perjury in the second degree, as defined in section 18-8-503, C.R.S., shall be in such form as the director may prescribe, and shall contain, in addition to other information which he may by rule from time to time require, the manufacturer and model of the manufactured home so sold or transferred, the
identification number placed upon the home by the manufacturer for identification purposes, the manufacturer's suggested retail price, and the date of the sale or transfer thereof, together with a description of any mortgage thereon given to secure the purchase price or any part thereof. Upon presentation of such a bill of sale to the director or one his authorized agents, a new certificate of title for the home therein described shall be issued and disposition thereof made as in other cases. The transfer of a manufactured home which has been used by a dealer for the purpose of demonstration to prospective customers shall be made in accordance with the provisions of this section.

SECTION 6. The introductory portion to 38-29-117 (3), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:

38-29-117. Certificates for manufactured homes registered in other states. (3) Upon the sale by a dealer of a manufactured home, the certificate of title to which was issued in a state other than Colorado, the dealer shall immediately deliver to the purchaser or transferee such certificate of title from a state other than Colorado duly and properly endorsed or assigned to the purchaser or transferee, together with the dealer's petarized affidavit setting, WHICH SHALL CONTAIN OR BE ACCOMPANIED BY A WRITTEN DECLARATION THAT IT IS MADE UNDER THE PENALTIES OF PERJURY IN THE SECOND DEGREE, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 18-8-503, C.R.S., AND WHICH SHALL SET forth the following:
SECTION 7. 38-29-119 (1), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:
38-29-119. Furnishing bond for certificates. (1) In cases where the applicant for a certificate of title to a manufactured home is unable to provide the director or his authorized agent with a certificate of title thereto, duly transferred to such applicant, a bill of sale therefor, or other evidence of the ownership thereof which satisfies the director of the right of the applicant to have a certificate of title issued to him, as provided in section 38-29-110, a certificate of title for such home may, nevertheless, be issued by the director upon the applicant therefor furnishing the director with his affidavit, in such form as the director may prescribe. There shall appear a recital of the facts and circumstances by which the applicant acquired the ownership and possession of such home, the source of his title thereto, and such other information as the director may require to enable him to determine what liens and encumbrances are outstanding against such manufactured home, if any, the date thereof, the amount secured thereby, where said liens or encumbrances are of public record, if they are of public record, and the right of the applicant to have a certificate of title issued to him. The affidavit shall be-matafized CONTAIN OR BE ACCOMPANIED BY A WRITTEN DECLARATION THAT IT IS made under the penalties of perjury in the second degree, as DEFINED IN SECTION 18-8-503, C.R.S., and shall accompany the formal application for the certificate as required in section

38-29-107.
SECTION 8. The introductory portion to 38-29-131 (1), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:

38-29-131. Release of mortgages. (1) Upon the payment or discharge of the undertaking secured by any mortgage on a manufactured home which has been filed for record and noted on the certificate of title in the manner prescribed in section 38-29-128, the legal holder of the certificate of title, in a place to be provided therefor, shall make and execute such notation of the discharge of the obligation and release of the mortgage securing the same and set forth therein such facts concerning the right of the holder to so release said mortgage as the director may require by appropriate rule, which satisfaction and release shall be fetafized AFFIRMED BY AN affidavit signed by the legal holder of the certificate of title and shall contain or be accompanied by a written declaration that it is made under the penalties of perjury in the second degree, as defined in section 18-8-503, c.r.s. Thereupon, the holder of the mortgage so released shall dispose of the certificate of title as follows:

SECTION 9. 38-29-141, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:

## 38-29-141. Penalties. (1) No person may:

(a) Sell, transfer, or in any manner dispose of a manufactured home in this state without complying with the requirements of this article.
(b) Krowingły-make-ary-fałse--statement--өf--a--matefiał

 furnished--upen-an-applícatien-fөf-a-title,
 release-өf-a-seєufity-interest-in-a-maRufactured-heme.
(2) Any person who violates any of the provisions of subsection (1) of this section FOR WHICH NO OTHER PENALTY IS EXPRESSLY PROVIDED is guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine of not less than one hundred dollars nor more than five hundred dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not less than ten days nor more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

SECTION 10. Article 29 of title 38, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SECTION to read:

38-29-141.5. False oath. Any person who makes any application for a certificate of title, written transfer thereof, satisfaction and release, oath, affirmation, affidavit, report, or deposition required to be made or taken under any of the provisions of this article, and who, upon such application, transfer, satisfaction and release, oath, affirmation, affidavit, report, or deposition, swears or affirms willfully and falsely in a matter material to any issue, point, or subject matter in question, in addition to any other penalties provided in this article, is guilty of
perjury in the second degree, as defined in section 18-8-503, C.R.S.

SECTION 11. 42-6-109 (1), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:

42-6-109. Certificate of title - transfer. (1) Upon the sale or transfer of a motor vehicle for which a certificate of title has been issued, the person in whose name said certificate of title is registered, if he is other than a dealer, shall, in his own person or by his agent or attorney thereunto duly authorized, execute a formal transfer of the vehicle described in the certificate, which transfer shall be
 administef-өaths-ネR-the-state AFFIRMED $8 Y$ AN AFFIDAVIT SIGNED 8 Y THE PERSON IN WHOSE NAME SAID CERTIFICATE OF TITLE IS REGISTERED OR $8 Y$ HIS DULY AUTHORIZED AGENT OR ATTORNEY AND SHALL CONTAIN OR BE ACCOMPANIED 8Y A WRITTEN DECLARATION THAT IT IS MADE UNDER THE PENALTIES OF PERJURY IN THE SECOND DEGREE, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 18-8-503, C.R.S. The purchaser or transferee, within forty-five days thereafter, shall present such certificate, duly transferred, together with his application for a new certificate of title to the director or one of his authorized agents, accompanied by the fee required in section 42-6-135 to be paid for the issuance of a new certificate of title; whereupon, a new certificate of title shall be issued and disposition thereof made as required in this part 1.

SECTION 12. 42-6-111, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984

Repl．Vol．，is amended to read：
42－6－111．New vehicles－bill of sale－certificate of title．Upon the sale or transfer by a dealer of a new motor vehicle，such dealer shall，upon the delivery thereof，make， execute，and deliver unto the purchaser or transferee a good and sufficient bill of sale therefor，together with the manufacturer＇s certificate of origin．Said bill of sale shall
 deets－t日－feal－pfopefty AFFIRMED BY AN AFFIDAVIT SIGNED BY SUCH DEALER，SHALL CONTAIN OR BE ACCOMPANIED BY A WRITTEN declaration that it is made under the penalties of perjury in THE SECOND DEGREE，AS DEFINED IN SECTION 18－8－503，C．R．S．， shall be in such form as the director may prescribe，and shall contain，in addition to other information which he may by rule or regulation from time to time require，the make and model of the motor vehicle so sold or transferred，the identification number placed upon the vehicle by the manufacturer for identification purposes，the manufacturer＇s suggested retail price，and the date of the sale or transfer thereof，together with a description of any mortgage thereon given to secure the purchase price or any part thereof．Upon presentation of such a bill of sale to the director or one of his authorized agents，a new certificate of title for the vehicle therein described shall be issued and disposition thereof made as in other cases．The transfer of a motor vehicle which has been used by a dealer for the purpose of demonstration to prospective customers，if such motor vehicle is a new vehicle
as defined in section 42－6－102（8），shall be made in accordance with the provisions of this section．

SECTION 13．42－6－113（1），Colorado Revised Statutes， 1984 Repl．Vol．，is amended to read：

42－6－113．Furnishing bond for certificates．（1）In cases where the applicant for a certificate of title to a motor vehicle is unable to provide the director or his authorized agent with a certificate of title thereto，duly transferred to such applicant，a bill of sale therefor，or other evidence of the ownership thereof which satisfies the director of the right of the applicant to have a certificate of title issued to him，as provided in section 42－6－107，a certificate of title for such vehicle may，nevertheless，be issued by the director upon the applicant therefor furnishing the director with his affidavit，in such form as the director may prescribe．There shall appear a recital of the facts and circumstances by which the applicant acquired the ownership and possession of such vehicle，the source of his titie thereto，and such other information as the director may require to enable him to determine what liens and encumbrances are outstanding against such motor vehicle，if any，the date thereof，the amount secured thereby，where said liens or encumbrances are of public record，if they are of public record，and the right of the applicant to have a certificate of title issued to him．The affidavit shall be－subsefibed－and
 affiチmations－in－the－staもe CONTAIN OR BE ACCOMPANIED BY A
Written declaration that it is made under the penalties of perjury in the second degree, as defined in section 18-8-503, C.R.S., and shall accompany the formal application for the certificate as required in section 42-6-114.
SECTION 14. 42-6-114, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:
42-6-114. Applications for certificates of title. In any case under the provisions of this part 1 wherein a person who desires or who is entitled to a certificate of title to a motor vehicle is required to make formal application to the director therefor, such applicant shall make application upon a form provided by the director in which appears a description of the motor vehicle including the make and model thereof, the manufacturer's number, the motor number, the date on which said motor vehicle was first sold by the dealer or manufacturer thereof to the initial user thereof, and a description of any other distinguishing mark, number, or symbol placed on said vehicle by the manufacturer thereof for identification purposes, as may by rule or regulation be required by the director. Such application shall also show the name and correct address of the owner determined pursuant to section 42-6-137 and the applicant's source of title and shall include a description of all known mortgages and liens upon said motor vehicle, each including the name of the legal holder thereof, the amount originally secured, the amount outstanding on the obligation secured at the time such application is made, and the name of the county, city or
county, and state in which such mortgage or lien instrument is recorded or filed. Such application shall be verified by AN AFFIDAVIT SIGNED BY the applicant before-aA-өffieef-autherized te--administef--өaths--and-affifmations-in-the-state AND SHALL contain or be accompanied by a written declaration that it is made under the penalties of peruury in the second degree, as DEFINED IN SECTION 18-8-503, C.R.S.

SECTION 15. The introductory portion to 42-6-118 (3), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

42-6-118. Certificates for vehicles registered in other states. (3) Upon the sale by a dealer of any motor vehicle, the certificate of title to which was issued in a state other than Colorado, the dealer shall immediately deliver to the purchaser or transferee such certificate of title from a state other than Colorado duly and properly endorsed or assigned to the purchaser or transferee, together with an affidavit by the dealer subseribed-and-swerf-te-befere-ah-өfficer-attherized-te administef---өaths---setting, WHICH SHALL CONTAIN OR BE accompanied by a written declaration that it is made under the penalties of perjury in the second degree, as defined in SECTION 18-8-503, C.R.S., AND WHICH SHALL SET forth thefein THE FOLLOWING:

SECTION 16. The introductory portion to 42-6-124 (1), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

42-6-124. Release of mortgages. (1) Upon the payment or
discharge of the undertaking secured by any mortgage on a motor vehicle which has been filed for record and noted on the certificate of title in the manner prescribed in section 42-6-120, the legal holder thereof, in a place to be provided therefor, shall make and execute such notation of the discharge of the obligation and release of the mortgage securing the same and set forth therein such facts concerning the right of the holder to so release said mortgage as the director by appropriate rule or regulation from time to time may require, which satisfaction and release shall be

 signed by the legal holder of the certificate of title and WHICH SHALL CONTAIN OR BE ACCOMPANIED BY A WRItTEN DECLARATION that it is made under the penalties of perjury in the second DEGREE, AS DEFINED IN SECTION 18-8-503, C.R.S. Thereupon, the holder of the mortgage so released shall dispose of the certificate of title as follows:

SECTION 17. 42-6-140, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

42-6-140. Penalties. (1) No person may:
(a) Sell, transfer, or in any manner dispose of a motor vehicle in this state without complying with the requirements of this part 1.
(b) Knewfingly-make-any-fatse--statement--өf--a--matefiał





(2) Any person who violates any of the provisions of subsection (1) of this section FOR WHICH NO OTHER PENALTY IS EXPRESSLY PROVIDED is guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction thereof, shall be punished by a fine of not less than one hundred dollars nor more than five hundred dollars, or by imprisonment in the county jail for not less than ten days nor more than six months, or by both such fine and imprisonment.

SECTION 18. Part 1 of article 6 of title 42, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended BY THE ADOITION OF A NEW SECTION to read:

42-6-141.5. False oath. Any person who makes any application for a certificate of title, written transfer thereof, satisfaction and release, oath, affirmation, affidavit, report, or deposition required to be made or taken under any of the provisions of this article, and who, upon such application, transfer, satisfaction and release, oath, affirmation, affidavit, report, or deposition, swears or affirms willfully and falsely in a matter material to any issue, point, or subject matter in question, in addition to any other penalties provided in this article, is guilty of perjury in the second degree, as defined in section 18-8-503, C.R.S.

SECTION 19. 42-4-313 (5), Colorado Revised Statutes,

1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:
42-4-313. Operation of inspection and readjustment stations - fees. (5) Jhe--fee-Ehafged-in-pafagfaph-fat- $\theta$ f


 revenue shall promulgate rules and regulations to provioe for the collection of a fee equal to that established in section 42-3-123 (23) FROM THE NONRESIDENT OWNER OF EACH VEHICLE SUBJECT TO THE INSPECTION REQUIREMENT OF SECTION 42-4-312 (1) (b.5). Such fee shall be collected by the executive director of the department of revenue and shall be transmitted to the highway users tax fund in accordance with the provisions of section 42-3-123 (23) (b).

SECTION 20. Repeal. 42-3-106 (20) (b) and (23), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., are repealed.

SECTION 21. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.

## A BILL FOR AN ACT

1 CONCERNING PORT OF ENTRY WEIGH STATIONS.

## Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not necessarily reflect any amendments which may be subsequently adopted.)

Provides for the closure of port of entry weigh stations for certain federal holidays designated by the executive director of the department of revenue. Requires mobile port of entry weigh stations to be equipped with weighing equipment. implement this article and carry out its purposes. Said executive director shall, to the fullest extent possible, house department field offices at such places as port of entry weigh stations are established. All permanent port of entry
weigh stations established under the authority of this article shall be operated єөftiquөиsty-and on a twenty-four-hour-a-day basis, EXCEPT FOR CERTAIN FEDERAL HOLIDAYS AS DESIGNATED BY THE EXECUTIVE OIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, and in such manner as to reasonably allow owners and operators of motor vehicles subject to fees, licenses, or taxes or to regulations imposed by the state of Colorado to comply with all such laws and regulations issued pursuant thereto by clearance at a port of entry weigh station. All pefmanent port of entry weigh stations, EITHER PERMANENT OR MOBILE, shall be equipped with weighing equipment approved as to accuracy by the division of inspection and consumer services of the department of agriculture.

SECTION 2. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.

## A BILL FOR AN ACT

BY Highway Legislation Review Committee

CONCERNING THE CHANGE OF DATES BY WHICH CERTAIN TAX RETURNS RELATING TO MOTGR VEHICLES MUST BE FILED FROM A MONTHLY BASIS TO A QUARTERLY BASIS.

## Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not necessarily reflect any amendments which may be subsequently adopted.)

Changes the deadlines for filing gross ton-mile, passenger mile, and special fuel tax returns from the twenty-fifth day of each month to the last day of the month following each quarter of a year for the preceding quarter's taxes.

[^16]section 39-27-202 (2) (a) or (2) (b), and every person who has obtained a ton-mile or passenger-mile tax permit pursuant to section 42-3-126, C.R.S., 1973 , where such permit relates to a motor vehicle which is powered by special fuel, shall, on or before the tweaty-fifth LAST day of eaєh-mөath JANUARY, APRIL, JULY, AND OCTOBER OF EACH YEAR, file with the executive director a report stating the amount of special fuel, subject to the tax imposed by this part 2, consumed by such person during the prior THREE calendar menta MONTHS, and such other information relating to the use of special fuel for the propulsion of a motor vehicle on the highways of this state as the executive director may require. The executive director, under rules and procedures established by him, may exempt from the reporting requirement of this subsection (2) any motor vehicle used exclusively within this state. Failure to receive the authorized report form does not relieve such person from the obligation of submitting a report to the executive director setting forth all information required on the prescribed report form. The report shall contain or be accompanied by a written declaration that it is made under the penalties of perjury in the second degree, as defined in section 18-8-503, C.R.S. 1973.

SECTION 2. 42-3-125 (1) (a) and (1) (c) (I), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, are amended to read:

42-3-125. Taxpayer statements - payment of tax estimates - penalties - deposits - delinquency proceedings.
(1) (a) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this subsection (1), every owner or operator of a motor vehicle operated over any public highway of this state and required to pay the ton-mile or passenger-mile tax imposed by the provisions of section 42-3-123 shall, on or before the twenty-fifth LAST day of eath-month JANUARY, APRIL, JULY, AND OCTOBER OF EACH YEAR, file with the department, on forms prescribed by said department and the public utilities commission, a statement, subject to the penalties for perjury in the second degree, showing the name and address of the owner of the motor vehicles so operated, total miles traveled, and total tons of cargo and total number of passengers carried in this state during the preceding merth THREE MONTHS and such other information as required by the department and the commission and shall compute and pay such tax; except that the executive director of the department may, in his discretion, authorize the filing of statements and the payment of tax computed thereon for periods in excess of ere--manth THREE MONTHS but not to exceed a period of twelve months.
(c) (I) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section to the contrary, any owner or operator of a motor vehicle required to pay the ton-mile tax imposed by the provisions of section 42-3-123 and required to file the statement required by paragraph (a) of this subsection (1) shall be required to pay annually, as a minimum, a gross ton-mile tax of one hundred fifty dollars with the fixst merthls return DUE ON OR BEFORE THE .-ST DAY OF APRIL. If the
taxpayer is applying for a new gross ton-mile tax permit, such minimum payment shall be payable at the time of application and, if for less than a full calendar year, shall be prorated for the remainder of the year for which such permit is secured. Such minimum payment shall be nonrefundable. A taxpayer will not be required to pay any additional tax until such time as his accumulated unpaid tax exceeds such one-hundred-fifty-dollar minimum tax. Retufas-may-be-filed-on a-quaftefly-basis. Accumulated information relative to the gross ton-mile tax, required to be kept by section 42-3-126, for those accounts not exceeding the minimum tax shall be submitted with the taxpayer's fifst-menth's return DUE TO BE FILED ON OR BEFORE THE LAST DAY OF APRIL OF the following year.

SECTION 3. Repeal. 39-27-205 (2) (d), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., and 42-3-125 (1) (d), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, are repealed.

SECTION 4. Effective date. This act shall take effect

[^17]
## BY Highway Legislation Review Committee

## A BILL FOR AN ACT

 oriver＇s licenses．
## Bill Summary

（Note：This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not necessarily reflect any amendments which may be subsequently $\frac{\text { adopted．）}}{}$

Eliminates the provision that notice of revocation of a driver＇s license shall not be deemed to be received if the notice is returned by the postal authorities．Provides that where a driver＇s license is administratively revoked as a result of a person driving under the influence and where the same license is suspended or revoked as a result of a conviction for driving while ability impaired which conviction relates to the same occurrence，the revocation periods shall relates to the same occurrence，the revocation periods shall
run concurrently．Provides that a hearing to determine whether run concurrently．Provides that a hearing to determine whether points accumulated will be held only if the licensee desires such a hearing．Describes the hearing procedure for license revocations of habitual offenders．

[^18]administrative determination．（3）（b）The notice of revocation shall be mailed to the person at the last－known address shown on the department＇s records，if any，and to the address provided by the enforcement officer＇s report if that address differs from the address of record．The notice is deemed received three days after mailing．urless－fetufred－by pestał－a日もれ日fデもies．
（5）（c）（I）Where a license is revoked under subsection （1．5）（a）（I）of this section and the person is also convicted on criminal charges arising out of the same occurrence for a violation of section 42－4－1202（1）（a），（1）（b），or（1．5），both the revocation under this section and any suspension， revocation，cancellation，or denial which results from such conviction shall be imposed，but the periods shall run concurrently，and the total period of revocation，suspension， cancellation，or denial shall not exceed the longer of the two periods．

SECTION 2．42－2－123（8），Colorado Revised Statutes， 1984 Repl．Vol．，is REPEALED AND REENACTED，WITH AMENDMENTS，to read：

42－2－123．Authority to suspend license－to deny license －type of conviction＿points．（8）Except as otherwise provided in subsection（8．5）of this section，whenever the department＇s records show that a licensee has accumulated a sufficient number of points to be subject to license suspension，the department shall immediately suspend the license of such driver，but such suspension shall not be
effective until twenty days after notification of such action has been mailed to such licensee by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, at his last known address as shown by the records of the department. Proof of such mailing is sufficient notice under this section and shall be admissible in any court of record for prosecution under section 42-2-130. The notification of suspension shall recite therein that the licensee may apply for a hearing at any time within twenty days after the date of mailing of the order of suspension, and the licensee shall be advised that, if a hearing is applied for, the effective date of the order will be extended until after the hearing is held. Such notification shall also state therein the penalties for driving on a suspended license and the notification shall also inform the licensee that the period of suspension may be modified from the maximum period only at a hearing conducted by the department. If the driver fails to appear at such hearing without good cause, the department shall suspend the driver's license. Neither the licensee's failure to request a hearing prior to the effective date of the suspension nor his failure to appear at a hearing requested by him constitutes a waiver of his right to a hearing on the matter if the licensee subsequently request such a hearing.

SECTION 3. 42-2-203, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is REPEALED AND REENACTED, WITH AMENDMENTS, to read:

42-2-203. Authority to revoke license of habitual
offender. (1) The department has the authority to revoke the license of any person whose record brings him within the definition of an habitual offender in section 42-2-202; except that the hearing procedure shall be as specified in subsections (2) through (6) of this section prior to any such revocation.
(2) If a licensee is determined to be an habitual offender pursuant to subsection (1) of this section, the department shall notify such licensee that a hearing will be held not less than twenty days after the date of such notice to determine whether his driver's license should be revoked. Such notification shall be given to the licensee in writing by regular mail, addressed to the address of the licensee as shown by the records of the department.
(3) Revocation hearings ordered by the department shall be held at the district office of the department closest to the residence of the licensee. A hearing delay shall be granted by the department only if the licensee presents the department with good cause for such delay. Good cause shall include absence from the state or county of residence, personal illness, or any other circumstance which, in the department's discretion, constitutes sufficient reason for delay. In the event that a revocation hearing is delayed, the department shall set a new date for such hearing no later than sixty days after the date of the original hearing.
(4) Upon such hearing the department or its authorized agent may administer oaths, issue subpoenas for the attendance
of witnesses and the production of books and papers, and apply to the district court for the enforcement thereof by contempt proceedings.
(5) If at the hearing held pursuant to subsection (2) of this section it appears that the record of the driver sustains revocation as provided in this section, the department shall immediately revoke such driver's license, and such license shall then be surrendered to the department. If at such hearing it appears that the record of the driver does not sustain revocation, the department shall not revoke such license.
(6) If the driver fails to appear at such hearing after proper notification as provided in subsection (2) of this section and a delay or continuance has not been requested and granted as provided in subsection (3) of this section, the department shall immediately revoke the license of such driver, but such revocation shall not be effective until twenty days after notification of such action has been mailed to such licensee by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, at his last-known address as shown by the records of the department. Proof of such mailing is sufficient notice under this section and shall be admissible in any court of record for prosecution under section 42-2-205. The notification of revocation shall recite therein that the licensee may apply for a hearing at any time within twenty days after the date of mailing of the order of revocation, and the licensee shall be advised that, if a hearing is applied
for, the effective date of the order will be extended until after the hearing is held. Such hearing shall be held within sixty days after application is made, and at said hearing it shall be determined whether the order of revocation shall be entered in the same manner as if the licensee had originally appeared after first notice.

SECTION 4. Effective date - applicability. This act shall take effect July 1, 1989, and shall apply to revocation and suspension proceedings commenced on or after that date.

SECTION 5. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.
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[^10]:    24-56-117. Real property acquisition policies. (1) Any state ACQUIRING agency or political subdivision of the state which acquires real property for a program or project for which federal financial assistance will be available to pay all or any part of the cost of such program or project shall comply with the following policies:
    (b) Real property shall be appraised before the initiation of negotiations, and the owner or his designated representative shall be given an opportunity to accompany the appraiser during his inspection of the property; EXCEPT THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL AFFAIRS MAY PRESCRIBE A PROCEDURE TO WAIVE THE APPRAISAL IN CASES INVOLVING THE ACQUISITION BY SALE OR DONATION OF PROPERTY WITH A LOW FAIR MARKET VALUE.
    (j) A person whose real property is being acquired in accordance with this article may, after the person has been fully informed of his right to receive just compensation for such property, donate such property, any part thereof, any interest therein, or any compensation paid therefor to an agency, as such person shall determine.
    (k) As used in this section, "appraisal" means a written statement independently and impartially prepared by a qualified appraiser setting forth an opinion of defined value of an adequately described property as of a specific date, supported by the presentation and analysis of relevant market information.

    SECTION 12. 24-56-117, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1988 Repl. Vol., is amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SUBSECTION to

[^11]:    Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado: SECTION 1. Repeal. 42-4-409.1 (1) (b), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is repealed.

    SECTION 2. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.

[^12]:    Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:
    SECTION 1. 42-4-613 (1), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984
    Repl. Vol., as amended, is amended to read:
    42-4-613. Regulations for school buses - regulations on discharge of passengers - penalty - exception. (1) The state board of education, by and with the advice of the executive director of the department, shall adopt and enforce regulations not inconsistent with this article to govern the operation of all school buses used for the transportation of schoolchildren and to govern the discharge of passengers from such school buses. Such regulations shall prohibit the driver

[^13]:    
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[^14]:    Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, are amended to read:
    42-2-122.1. Revocation of license based on administrative determination. (5) (c) (I) Where a license is revoked under subsection (1.5) (a) (I) OR SUBSECTION (1.5) (a) (III) of this section and the person is also convicted on criminal charges arising out of the same occurrence for a violation of section 42-4-1202 (1) (a) or (1.5), both the revocation under this section and any suspension, revocation, cancellation, or denial which results from such conviction shall be imposed, but the periods shall run concurrently, and the total period of revocation, suspension, cancellation, or denial shall not exceed the longer of the two periods.
    (8) (c) (I) WHERE A LICENSE IS REVOKED UNDER SUBSECTION (1.5) (a) (I) OF THIS SECTION, the sole issue at the hearing shall be whether by a preponderance of the evidence the person drove a vehicle in this state when the amount of alcohol, as shown by analysis of the person's blood or breath, in such person's blood was 0.10 or more grams of alcohol per hundred milliliters of blood or 0.10 or more grams of alcohol per two hundred ten liters of breath at the time of driving or within two hours after driving if the preponderance of the evidence establishes that such person did not consume any alcohol between the time of driving and the time of testing or WHERE $A$ LICENSE IS REVOKED UNDER SUBSECTION (1.5) (a) (II) OF THIS SECTION whether the person refused to submit to an analysis of his blood, breath, saliva, or urine as required by section

[^15]:    possession and at his place of business for sale and for no other purpose, such dealer shall not be required to procure the issuance of a new certificate of title thereto as is otherwise required in this part 1.
    (2) IN THE EVENT A MOTOR VEHICLE DEALER WISHES TO OBTAIN A NEW CERTIFICATE OF TITLE, SUCH DEALER MAY PRESENT THE OLD CERTIFICATE OF TITLE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTIONS 42-6-108 AND 42-6-109, WITH AN ADDITIONAL FEE OF TWENTY-FIVE OOLLARS AS PROVIDED UNDER SECTION 42-6-135, WHEREUPON, A NEW CERTIFICATE OF TITLE SHALL BE ISSUED TO SUCH DEALER ON THE SAME DAY OF APPLICATION.

    SECTION 2. 42-6-135, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SUBSECTION to read:

    42-6-135. Fees. (6) Upon filing with the director or his authorized agent any application for a certificate of title, a motor vehicle dealer who applies to receive a certificate of title on the same day of application shall pay to the agent, in addition to the fees listed in subsection (1) of this section, a fee of twenty-five dollars.

    SECTION 3. 42-6-136, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., is amended BY THE ADDITION OF A NEW SUBSECTION to read:

    42-6-136. Disposition of fees. (4) The twenty-five dollar fee paid by a motor vehicle dealer to the authorized agent under section 42-6-135 (6) for a certificate of title issued on the same day of application, shall be kept and

[^16]:    Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:
    SECTION 1. 39-27-205 (2) (a), Colorado Revised Statutes, 1982 Repl. Vol., is amended to read:

    39-27-205. Tax collection. (2) (a) Exєept-as-pfovided
     authorized by the executive director to purchase special fuel ex-tax under the provisions of section 39-27-202 (3) (b), except such persons who qualify for ex-tax purchases under

[^17]:    SECTION 5. Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds, determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, and safety.

[^18]:    Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado： SECTION 1．42－2－122．1（3）（b）and（5）（c）（I），Colorado Revised Statutes， 1984 Repl．Vol．，as amended，are amended to read：
    42－2－122．1．Revocation of license based on

